

Statistical Process Control – A Method for Continuous Quality Improvement

**Gaurav N Sutaria, Dr. Jigar Doshi, Dr. Pina Bhatt, Vivek Trivedi,
Vaishali Patel**

Silver Oak University, Ahmedabad-380061, Gujarat, India

Email: gauravsutaria.rs@silveroakuni.ac.in

Continuous improving processes and product is must to excel in industry and sometimes necessary to sustain in completion. But it is perplexing to many companies, specifically for small and medium size. Many tools and methods are used by researchers for continuous quality improvement (CQI), success and failure depends on various factors, some of them are Lean, six sigma, etc. The intention of this paper is to review the implementation, benefits and challenges faced for SPC implementation with special emphasis on continuous quality improvement. The methodology uses a widespread review of literature through reputed publications — journals, conference proceedings, research thesis, etc. This paper provides an overview of SPC implementation to achieve continuous quality improvement. It should be of value to practitioners of SPC and to academics who are interested in how CQI can be achieved using SPC. It strained here that this paper is not intended to contempt SPC, rather, its purpose is restricted only to offer views toward SPC and CQI.

Keywords: Statistical process control (SPC), Quality, Continuous Quality Improvement (CQI), SMEs, Critical Success Factors (CSF).

1. Introduction

Over the years, the journey of quality tools and techniques is full of revelation. Many quality tools and techniques were adopted in the industry and advantages were also gained. The contributions of various quality tools and techniques in the industry growth, especially improvement in product and process quality, is highly cherished despite opinions that they have not brought about their intended result. Sometimes, its fact that small scale companies, suppliers to OEMs, only follows quality tools when enforced by their customers. In global competitiveness and quality consciousness end users make industry to produce products with highest quality with lowest cost which is not one company's job. It has to be maintained throughout supply chain and constantly achieved. Hence, it becomes utmost important to work on continuous quality improvement in all possible front. Many quality management and improvement tools have been evolved and proved, herein SPC is going to be explored for its impact on continuous quality improvement.

Statistical process control (SPC) is a methodology to the process control that has been widely used in many industrial or non-industrial fields. The main goal of SPC is an identification of variations with the aim to make the process stable, minimize the process variation and improve the process performance (Doshi, JA, et al., 2016 (a)). So, SPC is the application of statistical methods to the monitoring and control of a process to ensure that it operates at its full potential to produce conforming product. Under SPC, a process behaves predictably to produce as much conforming product as possible with the least possible waste. While SPC has been applied most frequently to controlling manufacturing lines, it applies equally well to any process with a measurable output. SPC techniques, in particular the control chart, have been widely used in the manufacturing industry. Usually, control charts are implemented for the purpose of process monitoring (Doshi JA, et al; 2014). SPC facilitates to monitor the performance of the manufacturing process in order to predict significant deviations that may later result in rejected product.

The control charts are of two types - control charts for variables and control charts for attribute. The control charts based on variable data that can be measured on a continuous scale, i.e. weight, volume, temperature etc. are known as control charts for variables. The control charts based on discrete data, which are counted as “present” or “not” are called control charts for attributes.

SPC indicates when an action should be taken in a process, but it also indicates when NO action should be taken. For example, a person who would like to maintain a constant body weight and takes weight measurements weekly. A person who does not understand SPC concepts might start dieting every time his or her weight increased, or eat more every time his or her weight decreased (Harsimran Singh, et al; (2016). This type of action could be harmful and possibly generate even more variation in body weight. SPC would account for normal weight variation and better indicate when the person is in fact gaining or losing weight.

