Nanotechnology Perceptions
ISSN 1660-6795
www.nano-ntp.com

Assessing the Impact of Collaborative Learning on the Acquisition of Complex Problem-Solving Skills in the Context of Nanotechnology

Jaime Amado Rosero Rojas[1], Jose Aurelio Ocampo Marulanda[2], Jesús Ronald Iparraguirre Contreras[3], María Luz Gonzales Díaz[4]

[1]*Universidad Técnica Estatal de Quevedo, jroseror@uteq.edu.ec*
[2]*Universidad Santo Tomas, correo institucional, joseocampo@usantotomas.edu.co*
[3]*Universidad César Vallejo-Trujillo-Perú, ronaldthipc@gmail.com*
[4]*Universidad Nacional de San Agustín de Arequipa, Perú, mgonzalesdi@unsa.edu.pe*

This study explores the impact of collaborative learning on the development of complex problem-solving skills, with a particular focus on nanotechnology applications. Through a controlled experiment, it was assessed how students who participated in collaborative activities addressed nanoscience-related problems compared to those who worked individually. The results indicate that collaborative learning not only improves the ability to solve complex problems, but also promotes a deeper understanding of fundamental concepts in nanotechnology. This finding suggests that the implementation of collaborative learning strategies could be key to preparing students for future challenges in emerging fields such as nanotechnology.

Keywords: collaborative learning, problem solving, nanotechnology, science education, complex skills.

1. Introduction

In recent decades, nanotechnology has emerged as one of the most promising and transformative areas of science and technology, with applications ranging from medicine to electronics and advanced materials (Roco, 2011). This interdisciplinary field, which operates at atomic and molecular scales, requires not only in-depth scientific knowledge, but also advanced skills in solving complex problems. Since nanotechnology is based on the precise manipulation of matter at the nanoscale level, solutions to challenges in this field often require innovative and collaborative approaches that integrate knowledge from various disciplines, such as physics, chemistry, biology, and engineering (Bhushan, 2017).

Collaborative learning, understood as a pedagogical approach in which students work together to achieve common goals, has been identified as an effective strategy to improve conceptual understanding and the development of critical skills in complex contexts (Johnson & Johnson, 2017). Several studies have shown that collaborative learning environments foster communication, critical thinking, and creativity, resulting in a deeper and more lasting understanding of scientific concepts (Slavin, 2015). In particular, collaborative learning seems to be especially beneficial in complex problem solving, where diversity of perspectives and the ability to build knowledge together are essential to finding effective solutions (Jonassen, 2000).

In the context of nanotechnology, these skills are vitally important. Students preparing to work in this field must not only be able to understand advanced concepts, but they also need to develop the ability to apply these concepts in solving problems that do not have simple or predetermined answers (Lund & Packman, 2017). However, despite the general recognition of the importance of collaborative learning, empirical research assessing its specific impact on nanotechnology education remains limited.

This study seeks to fill this gap by investigating how collaborative learning affects students' ability to solve complex problems in the context of nanotechnology. Specifically, it examines whether students who participate in collaborative learning activities perform better in problem-solving than those who work individually. The underlying hypothesis is that the collaborative environment not only facilitates a better understanding of the underlying scientific concepts, but also allows students to develop more effective strategies for addressing complex problems, thanks to the synergy created by peer-to-peer interaction (Vygotsky, 1978).

In addition, the study considers the relevance of these skills in a world where nanotechnology is increasingly integrated into everyday life and key industries (Roco, 2011). Preparing students to meet these challenges is crucial not only for their academic and professional success, but also for the continued advancement of the field of nanotechnology. In this way, the present work not only contributes to the literature on science education, but also offers valuable insights to design pedagogical strategies that can be applied in the training of future professionals in nanotechnology.

2. Theoretical Framework

The theoretical framework of this research is based on several theoretical and empirical currents related to collaborative learning, complex problem solving, and nanotechnology education. Each of these areas provides a conceptual and methodological framework that guides the assessment of the impact of collaborative learning on the development of crucial skills to meet challenges in nanotechnology.

Collaborative Learning

Collaborative learning has been widely studied in the field of education as an effective strategy to improve academic performance and develop social and cognitive skills in students. According to Johnson and Johnson (2017), collaborative learning is based on positive interdependence, where students depend on each other to achieve common goals, which fosters an environment of cooperation and mutual support. This approach not only improves the understanding of academic content, but also facilitates the development of skills such as communication, teamwork, and critical thinking (Slavin, 2015).

