

Pomegranate Bacterial Blight: Current Status, Genetic Insights, And Future Directions

Angha B. Anmod¹ and M. M. V. Baig²

¹*Department of Botany, Amolakchand Mahavidyalaya, Yavatmal (M.S.) 445001*

²*Department of Botany and Department of Biotechnology, Yeshwant Mahavidyalaya, Nanded, M.S. India.*

Email: mmvbaig@gmail.com

Bacterial blight of pomegranate, caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* (Xap), is a significant disease affecting pomegranate production worldwide. This review article provides a comprehensive overview of the disease, focusing on its genetic aspects and implications for disease management. The review discusses the taxonomy and pathogenicity factors of Xap, highlighting its genetic structure and variability. The current management practices, including cultural and chemical control methods, the potential of salicylic acid-mediated strategies for enhancing plant resistance are discussed. the importance of understanding the genetic basis of pathogenicity and resistance for developing effective disease management strategies is also emphasized. Future directions in disease management, including the development of resistant varieties through breeding programs and genetic engineering, are also discussed. This review aims to provide insights into the genetic aspects of bacterial blight in pomegranate, contributing to the development of sustainable and effective disease management strategies.

Keywords: Bacterial blight, Pomegranate, *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*, Genetic aspects, Disease management, Resistance breeding.

Introduction

Pomegranate bacterial blight, which is brought on by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* (Xap), is a serious biotic disease that affects pomegranate output all over the world. The important fruit crop pomegranate (*Punica granatum* L.) is prized for its therapeutic and nutritional qualities (Bendigeri et al.,2024). The disease has been reported in various regions, including Iraq and Pakistan, where it has caused substantial economic losses (Sinjare et al., 2023) (Tayyab et al., 2023).

Understanding the genetic aspects of Xap is crucial for developing effective disease management strategies. Recent studies have focused on the molecular characterization of Xap strains to better comprehend their genetic variability and pathogenicity (Chathalingath & Gunasekar, 2023).

Bacterial Blight Disease in Pomegranate

Disease Symptoms and Impact: Bacterial blight of pomegranate manifests as severe leaf and fruit lesions, leading to defoliation and fruit drop. significant yield losses has been incited by the disease, especially under favorable environmental conditions (Tayyab et al.,2023) (Bendigeri et al.,2024).

Historical Background and Geographical Distribution: The disease has been reported in several countries, including Iraq and Pakistan. In Iraq, a recent study conducted a field survey across six different geographical locations and confirmed the presence of Xap in severely infected pomegranate plants (Sinjare et al., 2023). The spread of the disease in regions where it was previously unrecorded as also discussed (Sinjare et al., 2023).

Economic Significance: The economic impact of this blight caused by Xap on pomegranate production is substantial. The disease has caused significant losses in recent years, emphasizing the need for effective control measures (Tayyab et al., 2023).

Causal Organism: *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*

Taxonomy and Classification

Xanthomonas axonopodis pv. *punicae* (Xap) is a gram-negative bacterium belonging to the family Xanthomonadaceae. It is a pathovar of *Xanthomonas axonopodis*, which includes several other pathovars causing diseases in various plant species (Kumar et al., 2020).

Pathogenicity Factors and Mechanisms of Infection

Xap infects pomegranate plants through natural openings or wounds, employing type III secretion system (T3SS) to inject effector proteins into the plant cells (Sivaraman et al., 2022). These effector proteins affect the plant's defense mechanisms, allowing the bacterium to colonize and cause disease (Mondal et al., 2020).

Comparison with Other *Xanthomonas* Species

Xap shares similarities with other *Xanthomonas* species causing blight diseases in other crops. For example, bacterial leaf blight in rice caused *Xanthomonas oryzae* pv. *oryzae* (Xoo). Both Xap and Xoo employs T3SS for pathogenicity, but they differ in their host specificity and effector protein repertoires (Kumar et al., 2020).

Genetic Aspects of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*

Genetic Structure and Variability

Xap exhibits genetic variability, which can be attributed to its ability to adapt to different environments and evade host defense mechanisms (Kale et al., 2012). Molecular typing techniques such as MLST (Multi-Locus Sequence Typing) and REP (Repetitive Extragenic Palindromic) -PCR have been used to study the genetic diversity of Xap strains (Kumar et al., 2020).

Role of Genetic Factors in Disease Resistance and Pathogenicity

The pathogenicity and virulence of Xap are largely determined by genetic factors. The bacterium's genome encodes various genes involved in pathogenicity, including those for T3SS and effector proteins. Understanding the genetic basis of pathogenicity is essential for developing effective disease management strategies (Mondal et al., 2020).

Recent Advances in Molecular Tools

Research on Xap genetics has been made easier by recent developments in molecular technologies. The genetic makeup and evolutionary history of Xap have been clarified by whole-genome sequencing and comparative genomics. These investigations have also revealed genes linked to pathogenicity and virulence that may be useful targets for the treatment of disease. (Kale et al., 2012).

Management Strategies

Current Management Practices

Current management practices for bacterial blight of pomegranate include cultural practices such as pruning infected branches, removing weeds, and using clean irrigation water (Maity et al., 2018). Chemical control methods, such as the use of copper-based bactericides, are also employed. However, these methods have limitations and can lead to the development of resistance in bacterial populations (Ambadkar et al., 2015).

