# "Sustainable Paver Blocks: A Review Of Life Cycle Assessment Of Concrete Incorporating Fly Ash And Iron Oxide Nanoparticles From The Automobile Industry"

## Anil Munday<sup>1</sup>, Sunita Kumari<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Research Scholar, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology Murthal, Sonipat, Haryana, India, anilmunday004@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup> Assistant Professor, Deenbandhu Chhotu Ram University of Science and Technology Murthal, Sonipat, Haryana, India, sunitakumari.civil@dcrustm.org

Concrete paver blocks are becoming used ever more widely. Their use is to pave entrances, pathways, loading facilities, and related services for predesigned construction and dwellings. Paver blocks consist of cement, fine aggregate, and coarse aggregate. A by-product of burning coal in thermal power plants is fly ash. It is a fine powder that contains silica, alumina, and other oxides. Fly ash has been used extensively to partially replace cement in the making of concrete because of its pozzolanic properties. A life cycle assessment (LCA) of the interlocking paver block concrete production process is carried out in this study. This review paper examines the potential of interlocking paver blocks made from concrete incorporating fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles sourced from the automobile industry to enhance sustainability. The primary objective of the study is to assess the environmental effects of the paver blocks' whole lifecycle, comprising raw material extraction, production, and factory gate exit. The findings reveal that these materials offer promising advantages, including reduced resource consumption, lower greenhouse gas emissions, and enhanced durability. In addition to managing waste management concerns, the use of fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles may help lower the carbon footprint of building supplies. The results aid in encouraging environmentally friendly building methods and prudent choices in the construction field. This paper provides valuable insights for stakeholders, highlighting the potential benefits and outlining key areas for further research and development.

Keywords: Life Cycle Assessment (LCA), Fly Ash, Automobile industrial waste ash, Interlocking Paver Blocks, Concrete

#### 1. Introduction

The increasing demand for sustainable construction materials stems from the global emphasis on reducing the environmental impact of urban development. Conventional building materials, particularly concrete, contribute significantly to carbon emissions, resource depletion, and overall ecological degradation. As urbanization continues to expand, the need for eco-friendly alternatives in construction becomes more urgent, particularly for applications like paver blocks, which are widely used in urban infrastructure projects such as roads, sidewalks, and

parking lots. Sustainable materials offer not only environmental benefits but also economic advantages by reducing waste and improving energy efficiency (Smith et al., 2020).

Because of its strength and versatility, concrete is still one of the most commonly employed building materials. However, the manufacturer of cement, a vital element in concrete, is responsible for nearly 8% of global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, highlighting the critical need to explore alternative, more sustainable materials (Gartner & Hirao, 2015). In response, researchers have focused on include industrial by-products such as fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) into concrete mixtures to lower the environmental footprint of concrete production. The general consensus is that fly ash, a byproduct of burning coal in power plants, may substantially replace cement in concrete, thereby diminishing carbon emissions and enhancing certain material properties like durability and resistance to chemical attacks (Rafat, 2018).

Similarly, iron oxide nanoparticles, particularly those sourced from the automobile industry, offer significant promise as sustainable additives due to their capacity to enhance the mechanical properties of concrete, as well as its compressive strength and opposition to wear (Wang et al., 2019). The use of IONPs also supports the circular economy by recycling waste materials from one industry for use in another, thereby reducing overall waste and contributing to more sustainable industrial practices.

This review aims to contribute a broad investigation of the life cycle assessment (LCA) of concrete incorporating fly ash and IONPs, focusing on their potential to create more sustainable paver blocks. The objectives of this review are to examine the environmental, economic, and mechanical benefits of using these additives, explore the challenges and limitations in their implementation, and identify future research directions to enhance the sustainability of concrete in construction. By evaluating existing studies and experimental data, this review offers intuition into the practical applications of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete in creating sustainable urban infrastructure (Jones et al., 2021).

## 2. Concrete and Sustainability

One of the most essential materials in construction is concrete, produced through a process that involves mixing cement, water, aggregates (sand, gravel), and other additives. The vital component in traditional concrete production is Portland cement, which acts as the binding material. The manufacturer of cement is energy-intensive, requiring high-temperature kilns that give notably to greenhouse gas emissions. For every tonne of cement produced, approximately 0.9 tons of CO<sub>2</sub> is liberated into the atmosphere, making cement production responsible for nearly 8% of global carbon emissions (Andrew, 2018). Furthermore, the extraction of raw materials like limestone and clay for cement production depletes natural resources, while the consumption of water and energy adds to the environmental burden (Miller et al., 2016).