2. CONTINUOUS QUALITY IMPROVEMENT AND SPC - IMPLEMENTATION CASE STUDIES

Indian industries have experienced many waves of transformation, both, before and after industrial reforms. The main thrust was on productivity based on Taylor’s principles of scientific management. Quality was not the major area of concern. After industrial reforms, i.e., globalisation and liberalisation, quality surfaced as one of the major areas of concern along with productivity. By opening up of the geographical barriers and the compulsion of competing in the global market, overall operational excellence becomes the necessity for the Indian industries to remain globally competitive (Desai, 2012). The SMEs are often the suppliers of the larger companies, particularly in automobile sector that is very true; hence, the changes in the large companies affect the operations of SMEs. Some industries are consistently achieving the growth under competitive conditions while others are not. Nowadays, automotive OEMs are making compulsions of implementation of core tools, namely, APQP, FMEA, SPC, MSA and PPAP as an entry level, which gives them a sense of satisfaction on receiving parts. This has engendered demand of CQI to deal with this upheaval and to improve business performance for SMEs (Doshi and Desai, 2014).

The automotive sector is a prime example of quality management principles, illustrating both positive and negative implications of quality paradigms. They had revealed that the implementation of a consistent and sustainable quality approach is the foundation for process improvements, especially in the automotive industry (Raisinghani et al., 2011). If, on the other hand, management was only interested in implementing a rigid quality framework, then this was perceived as a pure tool, lacking both commitment and practices for employee empowerment and additional process adjustments. Companies pursuing this approach either fared worse than before or lost their business improvements shortly after the processes were implemented. They had concluded that, rather than implementing a rigid quality framework, it is more important to have a consistent and continuous quality approach, which applies at all levels and involves all employees, incorporating the organisational functions with a holistic approach. To ensure product quality is essential to remove errors respect to their resources. The research has highlighted a need for a specific and practical implementation framework for CQI through lean Six Sigma (LSS) in SMEs (Timans et al., 2014).

In quality improvement, many tools can be used but using them in amalgamation is more useful. The researcher (Doshi et al., 2012) had used Ishikawa diagram in the company with the help of available resources, many causes are contributing to the Fin problem. From the analysis of the fin opening problem, it seems major issues with the clamping device used in fin assembly area. However, they had suggested the use of further technical methods like SPC, MSA and Pareto analysis to priorities the each cause which helps to solve the problem properly. Quality control is much required in small automotive firms as to imitate the big companies as well as to match the quality to differentiate their product or services from their competitors. Furthermore, it will help automotive SMEs to improve significant returns, performance improvements, better teamwork and decision in services (Osman et al., 2009).

Rahardja (2005) compared the effectiveness of the X-chart alone to that of the individuals and moving range chart combination (X/MR charts), in terms of average run length (ARL) after designing for a common 'all OK' (in control) ARL. Comparison has been made under five different non-standard conditions, including both IID and non-IID circumstances. He conclude that adding the moving range chart to an X-chart, while generally not helpful for detecting IID departures from standard conditions, can be beneficial in detecting some non-IID conditions. Lillrank and Kujala (2006) examined on the applicability of SPC in non-repetitive processes and open systems, non-routine processes and project-based business activities. They also proposed guidelines for adjusting the logic of common and specific causes for project-based businesses are proposed.

According to Benton (1991) and Talbot (2003), the advantages of implementing SPC could be categories into the following categories, viz., maintain a desired degree of conformance to design, increase product quality, eliminate any unnecessary quality checks, reduce the percentage of defective parts purchased from vendors, reduce returns from customers, reduce scrap and rework rates, provide evidence of quality, enable trends to be spotted, ability to reduce costs and lead times. In other words, SPC implementation can also help to accomplish and attain a consistency of products that meet customer's specifications and thus fulfil their expectations. In general, SPC can be used to monitor the natural variation of a process and