Salicylic Acid Mediated Strategies

Salicylic acid (SA) is a plant hormone that plays a key role in plant defense against pathogens. The constituent phenolics also plays an important role in disease resistance (Anmod and Baig, 2023). Recent studies have explored the potential of SA-mediated strategies to combat bacterial blight in pomegranate. Application of SA has been shown to enhance plant resistance to Xap by inducing systemic acquired resistance (SAR) (Ambadkar et al., 2015).

Future Directions in Disease Management

Future directions in disease management include the development of resistant varieties through breeding programs and genetic engineering (Dineshkumar & Antony, 2022).). Understanding the genetic basis of resistance in pomegranate will be crucial for developing effective breeding strategies (da Silva et al., 2013). Additionally, the use of biocontrol agents and integrated pest management (IPM) approaches can provide sustainable solutions for managing bacterial blight (Maity et al., 2018).

Conclusion

Bacterial blight of pomegranate, caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*, is a significant disease affecting pomegranate production worldwide. Understanding the genetic aspects of the bacterium is essential for developing effective disease management strategies (da Silva et al., 2013) (Chathalingath & Gunasekar, 2023). Recent advances in molecular tools have provided insights into the genetic structure and evolution of Xap, identifying potential targets for disease management (Ambadkar et al., 2015).

Continuous research in bacterial genetics is crucial for effective disease management (Dineshkumar & Antony, 2022).). Future studies should focus on developing resistant varieties, exploring the potential of SA-mediated strategies, and integrating biocontrol agents and IPM approaches for sustainable disease management (da Silva et al., 2013).

References:

1. Ambadkar, C.V., Dhawan, A.S. and Shinde, V.N., (2015). Integrated management of bacterial blight disease (oily spot) of pomegranate caused by *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*. International Journal of Plant Sciences, 2015, Vol. 10, No. 1, 19-23.
2. Anmod, A.B. and Baig, M. M. V. (2023) Antimicrobial Properties of *Punica granatum* Extracts: Phenolic Concentration and Antimicrobial potential. Journal of Chemical Health Risks. 13(6), 3468-3474.
3. Bendigeri, M.M., Pathade, G.R., Chonde, S.G. and Attar, Y.C. (2024) Screening of Actinomycetes effects against Pathogen causing Bacterial Blight of Pomegranate. DOI:10.53550/eec.2023.v29i03s.036
4. Chathalingath, N., & Gunasekar, A. (2023). Elucidating the physiological and molecular characteristics of bacterial blight incitant *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*; a life threatening phytopathogen of pomegranate (*Punica granatum*. L) and assessment of H₂O₂ accumulation during host-pathogen interaction. Microbial pathogenesis, 182, 106277.
5. da Silva, J.A.T., Rana, T.S., Narzary, D., Verma, N., Meshram, D.T. and Ranade, S.A., (2013). Pomegranate biology and biotechnology: A review. Scientia Horticulturae, 160, pp.85-107.
6. Dineshkumar, K., & Antony, G. (2022). Computational identification of putative copper-binding proteins in pomegranate bacterial blight pathogen *Xanthomonas citri* pv. *punicae*. Archives of microbiology, 204(7), 362.
7. Kale, P.B., Chimote, V.P., Raghuvanshi, K.S., Kale, A.A., Jadhav, A.S. and Borkar, S.G., (2012). Microbial, Biochemical, Pathogenicity and Molecular Characterization of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* from Pomegranate. Journal Of Pure and Applied Microbiology, 6(4), pp.1699-1706.
8. Kumar, A., Sharma, J., Munjal, V., Sakthivel, K., Thalor, S.K., Mondal, K.K., Chinchure, S. and Gharate, R. (2020). Polyphasic phenotypic and genetic analysis reveals clonal nature of *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* causing pomegranate bacterial blight. Plant Pathology, 69(2), pp.347-359.
9. Maity, A., Sharma, J., Sarkar, A., More, A.K., Pal, R.K., Nagane, V.P. and Maity, A., (2018). Salicylic acid mediated multi-pronged strategy to combat bacterial blight disease (*Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*) in pomegranate. European Journal of Plant Pathology, 150, pp.923-937.
10. Mondal, K.K., Soni, M., Verma, G., Kulshreshtha, A., Mrutyunjaya, S. and Kumar, R., (2020). *Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae* depends on multiple non-TAL (Xop) T3SS effectors for its coveted growth inside the pomegranate plant through repressing the immune responses during bacterial blight development. Microbiological Research, 240, p.126560.
11. Sinjare D. Y. K., & Jubrael, J. M. (2023). PCR-RFLP analysis of the 16S rRNA and its regions in bacterial blight (*Xanthomonas axonopodis* pv. *punicae*) across pomegranate farms in Kurdistan Regions-Iraq. Iraqi Journal of Agricultural Sciences, 54(2), 338-346.
12. Sivaraman, S., Krishnamoorthy, D., Arvind, K., Grace, T., Sharma, J., & Antony, G. (2022). TAL effectors and the predicted host targets of pomegranate bacterial blight pathogen *Xanthomonas citri* pv. *punicae*. Current genetics, 68(3-4), 361–373.

13. Tayyab, M., Sajid, M., Mehmood, Y., Sheeraz, M., Hussain, S., Irfan, M., Abbas, T., Hussain, S. and Tabassam, S.A., (2023). Impact of Plant Extracts and Chemicals against Bacterial Blight of Pomegranate. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 2(3), pp.223-230.