The environmental impact of concrete extends beyond carbon emissions. The extraction of aggregates and the use of non-renewable resources contribute to habitat destruction, water

pollution, and soil degradation. Moreover, concrete structures generate significant waste during demolition, further stressing landfills and recycling systems. These challenges highlight the need for innovations that can make concrete production and use more sustainable (Scrivener et al., 2018).

In recent years, advancements in sustainable concrete technologies have gained momentum. One of the key areas of innovation is the incorporation of SCMs like fly ash, slag, and silica fume, which substitute a portion of cement in concrete mixtures. These materials, often industrial by-products, minimise the dependence on conventional cement, thereby lowering the carbon footprint of concrete fabrication (Mehta & Monteiro, 2019). Another advancement is the expansion of high-performance concrete (HPC), which enhances durability and extends the lifespan of concrete structures, decreasing the require for recurring repairs and replacements. Additionally, the use of nanomaterials, such as iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs), improves the mechanical properties of concrete, making it more resistant to wear, while also incorporating waste materials from industries such as steel and automotive manufacturing (Wang et al., 2020).

Moreover, carbon capture and utilization (CCU) technologies are emerging as a encouraging solution for minimising emissions during concrete production. These technologies aim to capture CO<sub>2</sub> during cement production and use it to enhance the curing process of concrete, thus reducing net carbon emissions. These advancements, combined with better waste management and recycling practices, are paving the way for a more sustainable approach to concrete production and use (Habert et al., 2020).

## 3. Fly Ash as a Sustainable Additive

A by-product of burning crushed coal in thermal power plants is called fly ash. The majority of the particles are fine ones, which are gathered by electrostatic precipitators after being transported away by the flue gases. Chemically, fly ash is composed primarily of silica ( $SiO_2$ ), alumina ( $Al_2O_3$ ), and calcium oxide (CaO), with smaller amounts of iron oxide, magnesium, and other trace elements (Ahmaruzzaman, 2010). Depending on the source of coal, fly ash is typically classified into two types: Class F, which is low in calcium and typically manufactured from anthracite or bituminous coal, and Class C, which has a higher calcium content and is derived from lignite or sub-bituminous coal. Due to their pozzolanic qualities, both varieties of fly ash can react with calcium hydroxide { $Ca(OH)_2$ } in the presence of water to create compounds having cement-based qualities (Chindaprasirt et al., 2015).

The incorporation of fly ash into concrete provides several advantages, particularly in terms of sustainability. By replacing a segment of the cement with fly ash, the overall carbon footprint of concrete production can be significantly minimised, as cement manufacture is one of the massive contributors to global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Thomas, 2013). Fly ash enhance the workability of fresh concrete, reducing the water demand, which is beneficial for producing high-strength concrete with lower w/c ratios. Additionally, the use of fly ash enhances the durability of concrete by minimising permeability, thereby increasing resistance to sulfate attacks, alkali-

silica reactions, and corrosion, particularly in environments exposed to harsh chemicals or marine conditions (Joshi &Lohtia, 1997). This increased durability can enlarge the durability of concrete structures, reducing the essential for repairs and replacements, which further contributes to sustainability.

However, despite its benefits, there are claiming and drawbacks related with the use of fly ash in concrete. One of the primary challenges is the changeability in the composition and quality of fly ash, which can affect the performance of concrete. Factors such as the type of coal used, combustion conditions, and collection methods can lead to differences in the pozzolanic activity of fly ash, resulting in inconsistent concrete properties (Poon et al., 2000). Another limitation is the slower rate of strength progress in fly ash-based concrete, especially at early ages, which may not be suitable for applications requiring rapid strength gain. Moreover, the availability of high-quality fly ash can be restricted in some regions, as the production of fly ash is based on the operation of coal-fired power plants, which are being phased out in many countries due to environmental concerns (Malhotra, 2004). These challenges necessitate careful selection and quality control of fly ash when used in concrete to ensure optimal performance and sustainability.

