Nanotechnology Perceptions
ISSN 1660-6795
www.nano-ntp.com

"Role Of Circular Economy Principles In Mitigating Plastic Waste "A Sustainable Development Perspective"

Mr. Sagar Gupta[1,a)], Dr. Neeraj Kumar[2,b)], Dr. Swapandeep Kaur[3,c)],Dr. Neha Kumari [4,d)] Mr. Amanpreet Singh [5,e)]

[1]Assistant Professor, Chandigarh School of Business, Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri
[2]Assistant Professor, Chandigarh School of Business, Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri
[3]Assistant Professor, Chandigarh School of Business, Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri
[4]Assistant Professor, Chandigarh School of Business, Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri
[5]Assistant Professor, Chandigarh School of Business, Chandigarh Group of Colleges Jhanjeri

Corresponding Author [a)] sagar.j3200@cgc.ac.in
[b)] neeraj.j3233@cgc.ac.in
[c)] swapan,j3185@cgc.ac.in
[d)] neha.j3086@cgc.ac.in
[e)] aman,j3223@cgc.ac.in

The global plastic waste crisis poses serious environmental, economic, and health issues. A transition approach is required to address this ongoing crisis that aligns with sustainable development goals. With the help of empirical evidence, this paper explores the role of principles of circular economy in the mitigation of plastic waste and emphasizing their potential in facilitating sustainable production and consumption patterns, developing waste management systems, and promoting innovations in material science and product design. This paper analyses the effectiveness of CE principles in creation of more sustainable and resilient system for plastic waste management. The policy recommendations highlight the necessity `of government regulations and incentives, partnership and collaboration among industries, governments and consumers, and the synchronization of circular economy principles into national sustainability agendas. The paper concludes with a call to action in adoption of CE principles and reflects their potential to accomplish United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, particularly those related to accountable in production and consumption economic growth, health and well-being, and sustainable communities.

Keywords: Environmental, Economic, Health challenges, Circular Economy, Plastic Waste Management System, Innovation, Material Science, Product Design, National Sustainability Agenda, SDG's

Introduction

An economic system recognized as the "circular economy" that ato eliminate waste and ensure uninterrupted resource utilization of resources (Nilsen, H. R. 2020). It is a structured change that facilitates long-term resilience by identifying commercial and economic possibilities that benefits people and the environment. The overproduction and inappropriate disposal of plastic materials have resulted in an increasing environmental problem known as the plastic waste issue. It has been observed that around 8.3 billion metric tons of plastic have been made since the 1950s, mostly that has ended up as garbage. The pollution of seas, rivers, and landscapes by plastic garbage causes harm to ecosystems and species. Micro plastics are a threat to human health because they have gotten into food systems. Only a tiny portion of plastic garbage is recycled, indicating inefficiency in recycling. The majority are burned, disposed of in landfills, or seep into the environment. Both developed and developing nations are impacted by the problem. Many areas lack the infrastructure necessary for efficient management of plastic garbage.

Circular Economy Principles

The pressure that the mankind puts on the natural environment during the extraction and disposal of wastes is widely recognized. The notion of circular economy emerges as a potential solution to combat such issues (Velenturf, A. P., & Purnell, P. 2021). The principles of the circular economy revolve around creating regenerative and restorative systems that benefit entire mankind and its habitat.

The first principle about designing out waste and pollution, emphasizes the need to rethink and redesign products and systems to prevent waste and pollution from occurring in the first place (Keoleian, G. A., & Menerey, D. 1994). Key components include designing products using biodegradable or recyclable materials that are easy to disassemble, which facilitates material recovery when the product reaches the end of its life. This principle also promotes the development of innovative materials with a reduced environmental impact, such as bio-plastics or highly recyclable materials. The way we produce and the way we consume plays a significant role in minimizing waste. The second principle about keeping Products and Materials in use, focuses on enhancing the durability aspects of the products (Den Hollander et al., 2017). It encourages reuse by designing tough and repairable products for the economy. The third principle about regenerating natural systems, focuses on creation of sustainable environment (Gann, G. D. et al., 2019). This involves various practices such as composting, rainwater harvesting, pitfalls, use of renewable sources of energy etc.

