

# Ethical and Data Security Concerns in the Use of Natural Language Processing for Early Detection and Risk Assessment in Mental Health

Jocelyn M. Berina<sup>1</sup>, Thelma D. Palaoag<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>*Information Technology, Baa Community College, Baa, Philippines*

<sup>2</sup>*Information Technology, University of the Cordilleras, Baguio City, Philippines*

*Email: itsmejocelyn@gmail.com*

This study examines the ethical and data security issues related to utilizing Natural Language Processing (NLP) for the early identification and evaluation of mental health risks. Conventional techniques, such as clinical observations and standardized interviews, have historically been the established norm in mental health evaluation. Nevertheless, the emergence of NLP and machine learning presents fresh opportunities for examining textual data in terms of sentiment, emotion detection, and mental health monitoring. Notwithstanding these progressions, notable ethical concerns emerge, encompassing possible prejudices, violations of privacy, and the necessity for informed consent. The aim of this study is to assess and contrast the ethical and data security issues associated with traditional methods and NLP-based methods. The study employs a qualitative systematic review methodology to amalgamate findings from multiple peer-reviewed journal articles. The findings suggest that although NLP offers the advantages of scalability and efficiency, it also presents potential dangers associated with algorithmic bias and data security. Conventional approaches, despite being slower and requiring more resources, guarantee greater transparency and compliance with ethical standards. The study suggests that in order to maximize the benefits of natural language processing (NLP) in mental health, it is essential to incorporate machine learning models that prioritize privacy, enforce stringent controls on data access, and improve the transparency of algorithms. To tackle these ethical and data security challenges, it is crucial to engage in interdisciplinary collaboration and continue conducting research. This will guarantee the responsible and effective use of NLP in mental health assessment.

**Keywords:** data security, early detection, ethical concerns, natural language processing, risk assessment.

## 1. Introduction

Assessing a person's mental health is a crucial first step in recognizing, diagnosing, and treating a variety of mental health conditions. With the increasing prevalence of chronic mental

health illnesses worldwide, it is crucial to have a prompt and precise evaluation of these issues [1]. Traditional methods of evaluating mental health have long depended on clinical observations, standardized questionnaires, and in-depth interviews with affected individuals conducted face-to-face [2]. But newer methods of evaluating mental health have evolved alongside technology developments in the last several years. This includes the rise of the Natural Language Processing (NLP) and machine learning models which were found to be relevant and useful in the mental health field [3].

Over the past few years, NLP has gained popularity as a specific type of artificial intelligence (AI) technology given its vital role in providing support in the management and analysis of textual data as well as in facilitating different tasks which include sentiment analysis, extraction of information, detection of people's emotions as well as a general surveillance of their mental health status [4]. Computers have attained enhanced precision and profound understanding of human language through the utilization of natural language processing (NLP).

The application of NLP in the field of mental health began to gain traction as several studies backed its accuracy and effectiveness in the diagnostic process for a range of mental health issues. For instance, in the study by Jeong et al. [5] it has been asserted that NLP is useful in the identification of language markets considered to be clinically relevant in cases of patients with schizophrenia. Similarly, in the research conducted by De Souza et al. [6], the findings underscored the promising opportunity to apply NLP as a means for assessing, monitoring as well as detecting depression and its related comorbidities among older adults based solely on their speech. Moreover, the study by Lobban et al. [7] put emphasis on the significance of NLP approaches in providing more in-depth insights conditions such as bipolar disorder. In the said study, the strength of NLP in assessing and detecting bipolar disorder was highlighted by describing the way it is able to process complex textual data and transform them into meaningful insights through clustering, topic modeling, text classification, etc. [7].

Nevertheless, despite the perceived usefulness and many advantages in the use of NLP and machine learning systems for the detection and assessment of various mental health conditions, there are also a number of ethical issues associated with its application. For example, the scoping review study by Bear Don't Walk IV et al [8] underscored the possibility of NLP introducing, amplifying, or even propagating biases which may potentially lead to discrimination and unfair treatment on individuals who have shared personal information related to their mental health concerns. Aside from this, issues on confidentiality and privacy have also been raised concerning the implementation of NLP approaches in the early detection and assessment of mental health problems [9]. This brought about the need to utilize NLP and machine learning approaches for mental health assessment in a way that is considered ethically responsible and preventive of any concerns related to these identified issues.