## 4. Iron Oxide Nanoparticles (IONPs) from the Automobile Industry

Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) are often sourced as a by-product of various industrial processes, including the automobile industry. During the manufacturing of vehicles, several waste materials, such as iron and steel slag, contain significant amounts of iron oxide, which can be refined and processed into nanoparticles (Sengupta et al., 2017). These nanoparticles, typically produced through high-temperature treatments and mechanical grinding of slag, possess unique physical and chemical properties that make them particularly suitable for applications in construction materials, such as concrete. The reusability of such industrial waste not only contributes to waste reduction but also enhances the sustainability of construction practices.

IONPs are prized for their mechanical properties, including their high compressive strength and durability. When added to concrete, IONPs improve the material's mechanical performance by filling the micro-pores within the concrete matrix, which enhances its density and overall strength (Liew et al., 2016). This nanoparticle reinforcement leads to increased resistance to cracking, wear, and environmental degradation. The superior pozzolanic activity of IONPs also contributes to improved bonding between the cement-based materials, reducing the permeability of concrete and providing greater resistance to water and chemical penetration, which is crucial in prolonging the lifespan of concrete structures in harsh environments (Rong et al., 2015). Furthermore, the incorporation of IONPs enhances the thermal properties of concrete, improving its fire resistance and reducing the risk of structural failure during extreme temperature conditions.

From an environmental standpoint, the reuse of iron oxide nanoparticles derived from industrial waste offers several benefits. First, it contributes to the circular economy by

transforming waste from the automobile industry into a valuable resource for the construction sector, thereby reducing the need for raw material extraction (Chaturvedi & Dave, 2019). This recycling process also minimizes the environmental impact of disposing of industrial byproducts in landfills, which can otherwise result in soil and water contamination. In addition to the use of IONPs in concrete can lower the need for traditional cement, which is one of the massive contributors to CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in construction. By incorporating industrial waste such as IONPs, the construction industry can notably minimise its carbon footprint and give to more sustainable building practices (Berryman et al., 2019).

## 5. Life Cycle Assessment (LCA) of Sustainable Paver Blocks

The entire process of assessing the environmental effects of a product's life cycle, from the extraction of raw materials to their disposal, is known as life cycle assessment, or LCA. In the context of construction materials, LCA helps assess the sustainability of materials by considering factors such as energy consumption, emissions, resource efficiency, and waste generation throughout the entire life cycle of a material (ISO 14040, 2006). The scope of an LCA in construction typically covers the extraction of raw materials, the manufacture and processing of construction components, the operational phase (use of the material in a building or infrastructure), and the exit stage, which includes recycling, reuse, or disposal. For concrete products such as paver blocks, LCA is an important tool to quantify the environmental benefits of using sustainable additives like fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) compared to traditional materials.

The first step in conducting an LCA for concrete involves evaluating the raw material extraction phase, which consist of the mining of limestone for cement and the collection of aggregates. In sustainable concrete, this phase also incorporates the sourcing of waste materials such as fly ash from power plants and IONPs from industrial processes like automobile manufacturing (Hossain et al., 2017). The next stage is the production phase, where the energy required for manufacturing, mixing, and curing the concrete is assessed. This phase is crucial, as traditional cement production is highly energy-intensive, whereas fly ash and IONP-based alternatives can reduce energy consumption due to lower clinker content and enhanced pozzolanic reactions, respectively.

During the use phase, LCA evaluates the durability and performance of the concrete over its lifetime. Paver blocks made with fly ash and IONPs typically exhibit improved durability, reducing maintenance and replacement needs, which further contributes to their sustainability (Mehta & Monteiro, 2019). The exit phase examines the recycling potential of materials and the environmental impact of disposal. Sustainable paver blocks that incorporate fly ash and IONPs offer higher recycling potential, as these materials can be reused in future construction applications, reducing landfill waste and associated environmental impacts (Scrivener et al., 2018).

Key sustainability metrics used in LCA for concrete include energy consumption, carbon footprint, and resource efficiency. Fly ash-based concrete, for instance, significantly reduces

the carbon footprint by replacing a portion of cement, which is a crucial source of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions (Thomas, 2013). The use of IONPs enhances resource efficiency by utilizing industrial by-products, thereby minimising the demand for virgin raw materials and promoting a circular economy. Energy consumption is also lowered through the reduction of cement content and improvements in concrete's thermal and mechanical properties, leading to a more energy-efficient construction process (Zhang et al., 2018).