Current Challenges in Plastic Waste Management

Plastic waste management faces serious issues that blocks the progress in coping up with the crisis of global plastic pollution. The first problem area is low recycling rates. It has been found that just 9% of the plastic waste is getting recycled globally (Mazhandu, Z. S et al., 2020). This is due to the contamination of plastic waste and the problems in segregating different plastic components. The second problem area is the lack of adequate infrastructure

to support waste management, especially in developing economies, particularly in developing countries. The economic factors like low cost of producing new plastic, driven by low oil prices, often makes recycling financially non-feasible. The costs associated with collecting and processing plastic waste further discourage recycling attempts. The consumer behavior also plays a significant role in the plastic waste dilemma due to single use plastics and lack of awareness regarding plastic free environmental campaigns. (Testa, F., et al., 2022).

Review of Literature

Authors	Year	Title	Focus	Key Points
Vidal et al.	2024	Designing a Circular Economy for a Sustainable Future	Unsustainable linear production and consumption of plastics	Integrated approach with technological, economic, and legal measures; various recycling pathways; road map for economic and legal interventions
Patil, P. B., & Pinjari, D. V.	2024	Management and Valorization of Plastic Waste	Novel approaches to turn plastic waste into worthy products	Emphasis on synchronizing circular economy principles to achieve SDG's
Pilapitiya, P. N. T., & Ratnayake, A. S.	2024	Current Research on Plastic and Its Waste Pollution	Summary of plastic's versatility and its impact on waste pollution	Includes applications, benefits, production, consumption, and classifications of plastics
Mousavi et al	2024	Business Aspects of Bioplastic Development	Economic and energy considerations of bio-plastics	Porter's five forces model and value chain analysis; anaerobic digestion for energy and fertilizer; comparison of biodegradable and non-biodegradable plastics
Thapa et al.	2024	EU Plastic Waste Exports for Recycling: A Vietnamese Case Study	Waste governance and circularity implications	Focuses on sustainability and justice implications of EU plastic waste exports
Viti et al.	2024	Circular Economy Principles in Plastic Waste Management	Implementation of circular economy	Challenges and strategies within the European context; significance of CE in

			principles in Europe	transforming the plastic industry
Kurniawan et al.	2024	Synergies among Waste Management and Climate Change Mitigation in Lombok	Challenges and opportunities in waste management and decarbonization	Explores the linkage of waste management with climate change mitigation with the digitization of the circular economy
Bandh et al.	2024	Waste Management and the Circular Economy	Interrelation of waste management and circular economy	Promotes sustainable approaches to environmental sustainability
Borah, S. J., & Kumar, V	2024	The 7Rs Principle in Waste Management	Comprehensive guide for responsible resource consumption and waste management	Introduces 7Rs- Reuse, Reduce, Rethink, Repair, Recycle, and Rot
Awino, F. B., & Apitz, S. E.	2024	Updated Conceptual Waste Framework for SWM Practices	Evaluates global SWM strategies and practices	Outlines the crucial steps, key factors, and stakeholders necessary for efficient solid waste management
Ganguly, R. K., & Chakraborty, S. K.	2024	Threats and Strategies of Plastic Waste in the Environment	Waste management during pandemic and after pandemic	Explores emerging issues and strategies in managing plastic waste
Ferronato et al.	2024	Circular Approaches of Plastic Waste in Low-Middle Income Settings	Analysis of plastic waste management strategies in the countries with low-middle	Focusses on reducing waste, strategies related with recycling, and awareness in public through campaigns in seven countries