In line with this, the focus of this study is to determine the ethical and data security concerns in the use of the Natural Language Processing approach for the early detection and risk assessment of mental health conditions. Specifically, this study intends to examine how ethical considerations and data security concerns differ between the use of traditional methods of assessment and the non-traditional methods, particularly the NLP, for the early detection and risk assessment of mental health conditions. This study aims to answer the following research

questions:

1. How do ethical and data security concerns differ with the use of Natural Language Processing from the traditional methods of assessment?
2. What are the ethical implications of utilizing NLP techniques for analyzing sensitive mental health data, particularly regarding patient privacy, confidentiality, and consent?
3. What are the potential solutions to the ethical and data security concerns with the use of Natural Language Processing for early detection and risk assessment in mental health?

## **2. LITERATURE REVIEW**

The early detection and effective risk assessment procedures for addressing different mental health problems are foundations for providing timely intervention and appropriate treatment among individuals affected by these conditions [10]. For the longest time, traditional methods for mental health assessment which include paper-based evaluations, expert face-to-face interviews, and the use of standard testing scales for mental health have been the mandatory practice [11]. Fortunately, these traditional methods have already adopted certain ethical guidelines aimed at safeguarding the anonymity and protecting the data privacy of patients.

However, with the advent of AI, machine learning and NLP-driven approaches, new ethical problems have emerged in the field of mental health [12]. Specific ethical concerns related to privacy, bias, data quality and transparency and other problems associated with cybersecurity risks came about, leading to doubts about the ethical implications of these technologies in mental health assessment and treatment [13].

In traditional methods of mental health assessment, there is a stronger emphasis on the importance of upholding and protecting patient privacy and confidentiality. Maintaining this specific right of patients is fundamental especially in the context of addressing mental health concerns given the sensitivity of information being shared by patients to their healthcare providers [14]. This is in contrast to non-traditional mental health assessment methods that involve machine learning, AI and NLP approaches wherein the assurance of privacy protection remains questionable and uncertain [15]. Terra et al [16] also emphasized the current lack of defined policies or laws that hold developers of NLP and machine learning technologies accountable for any security breach, privacy issues and even inaccuracies in the mental health assessment processes that may adversely affect patients.

In addition, in contrast to traditional processes of mental health evaluations, which benefit from more robust and transparent ethical guidelines, the use of NLP and other non-traditional methods for assessing mental health conditions raises ethical concerns regarding misdiagnosis and bias [17]. Consequently, this exposes patients to the risk of receiving treatment that is unjust or discriminative. For example, if an NLP algorithm makes an incorrect diagnosis on a patient with a mental health condition due to biases in the training data, the patient may be subjected to unnecessary treatment or stigmatization. Similarly, if certain demographic groups are underrepresented in the data used to train NLP models, those groups may receive less accurate diagnoses, leading to disparities in treatment [8, 17]. Both scenarios highlight the potential harm to patients when ethical considerations are not adequately addressed in the use

of NLP for mental health assessment.

Moreover, on top of ethical issues on privacy, confidentiality, as well as the possibilities for bias, misdiagnosis and discrimination, consent is another critical ethical concern associated with the use of NLP approaches for mental health evaluation. Under traditional methods for assessing mental health conditions, acquiring an informed consent from patients is considered a basic ethical practice that enables them to make an autonomous and well thought out decision to participate in a study [18]. This is in contrast to mental health assessment processes using NLP which have been under scrutiny due to concerns about the assurance of obtaining stakeholders' input and consent. Given the fact that NLP can automate processes that make decisions based on algorithms and do not require any direct human intervention, this raises an ethical issue on whether or not NLP applications are capable of upholding the principles of transparency and patient autonomy which are crucial in any mental health assessment process [19].