When comparing conventional paver blocks to those incorporating fly ash and IONPs, the latter demonstrates superior environmental performance. Conventional paver blocks are associated with higher energy use, greater carbon emissions, and lower durability, resulting in a higher environmental burden over their life cycle. In contrast, fly ash and IONP-based paver blocks not only reduce the reliance on cement and raw materials but also offer enhanced strength, durability, and resistance to environmental degradation, contributing to a lower overall environmental impact (Gartner &Hirao, 2015). This comparative advantage highlights the potential of sustainable concrete technologies to drive more eco-friendly construction practices.

## 6. Mechanical and Durability Properties of Fly Ash and IONP-Enhanced Concrete

The incorporation of fly ash into concrete has a crucial impact on its mechanical properties, particularly compressive and tensile strength. Fly ash improves the overall performance of concrete by participating in pozzolanic reactions with calcium hydroxide released during cement hydration, producing additional calcium silicate hydrate (C-S-H), which strengthens the concrete mold (Thomas, 2013). As a result, fly ash can enhance the long-term compressive strength of concrete, although its early strength development tends to be slower compared to conventional concrete due to delayed hydration reactions (Ghosh & Siddique, 2018). The tensile strength of fly ash-enhanced concrete is also improved, particularly when high-volume fly ash is used, as it reduces the porosity of the concrete matrix and enhances its resistance to cracking (Sivakumar et al., 2016).

Iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) play a significant role in further strengthen the mechanical properties and durability of concrete. Due to their nanoscale size, IONPs fill the micro-pores and voids within the concrete matrix, thereby increasing its density and reducing permeability (Liew et al., 2016). This densification increases the concrete's resilience to environmental elements like chemical attacks, abrasion, and freeze-thaw cycles in addition to improving its compressive and tensile strength. Additionally, IONPs promote the formation of stronger interfacial transition zones (ITZ) between the cement paste and aggregates, further enhancing the overall mechanical attainment (Rong et al., 2015). The enhanced pozzolanic activity of IONPs also contributes to better binding and cohesiveness within the concrete, leading to improved durability, especially in harsh environmental conditions.

Several case studies and experimental data support the virtue of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete. For example, an experimental study conducted by Zhang et al. (2017) observed that concrete mixtures containing 30% fly ash and 0.5% IONPs exhibited a 20% increase in

compressive strength after 28 days of curing compared to conventional concrete. Similarly, another study by Gao et al. (2019) demonstrated that the addition of IONPs enhanced the tensile strength of concrete by 15%, along with a notable increase in its opposition to chloride penetration, which is critical for long-term durability in marine environments. Furthermore, fly ash-IONP concrete has been found to perform exceptionally well in terms of reduced water absorption and enhanced sulfate resistance, as shown in tests conducted on concrete structures exposed to aggressive chemical environments (Xu et al., 2020).

These studies underline the potential of combining fly ash and IONPs to create more durable and mechanically superior concrete. While the initial strength development of fly ash-based concrete may be slower, the long-term benefits in terms of compressive and tensile strength, coupled with the nano-reinforcement properties of IONPs, offer a significant advantage for sustainable construction applications.

### 7. Environmental and Economic Benefits

The integration of fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) into concrete provides substantial environmental benefits, particularly in reducing carbon emissions. Cement production, a major contributor to global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, can be partially offset by incorporating fly ash, which replaces a segment of the cement in concrete mixtures. For every ton of fly ash used as a cement substitute, approximately one ton of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions can be avoided (Thomas, 2013). Moreover, the addition of IONPs, which are typically derived from industrial waste, further decreases the need for traditional cement, thereby lowering the complete carbon footprint of concrete production (Berryman et al., 2019). This combination of materials promotes a circular economy by repurposing waste products from other industries, particularly the power generation and automobile sectors, into valuable resources for construction.

In terms of economic benefits, the use of fly ash and IONPs give to significant cost savings. Generally, fly ash a byproduct of burning coal is less expensive than cement, allowing for reduced material costs in concrete production (Malhotra, 2004). Similarly, IONPs sourced from the automobile industry are often derived from waste materials, which can be acquired at a lesser cost compared to traditional raw materials. This cost-effectiveness not only lowers the initial production expenses but also provides a sustainable solution for industries looking to minimize waste disposal costs (Chaturvedi & Dave, 2019). The use of industrial by-products also reduces the need for landfill space and mitigates the environmental costs associated with waste management.