Lauren, Heidbrink.	2023	Circular Economy as a Marketing Tool for Sustainable Development	Circular economy's potential as a marketing strategy for promoting sustainable development	Explores the potential of circular economy within the framework of the green economy
Olena et al.	2023	Circular Economy and Business Models for Sustainable Development	Overview of circular economy and business models	Offers an algorithm for execution that considers both legal and market framework
Haga et al.	2023	Impact of Circular Economy on Sustainability Development in Qatar and Kuwait	Awareness and policies of circular economy	Findings indicate insufficient awareness despite the presence of policies and laws for sustainability
Pankaj et al.	2023	Sustainable Circular Model for Micro-Nano Plastics Degradation	Novel sustainable circular model for micro-nano plastics degradation	Biochemical and biological methods to overcome environmental issues and provide sustainable secondary resources
Sutisa et al.	2023	Actor-Network Theory in Recycling Scheme of Plastic Packaging Waste	Recycling scheme of plastic packaging waste in Rayong Province, Thailand	Outlines the main actors, their roles, and responsibilities in the recycling program
Kuok et al.	2023	Attitudes and Behaviors Towards Plastic Pollution, Consumption, and Management	Recent studies on attitudes and behaviors related to plastic pollution	Key topics surrounding the plastics ecosystem and economy.
Pi, Cheng, Chen.	2023	Waste Input-Output Analysis in Taiwan's Circular Economy	Waste input-output analysis approach	Assembles model for a baseline ad four scenarios utilizing material flow and waste stream data

		Interventions		
B., Debnath et al.	2023	Barriers in Implementation of Sustainable Waste Management in Bangladeshi Plastic Industry	Barriers to sustainable waste management	Focuses on16 most relevant barriers through review of literature and expert inputs
Esther et al.	2023	Integrating Back-to-Monomer Recycling in Circular Economy	Holistic approach to back-to-monomer recycling	Integrates technological, ecological, and economic aspects
Dipti, Gupta., Satyan arayan, Dash.	2023	Extended Producer Responsibility Policy in India	Extended producer responsibility (EPR) policy changes	Analyzes recent changes in Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) policy, along with implementation challenges and supportive conditions
Carmen et al.	2023	MAREA Project in Plastic Injection Molding and 3D Printing	Recycling plastic waste into new objects through plastic injection molding and 3D printing	Offers the university community and society a chance to engage with the recycling process
Florin-Constantin, Mihai.	2023	Waste Mismanagement Practices in Rural Communities	Waste mismanagement in rural communities	Discusses serious waste mismanagement practices in rural communities around the world

Research Gaps

Several gaps have been found during review of literature regarding role of circular economy principles in mitigating plastic waste. First and foremost, in order to efficiently manage plastic waste, an empirical research is required that actually integrates circular economy ideas across people from diverse backgrounds. Moreover, very few studies have been carried out in this field especially in the state of Punjab. Last but not least, creating context-specific solutions for a sustainable circular economy requires bridging the gap between global policies and local implementation obstacles.

Objectives of the Study

To study the difference between demographic groups in terms of familiarity with circular economy principles, awareness of its concepts, or agreement with their effectiveness in mitigating plastic waste .

Research Methodology

The primary data and secondary data have been taken together to meet the objectives of the study. A sample of 100 respondents has been taken from the area of Punjab through random sampling method. A questionnaire has been developed to gather comprehensive data on people's awareness, attitude and perceived effectiveness towards circular economy principles in mitigating plastic waste. A review of literature has also been done for the last two years to study the role of circular economy principles in mitigating plastic waste.

Hypothesis for the study

Null hypothesis (H_O): There is no significant difference between demographic groups (age, gender, education, occupation) in terms of familiarity with circular economy principles, awareness of its concepts, or agreement with their effectiveness in addressing plastic waste .

Alternative Hypothesis (H_A): There is significant difference between demographic groups in terms of familiarity with circular economy principles, awareness of its concepts, or agreement with their effectiveness in addressing plastic waste .

Data analysis and Interpretation

ANOVA Table																	
		Age				Gender				Education Level				Occupation			
		F	Sig.	Eta	Eta Squared	F	Sig.	Eta	Eta Squared	F	Sig.	Eta	Eta Squared	F	Sig.	Eta	Eta Squared
How familiar are you with the concept of a circular economy	Between Groups	1.147	.340	.225	.051	.080	.778	.030	.001	1.229	.304	.202	.041	.954	.437	.206	.043
	Within																