Certainly, given the presence of these numerous ethical and data security challenges associated with the use of NLP for early detection and risk assessment in mental health, there is a need for specific measures to be implemented. One way by which this can be ensured is through the use of so-called "privacy-aware" machine learning models which have been designed specifically to prioritize the protection of privacy of its users. These ML models are built to handle and manage privacy-sensitive data in a way that risk of unauthorized access is significantly minimized [20]. In addition, in order to address challenges related to data security breaches with regard to the use of NLP and ML models for mental health assessment, organizations may consider utilizing higher levels of encryption technologies in order to protect patient data during storage and transmission [21]. They may also impose stricter limitations to whoever can access private and confidential data, especially of their mental health patients to prevent potential breach of sensitive information [22]. These are only some of the practical and basic measures which are necessary for the protection of patient information while simultaneously maximizing the potential benefits in the use of NLP in the field of mental health.

### **3. METHODS**

#### **3.1 Research Design and Approach**

This research study utilized qualitative research, which involves analyzing secondary data from diverse sources. Qualitative research studies are valuable for understanding the reasons behind certain observed phenomena and exploring complex interventions to address a specific problem [23]. In terms of research design, this study will be focusing on synthesizing existing journal studies that discuss ethical and data security concerns in the use of Natural Language Processing (NLP) for early detection and risk assessment of mental health conditions. Specifically, this study will employ a qualitative systematic review which, according to Synder [24] involves a method for comparison of findings from various qualitative research studies.

#### **3.2 Data Collection and Analysis**

##### **3.2.1 Data Collection**

Relevant data for this study were obtained from secondary qualitative sources, particularly journal articles that are peer-reviewed and contain authoritative and reliable facts and information about the use of NLP for mental health detection and assessment. The most critical aspect of selecting the secondary sources for this study includes the fact that it is able to answer at least one of the three (3) identified research questions. Thus, for each research question, specific keywords were used to generate the most relevant journal sources. Some examples of these keywords include: “ethical concerns in Natural Language Processing”, “data security concerns in NLP”, “use of NLP in mental health”, “ethical issues in NLP”, “privacy concerns in NLP for mental health”, etc. A number of journals were also identified in this study. However, the majority of the searches were conducted using Google Scholar which yielded more relevant results for the peer-reviewed journals selected for this study.

**Table 1. Summary of Findings from Selected Journal Studies**

Source Citation	Type of Source	Code	Key Findings/Conclusion
Le Glaz et al [3]	Peer-Reviewed Journal Article	B	The use of NLP raises ethical issues concerning use of and anonymization of patient data.
Zhang et al [4]	Peer-Reviewed Journal Article	A & B	NLP is associated with ethical concerns regarding the security and privacy of patient data.
De Souza et al [6]	Peer-Reviewed Journal Article	A & B	NLP has been proven effective in the detection of late-life depression among older adults; however, there are privacy concerns associated with how it utilizes, stores and processes data.
Li et al [25]	Peer-Reviewed Journal Article	B & C	Discusses 12 ma in ethical issues in AI healthcare, including privacy and transparency, and provides strategies to address these concerns.
Balcombe, L. [26]	Peer-Reviewed Article	B & C	Reviews the rise of AI chatbots in mental health services, focusing on potential benefits and the ethical and legal implications, particularly around data privacy and user consent.

### 3.2.2 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Each of the sources/ literature materials for this study were subjected for further evaluation based on certain relevant criteria. For the inclusion of journal articles, the top three (3) criteria were as follows:

(1) journal study must be published within the last five years, (2) journal study must contain information that directly answers a specific research question, and (3) journal study must focus on the application of NLP in the detection and risk assessment for mental health conditions.

### 3.2.3 Data Analysis

For this study, a qualitative content analysis was applied which involved the use of simple and basic sorting of relevant research data. A coding system was created in line with the main themes per research question:

- Code A– the differences between ethical considerations and data security concerns between NLP and traditional assessment methods (for Research Question 1)

- Code B– the ethical implications of NLP on patient privacy, confidentiality and consent and (for Research Question 2)
- Code C – measures to address ethical and considerations and data security concerns in the use of NLP for mental health (for Research Question 1)

Findings of this study were summarized in Table 1.