minimise the deviation from a target value and thus play a major role in process improvement. The range (R) charts are widely used in industries to monitor the process dispersion. Monitoring process dispersion is as important as monitoring the process mean. In actual practice, some process outputs are correlated, the performance of R chart may have adverse effect on it. Tao et al. (2012) have recommended managing in information system quality control and more generally to all control chart users in cases where outliers occur in the charted data. Sometimes to measure the performance of an information system, unusually long response times of database operation need to be identified. They had compared with Lloyd's SPC chart, and proposed an outlier resistant robust locally weighted scatterplot smooth (loess)-based control chart that identifies effectively all the out of control points of operation. Singh and Prajapati (2014) had counter the autocorrelation by designing the new R chart named modified R chart, based on sum of chi-squares. The performance of this modified R chart is computed for sample sizes of 3 and 5. It is observed that when the level of correlation (Φ) increases, the performance of the modified R chart deteriorates. The researcher (Shah and Booth, 2012) had presented that for the development of computer-based SPC, it would be useful to have an algorithm that performs the work of a Shewhart control chart without actually having to construct such a chart. They had tested the use of fractal dimension, an algorithm to track 'out of control' states and find it to be moderately successful and suggested the use of computer-based SPC system.

Some authors propose methodologies for SPC Implementation. Krumwiede & Sheu (1996) present six steps for implementation: getting support from senior management, choosing a leader of the SPC, determination of the production process for the pilot study, process documentation preparation, training in SPC and the construction of control charts. Kumar & Motwani (1996) suggest 16 steps to lead to the implementation, ranging from staff training for deployment to actions for process control. Does et al. (1997) present a model with four phases, involving awareness, pilot projects, full implementation and total quality. Mason & Antony (2000) and Antony & Taner (2003) propose a conceptual model for the implementation of the SPC, developed from the critical analysis of publications on the subject, which focuses on four key areas: management issues, engineering skills, statistical knowledge and skills for group work.

Doshi and desai (2016), had conducted research in two automotive SMEs to introduce and implement the SPC tool as one of the most effective techniques to measure and monitor the process accuracy and variations. Benefits observed after SPC implementation are identifying critical process parameters, identifying variations in process, analysing and eliminating them from the roots, quality improvement parameters and ways. SPC results indicates there is a high rejection rate due to process variation. Reasons for the same are different for each of the companies. The basic requirements of the manufacturing processes were studied in both the companies then the process capability index of the specific process is found out. To identify solutions to reduce rejections, root cause analysis and brainstorming sessions were conducted by the team of respective company. Recommendations were implemented and found that process capability is improved. Although the companies have many constraints to implement all suggestions for improvement within a short period of time, the companies recognised that further improvement is still possible, if the suggested improvement opportunities can be implemented in future, which again decrease the loss to the industry in terms of both money

and time.

3. SUCCESS FACTORS IN THE IMPLEMENTATION OF THE SPC

The factors that affect the implementation of the SPC are complex and numerous (Rohani et al., 2010) and can be called Critical Success Factors (CSF). If there is a better control over these factors, the chance of success in the implementation of SPC is higher (Gordon et al.,1994; Elg et al., 2008; Rohani et al., 2010).

The implementation of the SPC involves technical factors and organizational factors, both are considered critical for successful implementation (Does et al., 1997; Elg et al., 2008). Xie & Goh (1999) emphasize an holistic approach to the implementation of SPC, based on three basis: the first, related to the SPC Management, which involves issues such as the role of senior management, focus on Continuous Improvement, training and teamwork; the second, related to the human factor, which presents resistance to change issues, difficulties with the use of computer technologies and the need for incentives; the third, focuses on the implementation of the SPC, including the use of appropriate tools for monitoring the process.

Based on extensive literature review for CSF, below is compilation of most cited CSFs.