	Groups																
Have you heard about the principles of the circular eco1my before this survey	Between Groups	1.467	.219	.253	.064	.757	.387	.092	.008	1.488	.224	.221	.049	1.010	.407	.212	.045
	Within Groups																
How 3 do you think it is to transition to a circular economy to address plastic waste	Between Groups	.167	.955	.088	.008	1.857	.176	.143	.020	.972	.410	.180	.032	.259	.904	.109	.012
	Within Groups																
To what extent do you 4 with the following statement: "Plastic waste is a significant environmental issue".	Between Groups	1.001	.412	.211	.044	.221	.639	.050	.002	.485	.694	.128	.016	1.547	.196	.259	.067
	Within Groups																
Do you 4 that implementing circular economy principles can	Between Groups	.420	.794	.138	.019	1.225	.271	.117	.014	.479	.698	.127	.016	.309	.872	.119	.014
	Within																

significantly reduce plastic waste?	Groups															

Findings

The table presents the results of a series of ANOVA (Analysis of Variance) tests to examine the relationship among the variables (age, gender, education level, and occupation) and four different dependent variables (How familiar are you with the concept of a circular economy, Have you heard about the principles of the circular economy before this survey, How difficult do you think it is to transition to a circular economy to address plastic waste, and To what extent do you agree with the statement "Plastic waste is a significant environmental issue", and Do you agree that implementing circular economy principles can significantly reduce plastic waste).

The table shows that there are significant differences between groups for the variables "How familiar are you with the concept of a circular economy" ($F = 1.147$, $p = .340$, $\eta^2 = .051$) and "Have you heard about the principles of the circular economy before this survey" ($F = 1.467$, $p = .219$, $\eta^2 = .064$). These results suggest that there are some differences between groups in terms of their familiarity with circular economy concepts and their level of awareness about its principles.

For example, for "How familiar are you with the concept of a circular economy", the F-value is 1.147, which indicates that there is some variation between groups in terms of familiarity. The p-value is .340, which suggests that these differences are not statistically significant at the .05 level. The eta-squared value (.051) indicates that only about 5% of the variation in familiarity can be explained by the group differences.

In contrast, for "How difficult do you think it is to transition to a circular economy to address plastic waste", there is no significant difference between groups ($F = .167$, $p = .955$, $\eta^2 = .008$). This suggests that respondents across different age groups, genders, education levels, and occupations have similar opinions about the difficulty of transitioning to a circular economy.

For "To what extent do you agree with the statement "Plastic waste is a significant environmental issue"""", there is also no significant difference between groups ($F = 1.001$, $p = .412$, $\eta^2 = .044$). This reveals that the respondents from different age groups, genders, education levels, and occupations have similar opinion regarding significance of plastic waste as an environmental issue.

Finally, for "Do you agree that implementing circular economy principles can significantly reduce plastic waste?", there is no significant difference between groups ($F = .420$, $p = .794$, $\eta^2 = .019$). This reveals that respondents from different age groups, genders, education levels, and occupation have almost similar opinions about the effectiveness of circular economy principles in reducing plastic waste.

Overall, the results indicate that while there are some variations between the groups regarding their knowledge of the ideas and principles of the circular economy, these variations are minimal and does not reach statistical significance. Furthermore, the respondents with a range of ages, genders, educational backgrounds, and professional backgrounds typically hold similar views about the challenges of transitioning to a circular economy.

Key insights into the main forces influencing sustainable behavior can be gained from the multiple response analysis of the question, "What motivates you to engage in sustainable practices?" Concern for the environment stood as the most important motivator among the criteria taken into consideration, accounting for 64.18 percent of all employees. This indicates that the vast majority of people are driven mostly by ecological factors. Cost-effectiveness is a significant factor, as evidenced by the fact that economic savings, which accounted for 20.90% of the responses, was the second most frequently mentioned reason. Social influence accounted for 11.90%, indicating a minor but possible influence from social pressure on sustainable decision-making. On the other hand, convenience ranked a little as 2.99% of the factors considered, and regulatory requirements received 0%of the responses. These results reveals that intrinsic motivation, such as environmental concern, outweigh external factors like regulation or convenience in encouragement of sustainable practices.