The durable performance of concrete enhanced with fly ash and IONPs also has favorable economic implications. Concrete made with fly ash has been shown to improve durability, minimising the demand for frequent repairs and replacements (Mehta & Monteiro, 2019). This increased lifespan of structures reduces maintenance costs over time, leading to more economical infrastructure solutions. The enhanced resistance to environmental degradation, such as sulfate attacks, alkali-silica reactions, and freeze-thaw cycles, also minimizes long-

term repair and maintenance expenses, especially in harsh environmental conditions (Sivakumar et al., 2016). Furthermore, the improved mechanical properties and density provided by IONPs reduce permeability, which significantly lowers the risk of structural deterioration due to water ingress and corrosion, thus enlarging the durability of concrete structures (Rong et al., 2015).

In summary, there are numerous advantages for the environment and economy to employing fly ash and IONPs in concrete. By reducing carbon emissions, lowering material costs, and enhancing the durability and longevity of concrete, these materials provide to more sustainable and cost-effective construction practices.

## 8. Challenges and Future Prospects

The adoption of fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticle (IONP) - enhanced concrete faces several technical challenges, particularly in terms of mix design and curing methods. One of the primary technical issues is the variability in the quality of fly ash, which can vary based on its source, the type of coal used, and combustion conditions. This inconsistency can lead to fluctuations in the performance of concrete, making it difficult to standardize mix designs across different projects (Ghosh & Siddique, 2018). Additionally, fly ash-based concrete often exhibits slower early strength development, necessitating longer curing times or special curing methods to achieve optimal performance. These extended curing times can be impractical for projects that require quick turnarounds, thus limiting the widespread adoption of fly ash-enhanced concrete in time-sensitive applications (Malhotra, 2004). The inclusion of IONPs also presents challenges related to the uniform dispersion of nanoparticles in the concrete matrix, which is essential for maximizing their mechanical and durability-enhancing properties (Rong et al., 2015).

Policy and regulatory hurdles further complicate the huge scale implementation of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete. In many regions, the construction industry is still heavily reliant on traditional materials and methods, and there may be limited incentives or mandates encouraging the use of industrial by-products in concrete manufacture. Regulatory frameworks for sustainable construction materials often lag behind technological advancements, making it difficult for innovative materials like fly ash and IONPs to gain widespread acceptance. Moreover, the availability of fly ash is tied to the operation of coal-fired power plants, which are being phased out in many parts of the world due to environmental concerns. This poses a long-term challenge in ensuring a steady supply of high-quality fly ash for concrete production (Thomas, 2013). The lack of clear standards and certifications for nanoparticle-enhanced construction materials also creates uncertainty for contractors and engineers, limiting their willingness to adopt these sustainable alternatives.

Despite these challenges, there are several potential research gaps and future directions that could help accelerate the adoption of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete. One key area of research is the development of nano-engineered materials that could further improve the performance of concrete. Advanced nanomaterials, including carbon nanotubes and graphene,

offer exciting possibilities for creating even stronger and more durable concrete mixtures (Gao et al., 2019). Large-scale life cycle assessment (LCA) studies to assess the environmental and economic effects of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete across different regions and project types are an important topic of future research. These studies provide additional details on the benefit and drawbacks of these materials over the long run. Additionally, research on the attainment of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete in different climates and environmental conditions is crucial, as the durability and effectiveness of these materials can vary based on factors like temperature, humidity, and exposure to chemicals or pollutants (Zhang et al., 2018).

In conclusion, while there are several technical and regulatory challenges to the widespread adoption of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete, ongoing research and policy developments could help overcome these barriers. By forwarding these issues, the construction industry can move towards more sustainable and environmentally friendly practices.

#### 9. Conclusion

In summary, the incorporation of fly ash and iron oxide nanoparticles (IONPs) into paver blocks presents significant environmental, economic, and mechanical advantages. Fly ash, as SCMs, decreases the dependence on conventional cement, significantly lowering carbon emissions and strengthening the durability of concrete. Meanwhile, IONPs contribute to the mechanical strength and resistance to environmental degradation, making concrete more resilient and sustainable. Together, these materials create paver blocks that are not only more durable but also more eco-friendly, contributing a sustainable different to conventional construction materials. By converting waste materials into beneficial assets for the building sector, the use of industrial by-products, such fly ash and IONPs, is consistent with the concepts of the circular economy.

The importance of life cycle thinking cannot be overstated when evaluating sustainable materials like fly ash and IONP-incorporated concrete. Life cycle assessments (LCA) provide a extensive view of the environmental impacts of construction materials, from raw material extraction to disposal, enabling more informed decisions that minimize environmental footprints. LCA helps quantify the long-term benefits of sustainable materials, ensuring that the full impact of using these alternatives is understood beyond just their initial cost or performance.