#### 4. RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS

The search found multiple sources using keywords specific to the research issue of ethical and data security considerations in the use of Natural Language Processing (NLP) for early diagnosis and risk assessment in mental health. Despite limiting the keywords, a substantial difficulty arose because the majority of results generally included AI. As a result, substantial screening was required to locate sites that particularly addressed NLP in their material. Only those who provided in-depth talks of NLP and its relevance to ethics and privacy passed the inclusion requirements. Many sources were excluded for their shallow handling of the issue.

##### 4.1.1 Ethical and Data Security Concerns in Natural Language Processing

Early detection and evaluation of mental health illnesses using Natural Language Processing (NLP) raises ethical and data security concerns. One of the most pressing ethical concerns is the potential for bias in NLP models. These models are frequently trained on large-scale datasets that may represent dominant societal attitudes, increasing the likelihood of discrimination against specific groups. For example, the model may not perform as well for underrepresented groups if the majority of the training data matches a specific demographic, perpetuating preconceptions [8].

Furthermore, a significant amount of care is taken to ensure that the privacy and confidentiality of patient information is maintained. It is necessary for Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems to have access to sensitive personal information in order for them to function effectively. This, in turn, raises the danger of data breaches and unauthorized access. Anonymizing patient data while preserving essential features for precise analysis poses a substantial problem [4]. Moreover, the process of gaining informed permission is intricate, since patients must possess a comprehensive understanding of how their data will be utilized, stored, and disclosed. Preserving transparency and patient autonomy is essential for maintaining trust in these technologies [25].

Table 2. Comparison of ethical and data security concerns between Natural Language Processing and traditional assessment methods.

Issue	Natural Language Processing	Traditional Methods
Ethical Use of Data	Must comply with stringent data protection regulations.	Typically adheres to established academic and institutional standards.
Data Privacy	High risk of data leaks and unauthorized access; needs robust security measures	Lower risk but dependent on physical and procedural safeguards.
Data Access Control	Utilizes advanced access controls and encryption techniques.	Relies on manual access control methods and physical security.

Data Sharing	Involves significant risk; requires secure data sharing protocols.	Limited data sharing; easier to control.
Informed Consent	Mandatory in many contexts, with transparent data use policies.	Often not required or less emphasized.
Bias and Fairness	Risk of algorithmic bias; requires continuous monitoring and adjustment.	Subject to human biases, but often guided by standardized rubrics.
Transparency	Needs clear algorithms and data to use transparency to build trust.	Processes are generally transparent but can be subjective.
Accountability	Requires accountability mechanisms for data breaches and misuse.	Accountability lies with individual assessors and institutions.
Security Measures	Requires advanced cybersecurity measures and regular audits.	Relies on traditional security measures, such as locked storage and access logs.

#### 4.1.2 Comparing Natural Language Processing with Older Technologies

Upon comparing NLP with conventional approaches to evaluating mental health, it becomes clear that there are both substantial contrasts and parallels between the two. The traditional approaches, which include clinical interviews and standardized questionnaires, are primarily dependent on direct human connection. In this way, a more nuanced understanding and interpretation of the circumstances surrounding a patient is made possible. The fact that these approaches adhere to well-established ethical principles and have high levels of openness, which guarantees the protection of patient privacy and confidentiality, is one of the reasons why they are advantageous [10].

On the other hand, natural language processing (NLP) offers a multitude of advantages, particularly with regard to scalability and efficiency, for example. It is possible to carry out continuous monitoring and early diagnosis of mental health issues because of its potential to evaluate large quantities of textual data in a relatively short period of time. This makes it possible to do so. This is made possible through the utilization of online behavior analysis. If, on the other hand, natural language processing (NLP) algorithms do not possess the same degree of comprehension as human physicians, then it is possible that they will fail to identify subtle indicators that a trained expert would be able to recognize [6]. There is still a substantial problem with the possibility of bias in natural language processing systems. This bias is caused by training data that is not representative of the population, and it continues to be a challenging problem. As a consequence of this, the problem continues to warrant a great deal of concern. The utilization of natural language processing (NLP) in conjunction with more conventional methodologies has the potential to yield a more all-encompassing approach to evaluating mental health, hence incorporating the benefits that are associated with both technologies [7]. In the realm of mental health evaluation, this would be a big step forward in the profession.