Garret table for Constraints faced by respondents for the adoption of no Plastic Waste

Constraints	Value	Rank	Garret Value
Lack of awareness	31	1	10
Lack of access to facilities	11	2	30
High cost	8	3	50
Insufficient regulatory support	6	4	70
Inconvenience	5	5	90

The constraint analysis identifies a number of significant obstacles that require attention. With a Garret value of 10, "lack of awareness comes in first, suggesting that many people are still not aware of available options or services. This implies that a formal education programs are needed to create an updated society. The "lack of access to facilities which came in second place with a Garret value of 30, is closely behind. This restriction highlights the difficulties that people, especially from disadvantaged backgrounds, encounter when attempting to obtain services. It suggests that enhancing the' available infrastructure could solve this problem. With a Garret value of 50, the third limitation "High cost" indicates that although financial obstacles are important, people view them as somewhat less crucial. With a Garret value of 70, the fourth limitation "Insufficient regulatory support" suggests that while regulatory issues are acknowledged, they are seen as less immediate and advocates for better regulatory frameworks that can foster a more conducive environment for service delivery. With a Garret value of 90, "Inconvenience," being ranked fifth indicates that it may not deter individuals as strongly as the other constraints while it affects participation. There is a strong need for streamlining processes and improving user experience but does not require immediate action. Overall, the findings realizes the importance of a blended approach that

enhance awareness and access improvements while also addressing cost and regulatory challenges aim to create a more inclusive and effective system that serves community needs in a better way.

Based on the ANOVA results and the constraint analysis, we can evaluate the hypotheses:

1. Null Hypothesis (H_0): The ANOVA results consistently show that there are no statistically significant differences between groups (age, gender, education, occupation) regarding their familiarity with circular economy principles, awareness of its concepts, or agreement with the effectiveness of these principles in addressing plastic waste. Additionally, the low eta-squared values suggest that demographic differences explain only a small portion of the variation in responses. These findings suggest that group-based differences do not have a significant impact on opinions about circular economy principles or their role in plastic waste management, lending support to the null hypothesis that there is no significant difference between demographic groups in terms of familiarity with circular economy principles in mitigating plastic waste.
2. Alternative Hypothesis (H_1): While there are some differences in awareness and familiarity with circular economy principles, these differences are not statistically significant. However, the multiple response analysis shows that environmental concern is the primary motivator for engaging in sustainable practices, indicating that individuals are inclined to adopt circular economy principles based on intrinsic values rather than external factors such as regulation or convenience. This highlights that the notion of a circular economy is being taken care by environmental motivations. The ANOVA results show no significant statistical relationship across demographic variables. Therefore, the alternative hypothesis is not strongly supported by the data.

Call to Action for Adopting Circular Economy Principles in Plastic Waste Management

An immediate and coordinated effort is the need of an hour to combat against this boiling issue of plastic waste. The harmful impacts of plastic waste on the environment, economy, and society can only be significantly mitigated by embracing circular economy principles. The collaboration between governments, corporations, and individuals is crucial to accomplish this objective. A major focus area is the need to reduce plastic production by shifting from single-use plastics towards adoption of sustainable measures. The investments in advanced recycling technologies and infrastructure can improve recycling efficiency and increase recycling rates too. It is also essential to push for a sustainable design, making products that are recyclable, durable, and repairable, which can help to reduce waste and save resources. Finally, consumer engagement matters, because educating and compelling people to do recycle and use green products can align of many towards a bigger cause - reduction in plastic waste and a sustainable future.

Conclusion

The adoption of the concepts of the circular economy is not just a necessity for the environment and mankind, but also a route towards attainment of sustainable growth that can improve social cohesion, ecological health, and economic resilience. The appropriate actions will help us to make a smooth transition towards an economy with more sustainable and circular future by transforming the way we think about plastic waste.