Vygotsky (1978) argues that learning is inherently a social process, where interaction with others is crucial for cognitive development. His "scaffolding" theory holds that students can perform tasks beyond their individual capabilities when working collaboratively with others more capable, leading to deeper and more meaningful learning. In the context of collaborative learning, this theory underscores the importance of social interaction in the problem-solving process.

In recent studies, collaborative learning has been shown to be not only effective in traditional educational settings, but also particularly useful in scientific and technical fields where complex problem-solving is critical (Van Boxtel, Van der Linden, & Kanselaar, 2000). For example, research has shown that students who participate in collaborative learning activities in science tend to develop a deeper understanding of concepts and are better able to apply this knowledge in new and challenging situations (Kumar, 2016).

Complex Problem Solving

Complex problem-solving refers to the ability to address and solve problems that do not have a clear or simple solution, and that often require the integration of multiple knowledge and skills (Jonassen, 2000). This type of problem is characteristic in disciplines such as nanotechnology, where the challenges can involve chemical, physical, biological and engineering aspects.

According to Jonassen (2000), complex problems require a systemic approach, where individuals must analyze the situation, identify relevant variables, generate hypotheses, and test possible solutions in an iterative process. In this sense, solving complex problems depends not only on technical knowledge, but also on the ability to think critically and creatively, and the ability to work collaboratively.

The literature suggests that collaborative learning can significantly improve students' ability to solve complex problems. For example, studies by Hmelo-Silver (2004) indicate that students who participate in collaborative activities tend to be more effective at solving complex problems because of the diversity of perspectives and the mutual support that collaboration facilitates.

Nanotechnology Education

Nanotechnology is a multidisciplinary field that requires the integration of knowledge from various areas of science and engineering. Nanotechnology education presents unique challenges due to the complexity of the concepts involved and the need to develop practical and problem-solving skills (Roco, 2011).

According to Roco (2011), nanotechnology education should go beyond the teaching of theoretical concepts and focus on the development of practical and collaborative skills that allow students to face the challenges of the field. Integrating pedagogical approaches such as collaborative learning into nanotechnology teaching may be essential to prepare students to work in this emerging field (Lund & Packman, 2017).

For example, a study by Benesse et al. (2016) showed that students who participated in collaborative projects related to nanotechnology showed a greater understanding of concepts and a greater ability to apply this knowledge in solving complex problems compared to those who worked individually.

Table 1. Comparison of Collaborative and Traditional Learning Approaches to Complex Problem Solving

Aspect	Collaborative Learning	Traditional Learning
Social Interaction	High peer interaction, exchange of ideas and strategies	Low interaction, individual focus
Development of Cognitive Skills	Encourages critical thinking, creativity, and collaborative problem-solving	Focus on memorization and application of knowledge in isolation
Conceptual Understanding	Deeper and more lasting understanding of concepts	Superficial understanding, limited to theoretical knowledge
Complex Problem Solving	Better performance on problems that require integration of multidisciplinary knowledge	Performance limited to problems with more direct and less complex solutions

(Source: Adapted from Kumar, 2016; Van Boxtel, Van der Linden, & Kanselaar, 2000)

Table 2. Impact of Collaborative Learning on Nanotechnology Education

I am a student	Key Results	Relevance for Nanotechnology Education
Hmelo-Silver (2004)	Significant improvement in solving complex problems	Direct relevance in the development of nanotechnology skills
Benesse et al. (2016)	Increased understanding and application of nanotechnology concepts	It implies that collaborative learning is key in the teaching of nanotechnology
Lund & Packman (2017)	Effective integration of multidisciplinary knowledge	Supports the need for collaborative approaches in nanotechnology education

(Source: Hmelo-Silver, 2004; Benesse et al., 2016; Lund & Packman, 2017)

3. Methodological Framework

The methodological framework of this research describes the design, participants, data collection instruments, and procedures used to assess the impact of collaborative learning on the acquisition of complex problem-solving skills in the context of nanotechnology. The methodology has been carefully designed to ensure the validity and reliability of the results, allowing a rigorous interpretation of the data obtained.

Research Design

This study follows a quantitative approach with a quasi-experimental design of a non-equivalent control group. The use of a quasi-experimental design is appropriate since participants were not randomly assigned to the groups due to the constraints of the educational environment (Cook & Campbell, 1979). However, statistical control procedures were used to minimise biases and ensure that the differences observed between groups were attributable to the intervention and not to external factors.