Table 1. CSF for SPC implementation

Sr No	CSF	Cited by
1	Commitment and senior management responsibility	Gordon et al., 1994; Does et al., 1997;Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Xie & Goh, 1999; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002;Antony & Taner, 2003; Grigg & Walls, 2007b; Chen et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008;Phyanthamilkumaran & Fernando, 2008; Putri & Yusof, 2008, 2009; Rohani et al., 2009a, b;2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013; 2014; Rantamäki et al., 2013; Lim et al.,2014; 2016; Sharma & Kharub, 2014
2	Education and training in SPC	Gordon et al., 1994; Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Xie & Goh, 1999; Antony et al.,2000; Mason & Antony, 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Antony & Taner, 2003; Grigg & Walls,2007b; Chen et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008; Phyanthamilkumaran & Fernando, 2008; Putri &Yusof, 2008; 2009; Rohani et al., 2009a, b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013;2014; Rantamäki et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2014; 2016; Sharma & Kharub, 2014
3	Team work	Does et al., 1997; Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Xie & Goh,1999; Mason & Antony, 2000; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008;Elg et al., 2008; Phyanthamilkumaran & Fernando, 2008; Putri & Yusof, 2008; 2009; Rohani et al., 2009a, b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013; Rantamäki et al., 2013
4	Identification and measurement of critical product characteristics	Does et al., 1997; Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Mason & Antony,2000; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Antony & Taner, 2003; Grigg & Walls, 2007b;Chen et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008; Rohani et al., 2009a, b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim &Antony, 2013; Rantamäki et al., 2013; Sharma & Kharub, 2014
5	Definition and correct application of control charts	Does et al., 1997; Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Mason & Antony, 2000; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Antony &Taner, 2003; Grigg & Walls, 2007b; Chen et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008; Phyanthamilkumaran &Fernando, 2008; Putri & Yusof, 2008; Rohani et al., 2009a; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim &Antony, 2013
6	Cultural change and communication	Xie & Goh, 1999; Antony et al., 2000;Rungasamy et al., 2002; Chen

		et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008; Phyanthamilkumaran & Fernando, 2008; Putri & Yusof, 2008; 2009; Rohani et al., 2009a, b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013; 2014; Lim et al., 2014; Sharma & Kharub, 2014
7	Measurement system analysis in relation to its capability and applicability	Does et al., 1997; Rungtusanatham et al., 1999; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Antony & Taner, 2003; Grigg & Walls, 2007a, b; Chen et al., 2008; Rohani et al., 2009b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013; Rantamäki et al., 2013; Lim et al., 2016
8	Process definition and prioritization, focusing on waste, rework or variability problems	Does et al., 1997; Xie & Goh, 1999; Antony et al., 2000; Rungasamy et al., 2002; Chen et al., 2008; Elg et al., 2008; Rohani et al., 2009a, b; 2010; Mahanti & Evans, 2012; Lim & Antony, 2013

CSFs for the implementation of the SPC can be related to three types of actions for implementation: managerial actions, organizational actions; and technical actions and training. Below is the detailed Actions and CSFs derived for SPC implementation (Toledo JC, et.al; 2017).

Table 2. Actions and CSF for SPC implementation

Sr	Actions	Critical Success Factor
1	Management	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Commitment and senior management responsibility • Management of cultural change and communication • SPC use for Continuous Improvement • Involvement and empowerment of employees • Development of statistical thinking
2	Organisational	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Launching meeting of the project • Pilot Project use • Team work (SPC Team) • Use of facilitator • Use of computers and software for SPC
3	Technical and Training	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Process definition and prioritization • Education and Training in SPC • Critical quality characteristics identification • Measurement system analysis in relation to their capability and applicability • Definition and correct application of control charts (type of chart, sampling, etc.) • Capacity to interpret the control charts and the allocation of appropriate actions • Documentation and knowledge updating on the process • Auditing, analysis and review of control charts for continuous improvement • Feedback, continuous learning and knowledge sharing • Focus on customer satisfaction

4. CHALLENGES:

SPC as a concept can be considered as a simple technique but the implementation is a more multifaceted subject (Antony et al., 2000). The main reasons for failures in implementing are related to: organizational and social factors (Does et al., 1997); lack of senior management commitment (Antony et al., 2000); lack of training and understanding of SPC (Does et al., 1997; Antony et al., 2000); decreased attention after the introduction (Does et al., 1997) and

the lack of understanding of the potential benefits of the SPC (Mason & Antony, 2000).