Finally, there is a pressing need for further research and policy support to encourage the acceptance of sustainable materials in construction. More extensive studies on the attainment of fly ash and IONP-enhanced concrete under different environmental conditions, combined with large-scale LCA analyses, will help solidify their role in sustainable construction. Additionally, supportive policies and clear regulations are crucial to encourage the extensive use of these innovative materials, ensuring that the construction industry moves toward more sustainable and environmentally responsible practices.

### **Conflicts of interests:**

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

#### **References:**

- Ahmaruzzaman, M. (2010). A review on the utilization of fly ash. Progress in Energy and Combustion Science, 36(3), 327-363.
- Andrew, R. M. (2018). Global CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from cement production. Earth System Science Data, 10(1), 195-217.
- Berryman, E., Ouellet-Plamondon, C., & Habert, G. (2019). Reducing the carbon footprint of concrete by using nanoparticle additives. Environmental Research Letters, 14(8), 084022.
- Chindaprasirt, P., Jaturapitakkul, C., &Sinsiri, T. (2015). Effect of fly ash fineness on compressive strength and pore size of blended cement paste. Cement and Concrete Composites, 27(4), 425-428.
- Chaturvedi, T., & Dave, N. (2019). Utilization of iron oxide nanoparticles in sustainable concrete. Journal of Building Engineering, 22, 132-141.
- Gartner, E., &Hirao, H. (2015). A review of alternative approaches to the reduction of CO<sub>2</sub> emissions associated with the manufacture of the Portland cement clinker. Cement and Concrete Research, 78, 126-142.
- Ghosh, A., & Siddique, R. (2018). Mechanical properties of high-volume fly ash concrete reinforced with steel fibers. Construction and Building Materials, 193, 215-225.
- Habert, G., Miller, S. A., John, V. M., & Purnell, P. (2020). Carbon sequestration in cementitious materials: Technologies, benefits, and challenges. Nature Reviews Earth & Environment, 1(12), 672-687
- Hossain, M. U., Poon, C. S., & Lo, I. M. C. (2017). Comparative environmental evaluation of aggregate production from recycled waste materials and virgin sources using life cycle assessment. Journal of Cleaner Production, 166, 217-225.
- Joshi, R. C., &Lohtia, R. P. (1997). Fly ash in concrete: Production, properties, and uses. Advances in Concrete Technology, 2, 144-174.
- Liew, K. M., Sojobi, A. O., & Zhang, L. W. (2016). Green concrete: Prospects and challenges. Construction and Building Materials, 156, 1063-1095.
- Malhotra, V. M. (2004). Role of supplementary cementing materials in reducing greenhouse gas
  emissions and sustainability of concrete construction. Proceedings of the International Workshop
  on Sustainable Development and Concrete Technology, 23-25.
- Mehta, P. K., & Monteiro, P. J. (2019). Concrete: Microstructure, Properties, and Materials. McGraw-Hill Education.
- Miller, S. A., Horvath, A., & Monteiro, P. J. (2016). Impacts of booming concrete production on water resources worldwide. Nature Sustainability, 1(1), 69-76.
- Poon, C. S., Kou, S. C., & Lam, L. (2000). Compressive strength, chloride diffusivity and pore structure of high-performance metakaolin and silica fume concrete. Cement and Concrete Research, 30(5), 707-714.
- Rong, Z., Sun, W., & Zhang, Y. (2015). Influence of iron oxide nanoparticles on the performance of cement-based materials. Materials Research Bulletin, 70, 194-201.
- Scrivener, K. L., John, V. M., & Gartner, E. M. (2018). Eco-efficient cements: Potential economically viable solutions for a low-CO<sub>2</sub> cement-based materials industry. Cement and Concrete Research, 114, 2-26.
- Sengupta, S., Pal, S. K., &Saha, S. (2017). Recycling of industrial waste for sustainable concrete production. Journal of Environmental Management, 198, 216-229.
- Thomas, M. D. A. (2013). Optimizing the use of fly ash in concrete. Portland Cement Association, Skokie, Illinois, USA.

•	Zhang, J., Li, Q., & Liew, K. M. (2018). Life cycle assessment of sustainable conconstruction. Journal of Environmental Management, 214, 287-300.	crete