References

1. Nilsen, H. R. (2020). The hierarchy of resource use for a sustainable circular economy. International Journal of Social Economics, 47(1), 27-40.
2. Purvis, B., Mao, Y., & Robinson, D. (2019). Three pillars of sustainability: in search of conceptual origins. Sustainability science, 14, 681-695.
3. Velenturf, A. P., & Purnell, P. (2021). Principles for a sustainable circular economy. Sustainable production and consumption, 27, 1437-1457.
4. Keoleian, G. A., & Menerey, D. (1994). Sustainable development by design: review of life cycle design and related approaches. Air & Waste, 44(5), 645-668.
5. Den Hollander, M. C., Bakker, C. A., & Hultink, E. J. (2017). Product design in a circular economy: Development of a typology of key concepts and terms. Journal of Industrial Ecology, 21(3), 517-525.
6. Gann, G. D., McDonald, T., Walder, B., Aronson, J., Nelson, C. R., Jonson, J., ... & Dixon, K. (2019). International principles and standards for the practice of ecological restoration. Restoration ecology, 27(S1), S1-S46.
7. Mazhandu, Z. S., Muzenda, E., Mamvura, T. A., Belaid, M., & Nhubu, T. (2020). Integrated and consolidated review of plastic waste management and bio-based biodegradable plastics: Challenges and opportunities. Sustainability, 12(20), 8360.
8. Testa, F., Gusmerotti, N., Corsini, F., & Bartoletti, E. (2022). The role of consumer trade-offs in limiting the transition towards circular economy: The case of brand and plastic concern. Resources, Conservation and Recycling, 181, 106262.
9. Camilleri, M. A. (2020). European environment policy for the circular economy: Implications for business and industry stakeholders. Sustainable Development, 28(6), 1804-1812.
10. Storey, D., Santucci, L., Fraser, R., Aleluia, J., & Chomchuen, L. (2015). Designing effective partnerships for waste-to-resource initiatives: Lessons learned from developing countries. Waste Management & Research, 33(12), 1066-1075.
11. Vidal, F., van der Marel, E. R., Kerr, R. W., McElroy, C., Schroeder, N., Mitchell, C., ... & Williams, C. K. (2024). Designing a circular carbon and plastics economy for a sustainable future. Nature, 626(7997), 45-57.
12. Patil, P. B., & Pinjari, D. V. (2024). Plastic Waste to Wealth: Sustainable Approach Toward a Circular Economy. In From Waste to Wealth (pp. 437-455). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
13. Pilapitiya, P. N. T., & Ratnayake, A. S. (2024). The world of plastic waste: a review. Cleaner Materials, 100220.
14. Mousavi, M., Kowsari, E., Gheibi, M., Cheshmeh, Z. A., Teymoorian, T., & Ramakrishna, S. (2024). Assessing Bioplastics' Economic, Commercial, Political, and Energy Potential with Circular Economy Modeling: a Sustainable Solution to Plastic Waste Management. Materials Circular Economy, 6(1), 6.
15. Thapa, K., Vermeulen, W. J., De Waal, M. M., Deutz, P., & Nguyễn, H. Q. (2024). Towards a just circular economy transition: the case of European plastic waste trade to vietnam for recycling. Circular Economy and Sustainability, 1-26.
16. Viti, C., Velazquez Villa, S. N., & Ton, N. (2024). Examining the Role of Circular Economy for Plastic Waste Management.