Table 2 presents a detailed comparative analysis that sums up the findings on the comparison of ethical and data security concerns between Natural Language Processing and traditional assessment methods.

#### 4.1.3 Potential Solutions to Ethical and Data Security Concerns Natural Language Processing in Early Detection and Risk Assessment in Mental Health



There are many different approaches and methods that fall under the umbrella of natural language processing (NLP) in the field of mental health. In the beginning, it is of the utmost importance to develop

machine learning models that put an emphasis on protecting individuals' privacy. In order to ensure the safety of patient information while it is being stored and transmitted, it is essential for these models to incorporate sophisticated anonymization techniques and robust encryption [19]. In order to lessen the likelihood of unauthorized access and breaches, it is essential to implement stringent access controls and make certain that only authorized personnel are able to access sensitive data [21].

In addition to fostering confidence and responsibility, augmenting the clarity and comprehensibility of natural language processing algorithms can promote. Patients are required to be provided with comprehensive information regarding the purpose and manner in which their data will be utilized prior to experiencing any utilization of their data. It is necessary to obtain the patient's explicit consent in order to maintain the patient's autonomy. The effective mitigation of emerging threats and the guarantee of the data's integrity can be achieved through the implementation of updates to data security protocols and the performance of regular audits [16].

It is absolutely necessary to make it easier for professionals in the fields of technology, ethics, and mental health to work together across disciplines in order to ensure that the development and implementation of NLP systems are conducted in an ethical manner. It is possible that this kind of collaboration will make it possible to guarantee that applications of natural language processing adhere to the highest possible standards of patient care and ethics [12]. In order to effectively address these concerns in a methodical manner, it is possible to develop protocols and criteria for the ethical application of Natural Language Processing (NLP) in the field of mental health.

In order to potentially achieve the reduction of bias and ensure more equitable outcomes, it is possible to allocate resources to ongoing research that is focused on improving the precision and impartiality of natural language processing models. The field of Natural Language Processing (NLP) has the potential to develop into a useful tool for the early diagnosis and evaluation of mental health disorders, which will ultimately lead to improved outcomes for patients [3]. By continuously improving these technologies and giving careful consideration to ethical and data security concerns, it is possible to realize this potential.

## 5. CONCLUSION

The utilization of Natural Language Processing (NLP) in the early identification and evaluation of mental health disorders presents a significant opportunity for the enhancement of mental healthcare. But it also brings up significant ethical and data security concerns that need to be addressed. The findings of our study highlight how important it is to address these concerns in order to fully exploit the potential of natural language processing technologies in a responsible manner.

In natural language processing (NLP) models, the existence of bias poses a significant ethical challenge. The fact that biases in training data can lead to unfair treatment and prejudice

*Nanotechnology Perceptions* Vol. 20 No. S11 (2024)



highlights the importance of having datasets that are more inclusive and representative of the population overall. Additionally, it is of the utmost importance to implement measures to protect the privacy and confidentiality of patient information. As a result of the fact that Natural Language Processing (NLP) systems require the availability of sensitive personal information, the likelihood of data breaches and unauthorized access is increased. When it comes to protecting patient information, the implementation of robust data anonymization and encryption methods is absolutely necessary.

When natural language processing (NLP) is compared to more conventional approaches to evaluating mental health, it is clear that each method has both advantages and limitations. In contrast to traditional methods, which rely on direct human interaction and pre-established ethical guidelines to achieve a comprehensive comprehension, natural language processing (NLP) makes it possible to process large amounts of data in a quick and effective manner. However, in order to improve the overall effectiveness of natural language processing (NLP) systems, it is necessary to carefully integrate them with traditional methods. This is because NLP systems are ambiguous and have the potential to be biased.

It is absolutely necessary to put into action a number of different strategies in order to address these ethical and data security concerns. The creation of machine learning models that place an emphasis on privacy, the implementation of stringent access controls, and the improvement of transparency in natural language processing (NLP) algorithms are all ways in which trust and accountability can be accomplished. Within the context of protecting the autonomy of patients, it is of the utmost importance to provide them with comprehensive information regarding the utilization of their data and to obtain their explicit consent. When it comes to effectively mitigating new threats and ensuring the integrity of data, it is essential to keep data security protocols up to date and to conduct regular audits.