Study on SPC – one of the ACT for quality improvement in Malaysian automotive manufacturing SMEs (Rahman, et al., 2009) results that the implementation of quality SPC has encountered some barriers related to system and management, attitude, organisational culture, machine and equipment, facilities, cost and training issues. From this study, it was found that, implementation of SPC system is more difficult in SMEs, because smaller companies unable to afford high technology system (hardware, software, networking and security) and involved high cost. Some companies prefer to operate simple control charts and manual system using paper and pencil. Furthermore, any company which is seeking to implement a comprehensive SPC system will require having good levels of internal expertise and a good source of external advice. Large companies that using SPC has fewer problems in recruiting or educating workers. Other problem or barrier in the implementation of SPC system is due to the lack of commitment and support from top management. They also lacked awareness of SPC as a powerful problem solving technique. On top of that, lack of training and education in SPC also contribute as one of the major issue for the SPC implementation.

Study on SPC for quality improvement in Malaysian automotive manufacturing SMEs results that the implementation of SPC has encountered some barriers related to system and management, attitude, organizational culture, machine and equipments, facilities, cost and training issues. From this study it was found that, implementation of SPC system is more difficult in SMEs, because smaller companies unable to afford a high technology system (hardware, software, networking and security) and involved high cost (Rahman, et.al; 2009). Some companies prefer to operate simple control charts and manual system using paper and pencil. Furthermore, any company which is seeking to implement a comprehensive SPC system will require having good levels of internal expertise and a good source of external advice. Large companies that using SPC has fewer problems in recruiting or educating workers. Other problem or barrier in the implementation of SPC system is due to the lack of commitment and support from top management. They also lacked awareness of SPC as a powerful problem solving technique. On top of that, lack of training and education in SPC also contribute as one of the major issues for the SPC implementation. The advantages of implementing SPC could be categories into the following categories, viz., maintain a desired degree of conformance to design, increase product quality, eliminate any unnecessary quality checks, reduce the percentage of defective parts purchased from vendors, reduce returns from customers, reduce scrap and rework rates, provide evidence of quality, enable trends to be spotted, ability to reduce costs and lead times (W Benton (1991), N Talbot (2003)).

The problems faced in implementation are also related to CSF: it needs to invest time, money and training in the implementation of the SPC (Does et al., 1997); it needs constant attention and Senior Management support (Antony & Taner, 2003; Does et al., 1997); it is important the effective use and correct interpretation of control charts; it must overcome the difficulties in the use of statistics to build the chart, understanding and identification of corrective actions; it is important to plan for the implementation of the SPC and the management after implementation (Antony & Taner, 2003). The identification of CSF for the implementation of the SPC is crucial, but only the consideration of factors is not enough, they must be connected in a coherent plan (Lim & Antony, 2014).

5. CONCLUSION:

SPC implementation is somewhat difficult as it requires technical, statistical and methodical understanding about process and product. But in practice there are many factors, including mentioned above, that may not considered when implementing and applying SPC. It often led to incorrect implementation and effects to achieve desired results. Many researchers had functioned on SPC implementation to originate benefits and issues. SPC was used to monitor processes, reduce rejections and improve processes as well as products. Also, SPC implementation with the special emphasis on the SPC as the problem-solving process was also explored by Dasgupta (2003). Unfortunately this proposal does not respect all phases of the problem-solving process. But very few have proposed the comprehensive framework for the SPC implementation with the special emphasis on achieving continues quality improvement. SPC must be well-thought-out as methodology to monitor processes, identify problems and its solution, implementation of solution and validation to achieve continuous quality improvement.