The study involved two groups of students: an experimental group that participated in collaborative learning activities and a control group that worked individually. Both groups received the same theoretical content on nanotechnology before engaging in solving complex problems related to the topic. The objective was to compare the performance of both groups in solving these problems, specifically evaluating the precision, creativity and depth of the proposed solutions.

Participants

The study participants were 60 final-year students of science-related careers, selected from a public university. The students were divided equally into two groups (n=30 per group) using a matching method based on grade point average and a pre-test that assessed their initial knowledge in nanotechnology. This matching procedure allowed for initial differences in knowledge and skills among participants, thereby reducing potential bias in the results (Shadish, Cook, & Campbell, 2002).

Table 3 shows the distribution of participants by group and their average grades before the intervention.

Table 3. Distribution of Participants by Group

Group	Number of Participants (n)	Grade Point Average (SD)
Experimental Group	30	8.5 (0.7)
Control Group	30	8.4 (0.8)

(Source: Data collected from the study)

Data Collection Instruments

The following instruments were used for data collection:

1. Nanotechnology Knowledge Test: A test designed to assess students' theoretical knowledge of nanotechnology before and after the intervention. The test included 20 multiple-choice questions and 5 open-ended questions, focusing on key concepts and practical applications of nanotechnology. The test was validated by a panel of experts and showed high reliability ($\alpha = 0.85$).

2. Complex Problem Assessment Rubric: A rubric developed to assess students' performance in solving complex problems. The rubric included criteria such as precision, creativity, integration of multidisciplinary knowledge, and depth of the proposed solution. Each criterion was evaluated on a scale of 1 to 5, with a maximum total score of 20 points (Moskal, 2000).

3. Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire: A questionnaire administered to the experimental group at the end of the intervention, designed to assess students' perception of the effectiveness of collaborative learning. The questionnaire included 15 items on a 5-point Likert scale, addressing aspects such as satisfaction, perception of learning, and peer collaboration (Johnson & Johnson, 2017).

Procedure

The study was conducted over the course of an academic semester. The procedure followed is detailed below:

1. Pre-Intervention Phase: Both groups (experimental and control) took the Nanotechnology Knowledge Test to assess their level of knowledge before the intervention. The results were used to match students based on their prior knowledge.

2. Intervention: For six weeks, the experimental group participated in collaborative learning sessions, where students worked in teams of five to solve complex problems related to nanotechnology. These problems were designed to encourage discussion, creativity, and the integration of multidisciplinary knowledge. Meanwhile, the control group worked individually on the same problems, without receiving collaborative support.

3. Post-Intervention Phase: At the end of the intervention, both groups retook the Nanotechnology Knowledge Test to measure the impact of collaborative learning on the knowledge acquired. In addition, the Complex Problem Assessment Rubric was used to assess students' problem-solving performance. Students in the experimental group also completed the Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire.

Data Analysis

The data collected were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistical techniques. An analysis of variance (ANOVA) was performed to compare differences in performance in solving complex problems between the experimental and control groups. In addition, a t-test for independent samples was used to analyze differences in Nanotechnology Knowledge Test scores before and after the intervention. Data from the Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire were analyzed using descriptive statistics to identify trends in students' perception of collaborative learning.

Table 4. ANOVA Results for Performance Comparison on Complex Problems

Source of Variation	Sum of Squares	Degrees of Freedom (df)	Quadratic mean	F	P-Value
Between groups	45.76	1	45.76	8.23	0.004
Within the groups	320.54	58	5.53		
Total	366.30	59			

(Source: Results of the statistical analysis)

4. Results

This section presents the findings obtained from the analysis of the data collected, following the procedure described in the methodological framework. The results are organized according to the objectives of the study and are presented both in narrative form and in tables to facilitate their interpretation.

Performance in Solving Complex Problems

Analysis of the data revealed that students in the experimental group, who participated in collaborative learning activities, scored significantly higher in solving complex problems compared to students in the control group. This finding suggests that collaborative learning not only facilitates a better understanding of nanotechnology concepts, but also improves the skills needed to tackle complex problems.

Table 5 shows the mean scores obtained by both groups in the evaluation of the resolution of complex problems, broken down by each of the evaluation criteria.

Table 5. Comparison of Average Scores in Complex Problem Solving Between Groups

Evaluation Criteria	Experimental Group (M)	Control Group (M)	P-Value
Precision	4.2	3.5	0.002
Creativity	4.4	3.6	0.003
Knowledge Integration	4.5	3.7	0.001
Depth of the Solution	4.3	3.4	0.002
Total Score	17.4	14.2	0.001

(Source: Results of the statistical analysis)

As noted in Table 5, mean scores across all criteria were consistently higher for the experimental group. The t-test for independent samples indicated that the differences between the groups were statistically significant ($p < 0.05$) for all the criteria evaluated.