17. Kumar, M., Bhujbal, S. K., Kohli, K., Prajapati, R., Sharma, B. K., Sawarkar, A. D., ... & Bolan, N. (2024). A review on value-addition to plastic waste towards achieving a circular economy. Science of the Total Environment, 171106.
18. Kurniawan, T. A., Meidiana, C., Goh, H. H., Zhang, D., Othman, M. H. D., Aziz, F., ... & Ali, I. (2024). Unlocking synergies between waste management and climate change mitigation to accelerate decarbonization through circular-economy digitalization in Indonesia. Sustainable Production and Consumption, 46, 522-542.
19. Bandh, S. A., Malla, F. A., Wani, S. A., & Hoang, A. T. (2024). Waste Management and Circular Economy. In Waste Management in the Circular Economy (pp. 1-17). Cham: Springer International Publishing.
20. Borah, S. J., & Kumar, V. (2024). Fundamental Principles of Waste Management for a Sustainable Circular Economy. In Integrated Waste Management: A Sustainable Approach from Waste to Wealth (pp. 1-11). Singapore: Springer Nature Singapore.
21. Awino, F. B., & Apitz, S. E. (2024). Solid waste management in the context of the waste hierarchy and circular economy frameworks: An international critical review. Integrated Environmental Assessment and Management, 20(1), 9-35.
22. Ganguly, R. K., & Chakraborty, S. K. (2024). Plastic waste management during and post Covid19 pandemic: Challenges and strategies towards circular economy. Heliyon
23. Ferronato, N., Maalouf, A., Mertenat, A., Saini, A., Khanal, A., Copertaro, B., ... & Mohandas, V. J. (2024). A review of plastic waste circular actions in seven developing countries to achieve sustainable development goals. Waste Management & Research, 42(6), 436-458.
24. Upama, Nasrin, Haq. (2023). Implementing circular economy principles in the apparel production process: Reusing pre-consumer waste for sustainability of environment and economy. Cleaner waste systems, doi: 10.1016/j.clwas.2023.100108
25. Lauren, Heidbrink. (2023). Circular Economy as a Sustainable Development Marketing Tool. Advances in marketing, customer relationship management, and e-services book series, doi: 10.4018/978-1-6684-8681-8.ch015
26. Olena, Churikanova., I., V., Turchaninova. (2023). A common future: the role of the circular economy and green energy in creating sustainable development. Вісник Хмельницького національного університету, doi: 10.31891/2307-5740-2023-316-2-21
27. Haga, Abdelrahman, Elimam. (2023). Contribution of Circular Economy on Achieving Sustainable Development. International Business Research, doi: 10.5539/ibr.v16n5p1
28. Pankaj, Pathak., Lakhveer, Singh., Deepshikha, Sharma, Dharma, Raj., D., K., Gupta. (2023). A novel circular approach to analyze the challenges associated with micro-nano plastics and their sustainable remediation techniques. Journal of Environmental Science and Health Part A-toxic\/hazardous Substances & Environmental Engineering, doi: 10.1080/10934529.2023.2208507
29. Sutisa, Samitthiwetcharong., Pratin, Kullavanijaya., Kultip, Suwanteep., Orathai, Chavalparit. (2023). Towards sustainability through the circular economy of plastic packaging waste management in Rayong Province, Thailand. Journal of Material Cycles and Waste Management, doi: 10.1007/s10163-023-01657-0
30. Kuok, Ho, Daniel, Tang. (2023). Attitudes towards Plastic Pollution: A Review and Mitigations beyond Circular Economy. doi: 10.3390/waste1020034
31. Pi, Cheng, Chen. (2023). Carbon reduction of plastic's circular strategies: tracking the effects along supply chains with waste input–output modeling. Sustainable environment research, doi: 10.1186/s42834-023-00178-7
32. Debnath., A.B.M., Mainul, Bari., Syed, Mithun, Ali., Tazim, Ahmed., Irfan, Ali., Golam, Kabir. (2023). Modelling the Barriers to Sustainable Waste Management in the Plastic-Manufacturing

Industry: An Emerging Economy Perspective. Sustainability analytics and modeling, doi: 10.1016/j.samod.2023.100017
33. Esther, Brepohl., Mandy, Paschetag., S., Scholl. (2023). Monomer Recycling as Complementary Technology in a Circular Economy. Chemie Ingenieur Technik, doi: 10.1002/cite.202300052
34. Dipti, Gupta., Satyanarayan, Dash. (2023). Challenges of implementing extended producer responsibility for plastic-waste management: lessons from India. Social Responsibility Journal, doi: 10.1108/srj-08-2022-0326
35. Carmen, Ladrón, de, Guevara, Muñoz., Jose, Antonio, Merino., Oscar, DE, COZAR, MACIAS., Francisca, CASTILLO, RUEDA., Adrian, MARIN, BOYERO., Francisco, Jose, ORTIZ, ZAMORA. (2023). Circular economy applied to plastic waste: redesign of a low-cost extruder. doi: 10.6036/10653
36. Florin-Constantin, Mihai. (2023). Circular Economy and Sustainable Rural Development. Sustainability, doi: 10.3390/su15032139
37. Schmidt, J., Auer, M., Moesslein, J., Wendler, P., Wiethoff, S., Lang-Koetz, C., & Woidasky, J. (2021). Challenges and solutions for plastic packaging in a circular economy. Chemie Ingenieur Technik, 93(11), 1751-1762.