References

1. Antony, J., & Taner, T. (2003). A conceptual framework for the effective implementation of statistical process control. *Business Process Management Journal*, 9(4), 473-489. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14637150310484526>.
2. Antony, J., Balbontin, A., & Taner, T. (2000). Key ingredients for the effective implementation of statistical process control. *International Journal of Productivity and Performance Management*, 49(6), 242-247. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/00438020010343417>.
3. Benton, W.C. (1991) 'Statistical process control and Taguchi method', *International Journal of Production Research*, Vol. 29, No. 9, pp.1761–1770.
4. Chen, S. H., Yang, C. C., Lin, W. T., & Yeh, T. M. (2008). Performance evaluation for introducing statistical process control to the liquid crystal display industry. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(1), 80-92.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2006.12.055>.
5. Chowdhury, J. (1986). Quality-Control moves upstream in CPI plants. *Chemical Engineering (Albany, N.Y.)*, 93(8), 19-23.
6. Coughlan, P., & Coughlan, D. (2002). Action research for operations management. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 22(2), 220-240. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/01443570210417515>.
7. Desai, D.A. (2012) 'Quality and productivity improvement through Six Sigma in foundry industry', *Int. J. Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 9, No. 2, pp.258–280.
8. Does, R. J. M. M., Trip, A., & Schippers, W. A. J. (1997). A framework for implementation of statistical process control. *International Journal of Quality Science*, 2(3), 181-198.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/13598539710170821>.
9. Doshi, J. A., Kamdar, J. D., Jani, S. Y., & Chaudhary, S. J. (2012). Root cause analysis using Ishikawa diagram for reducing radiator rejection. *International Journal of Engineering Research and Applications (IJERA)*, 2(6), 684–689.
10. Doshi, J.A. and Desai, D.A. (2014), 'Review of continuous quality improvement methodology—enablers, exertion, benefits for SMEs', *International Journal of Quality and Innovation*, 2 (3-4), 245-255
11. Doshi, J.A. and Desai, D.A. (2016) 'Statistical process control: an approach for continuous quality improvement in automotive SMEs – Indian case study', *Int. J. Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 19, No. 3, pp.387–407.

12. Doshi, J. A., & Desai, D. A. (2016b). Role of production part approval process in continuous quality improvement and customer satisfaction. *International Journal of Engineering Research in Africa*, 22, 174–183.
13. Doshi, J. A., and Desai, D. A. (2016). ‘Overview of automotive core tools: Applications and benefits’, *Journal of the Institution of Engineers (India): Series C*, 2(3), 245. doi: 10.1007/s40032-016-0288-z
14. Elg, M., Olsson, J., & Dahlgaard, J. (2008). Implementing statistical process control: an organizational perspective. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 25(6), 545-560. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02656710810881872>.
15. Gallagher, W. M. (1990). SPC application in the chemical industry. In *Proceedings of the IEE Colloquium on Applied Statistical Process Control*, London.
16. Gordon, M. E., Philpot, J. W., Bounds, G. M., & Long, W. S. (1994). Factors associated with the success of the implementation of statistical process control. *The Journal of High Technology Management Research*, 5(1), 101-121. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1047-8310\(94\)90016-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/1047-8310(94)90016-7).
17. Grigg, N., & Walls, L. (2007a). Developing statistical thinking for performance improvement in the food industry. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 24(4), 347-369. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02656710710740536>.
18. Grigg, N., & Walls, L. (2007b). The role of control charts in promoting organisational learning: New perspectives from a food industry study. *The TQM Magazine*, 19(1), 37-49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09544780710720826>.
19. Haslego, C. (2000). Apply statistical process control with intelligence. *Chemical Engineering*, 107(3), 86. Retrieved in 8 September 2016,
20. Harsimran Singh, Harsimran Jeet Singh Sidhu, Amandeep singh Bains, (2016). Implementation of statistical process control tool in an automobile manufacturing unit. *International Research Journal of Engineering and Technology (IRJET)*, 03 (05), p-ISSN: 2395-0072
21. Hradesky, J. L. (1989). *Aperfeiçoamento da qualidade e da produtividade: guia prático para implementação do Controle Estatístico de Processo – CEP*. São Paulo: McGraw-Hill.
22. International Organization for Standardization. (2015). *ISO 9001: 2015: quality management systems: requirements*. Geneva: ISO.
23. Krumwiede, D., & Sheu, C. (1996). Implementing SPC in a small organization: a TQM approach. *Integrated Manufacturing Systems*, 7(1), 45- 51. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09576069610108435>.
24. Kumar, A., & Motwani, J. (1996). Doing it right the second time. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 96(6), 14-19. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02635579610126818>.
25. Lillrank, P. and Kujala, J. (2006) ‘Managing common and specific causes of quality problems in project-based organizations’, *International Journal of Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 1, Nos. 1/2, pp.56–68.
26. Lim, S. A. H., & Antony, J. (2014). The implementation of statistical process control in the food industry: a systematic review. In *Proceedings of the 2014 International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Operations Management* (pp. 1682-1691), Bali, Indonesia.
27. Lim, S. A. H., Antony, J., & Arshed, N. (2016). A Critical Assessment on SPC Implementation in the UK Food Industry. *Systemics. Cybernetics and Informatics*, 14(1), 37-42. Retrieved in 8 September 2016, from [http://www.iiisci.org/journal/CV\\$/sci/pdfs/SA430BZ15.pdf](http://www.iiisci.org/journal/CV$/sci/pdfs/SA430BZ15.pdf)
28. Mahanti, R., & Evans, J. R. (2012). Critical success factors for implementing statistical process control in the software industry. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 19(3), 374-394. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/14635771211244309>.
29. Mason, B., & Antony, J. (2000). Statistical process control: an essential ingredient for improving service and manufacturing quality. *Managing Service Quality: An International*