Assessment of Knowledge in Nanotechnology

To measure the impact of collaborative learning on students' theoretical knowledge, the scores obtained in the Nanotechnology Knowledge Test before and after the intervention were compared. The results showed that both groups improved their performance, but the experimental group exhibited a greater increase in scores, indicating a positive effect of collaborative learning on the acquisition of theoretical knowledge.

Table 6 presents the mean pre- and post-intervention scores for both groups, along with t-test results for dependent samples.

Table 6. Average Scores on the Nanotechnology Knowledge Test

Measurement	Experimental Group (M)	Control Group (M)	P-Value
Pre-intervention	12.3	12.1	0.235
Post-intervention	16.8	15.1	0.004
Difference (Increment)	4.5	3.0	0.002

(Source: Results of the statistical analysis)

The results presented in Table 6 indicate that the experimental group showed a significantly greater improvement in Nanotechnology Knowledge Test scores compared to the control group ($p < 0.05$). This finding suggests that collaborative learning not only improves problem-solving, but also the acquisition of theoretical knowledge in nanotechnology.

Perception of Collaborative Learning

The analysis of the data obtained through the Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire showed that the students in the experimental group had a positive perception of the collaborative learning process. The results indicated that most students perceived collaborative learning as an effective strategy to improve their understanding of concepts and their ability to solve complex problems.

Table 7 summarizes the students' responses to the questionnaire, broken down by item.

Table 7. Results of the Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire

Questionnaire Item	Mean (M)	Standard Deviation (SD)
Collaborative learning improved my understanding of nanotechnology concepts	4.3	0.6
Teamwork made it easier to solve complex problems	4.5	0.5

I felt more motivated to participate in the learning process	4.2	0.7
The interaction with my peers was helpful for my learning	4.4	0.5
Overall, I find collaborative learning to be beneficial	4.6	0.4

(Source: Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire)

The results reflected in Table 7 show that the students valued their collaborative learning experience positively, with average scores above 4.0 in all the items of the questionnaire. This suggests that, in addition to cognitive benefits, collaborative learning also improves students' motivation and satisfaction with the learning process.

Correlation Analysis

An additional correlation analysis was performed to explore the relationship between the perception of collaborative learning and performance in solving complex problems. The results indicated a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.65$, $p < 0.01$) between the positive perception of collaborative learning and the total score in solving complex problems. This finding suggests that students who perceived collaborative learning positively tended to perform better at solving complex problems.

5. Discussion

The results of this study reinforce the hypothesis that collaborative learning has a positive impact on the acquisition of skills to solve complex problems, as well as on theoretical knowledge in nanotechnology. These findings are in line with previous studies that have highlighted the effectiveness of collaborative learning in similar educational contexts (Johnson & Johnson, 2017; Hmelo-Silver, 2004).

In addition, the results of the Collaborative Learning Perception Questionnaire suggest that this approach not only improves academic outcomes, but also increases students' motivation and satisfaction with the learning process, which is crucial for their long-term academic success (Slavin, 2015).

6. Conclusions

The results obtained in this study provide strong evidence that collaborative learning is an effective pedagogical strategy to improve both theoretical knowledge and complex problem-solving skills in the context of nanotechnology. This research reinforces the notion that, in fields that require deep understanding and the integration of multidisciplinary knowledge, such as nanotechnology, collaborative learning not only enhances students' academic performance, but also fosters a more enriching and motivating learning environment.

Implications for Nanotechnology Education

Nanotechnology, because of its interdisciplinary nature and focus on innovation at the molecular scale, presents unique educational challenges that require adaptive and student-centered teaching approaches. The findings of this study suggest that incorporating collaborative learning into nanotechnology curricula may be a key strategy for preparing students to meet these challenges. Specifically, collaborative learning appears to be particularly effective in enhancing students' ability to integrate knowledge from various areas, apply this knowledge in solving complex problems, and develop innovative solutions. This approach not only better prepares students for their future careers in nanotechnology, but also promotes the development of key competencies such as critical thinking, creativity, and the ability to work in a team, which are essential in any advanced scientific discipline (Roco, 2011).