For the purpose of ensuring the genuine development and implementation of natural language processing (NLP) systems, it is absolutely necessary to have the collaboration of specialists from a wide range of fields, including philosophers, technologists, and professionals working in the field of mental health. Through the formation of this partnership, it is possible to synchronize applications of natural language processing with the most effective methodologies in patient care and ethical guidelines. It is possible that this will be the outcome of the collaboration. The allocation of resources to ongoing research with the objective of improving the accuracy and objectivity of natural language processing models is a recommendation that should never be ignored. As a result, this will make it easier to achieve a reduction in bias and will ensure that the outcomes are more equitable.

Natural language processing (NLP) has the potential to become a valuable tool in the early identification and evaluation of mental health conditions, which could ultimately lead to improved outcomes for patients. This potential can be realized through the ongoing improvement of these technologies as well as the careful consideration of information security and ethical concerns.

#### Acknowledgements

We would like to convey our profound gratitude to everyone who has offered assistance, contributed unselfish ideas, suggestions, and recommendations, and most importantly, to God

Almighty, whose unending direction and blessings have strengthened us and persevered in making this study a success.

## References

1. L. Samartzis and M.A. Talias, “Assessing and Improving the Quality in Mental Health Services Int J Environ Res Public Health. 2020 Jan; 17(1): 249. Published online 2019 Dec 30. doi: 10.3390/ijerph17010249
2. Anbesaw, T., Asmamaw, A., Adamu, K., Tsegaw, M., and Tesfa Mengist, S. 2024. Mental health literacy and its associated factors among traditional healers toward mental illness in Northeast, Ethiopia: A mixed approach study. PL
3. Le Glaz, A., Haralambous, Y., Kim-Dufor, D.H., Lenca, P., Billot, R., Ryan, T.C., Marsh, J., DeVlyder, J., Walter, M., Berrouiguet, S., and Lemey, C. 2021. Machine Learning and Natural Language Processing in Mental Health: Systematic Review. J M
4. Zhang, T., Schoene, A.M., Ji, S. et al. 2022. Natural language processing applied to mental illness detection: a narrative review. npj Digit. Med., 5, 46. DOI: 10.1038/s41746-022-00589-7
5. Jeong, L., Lee, M., Eyre, B., Balagopalan, A., Rudzicz, F., and Gabilondo, C. 2023. Exploring the Use of Natural Language Processing for Objective Assessment of Disorganized Speech in Schizophrenia. Psychiatric Research and Clinical Practice, 14(9). DOI: 10.1176/appi.prcp.20230003
6. De Souza, D.D., Robin, J., Gumus, M., and Yeung, A. 2021. Natural Language Processing as an Emerging Tool to Detect Late-Life Depression. Front. Psychiatry, 12, 719125. DOI: 10.3389/fpsyt.2021.719125
7. Harvey, D., Lobban, F., Rayson, P., Warner, A., and Jones, S. 2022. Natural Language Processing Methods and Bipolar Disorder: Scoping Review. JMIR Ment Health, 9(4), e35928. DOI: 10.2196/35928
8. Bear Don’t Walk, O.J., Nieva, H.R., Lee, S.S., and Elhadad, N. 2022. A scoping review of ethics considerations in clinical natural language processing. JAMIA Open, 5(2), 1-11. DOI: 10.1093/jamiaopen/ooac039
9. Reamer, F.G. 2023. Artificial Intelligence in Social Work: Emerging Ethical Issues. International Journal of Social Work Values and Ethics, 20(2). DOI: 10.55521/10-020-200
10. Wiedermann, C.J., Barbieri, V., Plagg, B., Marino, P., Piccoliori, G., and Engl, A. 2023. Fortifying the Foundations: A Comprehensive Approach to Enhancing Mental Health Support in Educational Policies Amidst Crises. Healthcare (Basel), 11(10), 1423. DOI: 10.3390/healthcare11101423
11. Zhen, Z., Wang, R., and Zhu, W. 2022. A deep learning based method for intelligent detection of seafarers' mental health condition. Sci Rep,
12. Warriar, U., Warriar, A., and Khandelwal, K. 2023. Ethical considerations in the use of artificial intelligence in mental health. Egypt J Neurol Psychiatry Neurosurg, 59, 139. DOI: 10.1186/s41983- 023-00735-2
13. Jeyaraman, M., Balaji, S., Jeyaraman, N., and Yadav, S. 2023. Unraveling the Ethical Enigma: Artificial Intelligence in Healthcare. Cureus, 15(8), e43262. DOI: 10.7759/cureus.43262
14. Bipeta, R. 2019. Legal and Ethical Aspects of Mental Health Care. Indian J Psychol Med, 41(2), 108-112. DOI: 10.4103/IJPSYM.IJPSYM\_59\_19
15. Loch, A.A., Lopes-Rocha, A.C., Ara, A., Gondim, J.M., Cecchi, G.A., Corcoran, C.M., Mota, N.B., and Argolo, F.C. 2022. Ethical Implications of the Use of Language Analysis Technologies for the Diagnosis and Prediction of Psychiatric Disorders. JMIR Ment Health, 9(11), e41014. DOI: 10.2196/41014
16. Terra, M., Baklola, M., Ali, S., and El-Bastawisy, K. 2023. Opportunities, applications, *Nanotechnology Perceptions* Vol. 20 No. S11 (2024)