- Journal, 10(4), 233-238. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09604520010341618>.
30. Montgomery, D. C. (2014). *Introdução ao controle estatístico da qualidade* (4th ed.). Rio de Janeiro: Gen-LTC.
31. M. Rahman, R. Zain, Z. Nopiah, J. Ghani, B. Deros, N. Mohamad, A. Ismail, The implementation of SPC in Malaysian manufacturing companies. *Eur. J. Sci. Res.* 26(3), 453–464 (2009)
32. N. Talbot, The use of automated optical testing (AOT) in statistical process control (SPC) for printed circuit board (PCB) production. *Circuit World* 29(4), 19–22 (2003)
33. Osman, I., Ali, H., Edura, W., Rashid, W. and Jusoff, K. (2009) ‘Total quality management in the Malaysian automobile industry’, *Journal of International Business Research*, Vol. 2, No. 1, pp.203–209.
34. Phyanthamilkumaran, S. Z., & Fernando, Y. (2008). The role of cultural change in the relationship between critical factors with the success of Statistical Process Control (SPC) projects. *Proceedings of Annual London Conference on Money, Economy and Management*, 3(4), 1-11.
35. Putri, T. N., & Yusof, S. R. M. (2008). Critical success factors for implementing quality engineering (QE) in Malaysian’s and Indonesian’s automotive industries: a proposed model. *International Journal of Automotive Industry and Management*, 2, 1-15.
36. Putri, N. T., & Yusof, S. M. (2009). Critical success factors for implementing quality engineering tools and techniques in Malaysian’s and Indonesian’s automotive industries: an exploratory study. In *Proceedings of the International MultiConference of Engineers and Computer Scientists* (pp. 18-20), Hong Kong, China.
37. Rahardja, D. (2005) ‘X-charts versus X/MR chart combinations: IID cases and non-IID cases’, *Quality Engineering*, Vol. 17, No. 2, pp.189–196.
38. Rahman, M.N.A., Zain, R.M., Nopiah, Z.M., Ghani, J.A., Deros, B.M., Mohamad, N. and Ismail, A.R. (2009) ‘The implementation of SPC in Malaysian manufacturing companies’, *European Journal of Scientific Research*, Vol. 26, No. 3, pp.453–464, EuroJournals Publishing, Inc.
39. Raisinghani, M., Kaiser, J.G. and Abdulovic, T. (2011) ‘Theoretical quality management frameworks in today’s business: an automobile industry application’, *Proceedings of Informing Science & IT Education Conference*, pp.471–488.
40. Rantamäki, J., Tiainen, E. L., & Kässi, T. (2013). A case of implementing SPC in a pulp mill. *International Journal of Lean Six Sigma*, 4(3), 321-337. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IJLSS-05-2013-0029>.
41. Rohani, J. M., Yusof, S. R. M., & Mohamad, I. (2009a). The relationship between statistical process control critical success factors and performance: a structural equation modelling approach. In *Proceedings of the 2009 IEEE International Conference on Industrial Engineering and Engineering Management* (pp. 1352-1356), Hong Kong, China. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1109/ieem.2009.5373033>.
42. Rohani, J. M., Yusof, S. R. M., & Mohamad, I. (2009b). Statistical process control success factors and performance: an exploratory analysis. In *Proceedings of the Advances in Quality Engineering and Management Research*, Malaysia. Retrieved in 8 September 2016, from <http://eprints.uthm.edu.my/2571/>
43. Rohani, J. M., Yusof, S. R. M., & Mohamad, I. (2010). The development of a survey instrument for measuring a relationship between statistical process control success factors and performance. *Jurnal Mekanikal*, 30, 1-16.
44. Rungasamy, S., Antony, J., & Ghosh, S. (2002). Critical success factors for SPC ntation in UK small and medium enterprises: some key findings from a survey. *The TQM Magazine*, 14(4), 217-224. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09544780210429825>.
45. Rungtusanatham, M., Anderson, J. C., & Dooley, K. J. (1999). Towards measuring the “SPC