Cognitive and Affective Benefits of Collaborative Learning

Analysis of the results also reveals that collaborative learning offers both cognitive and affective benefits. Cognitively, students who participated in collaborative learning activities showed a deeper and more nuanced understanding of nanotechnology concepts and were better able to apply these concepts in practice, as reflected in their superior performance in solving complex problems. These results are in line with previous research that has shown that collaborative learning facilitates more active and engaged learning, leading to better knowledge retention and transfer (Slavin, 2015; Van Boxtel et al., 2000).

In affective terms, students in the experimental group also reported greater satisfaction with their learning experience, suggesting that collaborative learning not only improves academic performance, but also increases motivation and enjoyment of the educational process. This aspect is crucial, since intrinsic motivation is a significant predictor of long-term academic success (Deci & Ryan, 2000). In addition, students' positive perception of collaborative learning is correlated with better performance in solving complex problems, indicating that a collaborative learning environment can create a virtuous cycle where increased satisfaction and motivation further enhance learning and performance (Johnson & Johnson, 2017).

Study Limitations and Recommendations for Future Research

Despite the promising findings, this study has some limitations that need to be recognized. First, the quasi-experimental design, although adequate for the circumstances of the study, does not allow absolute control of all the external variables that could have influenced the results. In addition, the sample was limited to students from a specific university, which may limit the generalizability of the findings to other student populations or educational contexts.

Future studies could address these limitations by implementing more rigorous experimental designs, such as randomly assigning participants to groups, and expanding the sample to include students from diverse institutions and educational levels. In addition, it would be valuable to investigate how different collaborative learning modalities (e.g., online vs. face-to-face, small vs. large groups) affect performance in solving complex problems in nanotechnology.

Another area for future research could be exploring how collaborative learning impacts the development of other important skills in nanotechnology, such as innovation, interdisciplinary project management, and the ability to adapt to emerging technologies. Likewise, longitudinal studies that follow students throughout their careers could provide insights into how skills acquired through collaborative learning in educational settings influence their professional success in the field of nanotechnology.

Final Conclusion

In summary, this study contributes significantly to the understanding of the benefits of collaborative learning in advanced science education, specifically in the context of nanotechnology. The results obtained indicate that collaborative learning is not only effective in improving theoretical knowledge and complex problem-solving skills, but also promotes a more motivating and satisfying learning environment for students. Given the growing role of nanotechnology in multiple industries and the inherent complexity of this field, it is crucial for educational institutions to adopt pedagogical approaches such as collaborative learning to effectively prepare students for future challenges.

References

1. Benesse, H., Ito, Y., & Matsuzaki, T. (2016). Collaborative learning in nanotechnology education: Effects on students' concept understanding and problem-solving abilities. Journal of Nano Education, 8(2), 89-99.
2. Bhushan, B. (2017). Nanotechnology: The science of small. Springer.
3. Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (1979). Quasi-experimentation: Design & analysis issues for field settings. Houghton Mifflin.
4. Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2000). The "what" and "why" of goal pursuits: Human needs and the self-determination of behavior. Psychological Inquiry, 11(4), 227-268.
5. Hmelo-Silver, C. E. (2004). Problem-based learning: What and how do students learn?. Educational Psychology Review, 16(3), 235-266.
6. Johnson, D. W., & Johnson, R. T. (2017). Cooperative Learning: The Foundation for Active Learning. Journal on Excellence in College Teaching, 25(4), 85-118.
7. Jonassen, D. H. (2000). Learning to solve complex, scientific problems. Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.
8. Kumar, R. (2016). Collaborative learning in science education: A case study. Science Education International, 27(4), 479-498.
9. Lund, A., & Packman, A. (2017). Integrating Nanotechnology into the Undergraduate Curriculum. Journal of Nano Education, 9(1), 56-63.
10. Moskal, B. M. (2000). Scoring rubrics: What, when and how?. Practical Assessment, Research, and Evaluation, 7(3), 1-7.
11. Roco, M. C. (2011). The long view of nanotechnology development: the National Nanotechnology Initiative at 10 years. Journal of Nanoparticle Research, 13, 427-445.
12. Shadish, W. R., Cook, T. D., & Campbell, D. T. (2002). Experimental and quasi-experimental designs for generalized causal inference. Houghton Mifflin.
13. Slavin, R. E. (2015). Cooperative Learning: Theory, Research, and Practice. Allyn and Bacon.
14. Van Boxtel, C., Van der Linden, J., & Kanselaar, G. (2000). Collaborative learning tasks and the elaboration of conceptual knowledge. Learning and Instruction, 10(4), 311-330.
15. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.