- challenges and ethical implications of artificial intelligence in psychiatry: a narrative review. Egypt J Neurol Psychiatry Neurosurg, 59, 80. DOI: 10.1186/s41983-023- 00681-z
17. Ma, Y. 2023. A Study of Ethical Issues in Natural Language Processing with Artificial Intelligence. Journal of Computer Science and Technology Studies, 3(1), 20-35. DOI: 10.32996/jcsts
  18. De Sutter, E., Zaçe, D., Boccia, S., Di Pietro, M.L., Geerts, D., Borry, P., and Huys, I. 2020. Implementation of Electronic Informed Consent in Biomedical Research and Stakeholders' Perspectives: Systematic Review. J Med Internet Res, 22(10), e19129. DOI: 10.2196/19129
  19. Red, G.V. Jr., and Palaoag, T.D. 2024. Ethical and Privacy Issues in the Use of Machine Learning for Personalized Care for Elderly Patients. International Journal of Engineering Trends and Technology, 72(4), 32-42. DOI: 10.14445/22315381/IJETT-V72I4P104
  20. Khalid, N., Qayyum, A., Bilal, M., Al-Fuqaha, A., and Qadir, J. 2023. Privacy-preserving artificial intelligence in healthcare: Techniques and applications. Computers in Biology and Medicine, 158
  21. Jaime, F.J., Muñoz, A., Rodríguez-Gómez, F., and Jerez- Calero, A. 2023. Strengthening Privacy and Data Security in Biomedical Microelectromechanical Systems by IoT Communication Security and Protection in Smart Healthcare. Sensors (Basel), 23(21), 8944. DOI: 10.3390/s23218944
  22. Nowrozy, R., Ahmed, K., Wang, H., and McIntosh, T. 2023. Towards a Universal Privacy Model for Electronic Health Record Systems: An Ontology and Machine Learning Approach. Informatics, 10(3), 60. DOI: 10.3390/informatics10030060
  23. Ugwu, C.N., and Eze, V.H.U. 2023. Qualitative Research. IDOSR Journal of Computer and Applied Sciences, 8(1), 20-35.
  24. Snyder, C. 2012. A Case Study of a Case Study: Analysis of a Robust Qualitative Research Methodology. The Qualitative Report, 17(13), 1-21. DOI: 10.46743/2160-3715/2012.1791
  25. Li, F., Ruijs, N., and Lu, Y. 2023. Ethics & AI: A Systematic Review on Ethical Concerns and Related Strategies for Designing with AI in Healthcare. AI, 4(1), 28-53. DOI: 10.3390/ai401000
  26. Balcombe, L. 2023. AI Chatbots in Digital Mental Health. \*Informatics\*, 10(4), 82. <https://doi.org/10.3390/informatics10040082>