- implementation/practice” construct: some evidence of measurement quality. *International Journal of Quality & Reliability Management*, 16(4), 301-329.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/02656719910239938>.
46. Shah, V. and Booth, D.E. (2012) ‘A fractal dimension-based method for statistical process control’, *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 14, No. 2, pp.187–199.
47. Sharma, R., & Kharub, M. (2014). Attaining competitive positioning through SPC – an experimental investigation from SME. *Measuring Business Excellence*, 18(4), 86-103.<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/MBE-10-2013-0050>.
48. Singh, S. and Prajapati, D.R. (2014) ‘A modified range (R) chart to monitor process dispersion of auto correlated data’, *Int. J. of Productivity and Quality Management*, Vol. 13, No. 1, pp.67–88, Inderscience Publication.
49. Talbot, N. (2003) ‘The use of automated optical testing (AOT) in statistical process control (SPC) for printed circuit board (PCB) production’, *Circuit World*, Vol. 29, No. 4, pp.19–22.
50. Tao, Z., Liu, F., Shen, F., Suh, M. and Booth, D. (2012) ‘A new control chart based on the loess smooth applied to information system quality performance’, *International Journal of Operational Research*, Vol. 15, No. 1, pp.74–93.
51. Timans, W., Ahaus, K., van Solingen, R., Kumar, M. and Antony, J. (2014) ‘Implementation of continuous improvement based on lean Six Sigma in small- and medium-sized enterprises’, *Total Quality Management & Business Excellence*, Vol. 25, No. 1, pp.1–16, DOI: 10.1080/14783363.2014.980140.
52. Toledo, J. C., Lizarelli, F. L., & Santana Junior, M. B. (2017). Success factors in the implementation of statistical process control: action research in a chemical plant. *Production*, 27, e20162208. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1590/0103-6513.220816>
53. W. Benton, Statistical process control and Taguchi method. *Int. J. Prod. Res.* 29(9), 1761–1770 (1991)
54. Xie, M., & Goh, T. N. (1999) Statistical techniques for quality. *The TQM Magazine*, 11(4), 238-242. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/09544789910272913>.