# New Operators Using Pythagorean Fuzzy Open Sets

A. Vadivel<sup>1</sup>, G. Gavaskar<sup>2</sup>, G. Saravanakumar<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PG and Research Department of Mathematics, Arignar Anna Government Arts College, Namakkal - 637 002, India, avmaths@gmail.com <sup>2</sup>Department of Mathematics, Annamalai University, Annamalai Nagar - 608 002, India. <sup>3</sup>Department of Mathematics, Vel Tech Rangarajan Dr.Sagunthala R&D Institute of Science and Technology

(Deemed to be University), Avadi, Chennai-600062, India

In this chapter, some new operators called pf (resp.  $pf\delta$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}, pf\delta\mathcal{S}, pf\delta\alpha$  and  $pf\delta\beta$ ) frontier respective border and exterior with the help of pfos (resp.  $pf\delta os$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}os$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{S}os$ ,  $pf\delta\alpha os$  and  $pf\delta\beta os$ )'s in Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces are introduced. Further, the important properties are discussed with examples. Also, Pythagorean fuzzy set is portrayed by membership and non-membership, more forceful to seize indeterminacy. Here we applied one similarity measures for decision making problem in Industry, and given solution for employee assignment for the project.

**Keywords:**  $pf\delta os$ ,  $pf\delta cs$ ,  $pf\delta int(K)$  and  $pf\delta cl(K)$ .

#### 1. Introduction

Considering the imprecision in decision-making, Zadeh [30] introduced the idea of fuzzy set which has a membership function,  $\mu$  that assigns to each element of the universe of discourse, a number from the unit interval [0,1] to indicate the degree of belongingness to the set under consideration. The notion of fuzzy sets generalizes classical sets theory by allowing intermediate situations between the whole and nothing. In a fuzzy set, a membership function is defined to describe the degree of membership of an element to a class. The membership value ranges from 0 to 1, where 0 shows that the element does not belong to a class, 1 means belongs, and other values indicate the degree of membership to a class. For fuzzy sets, the membership function replaced the characteristic function in crisp sets. The concept of fuzzy set theory seems to be inconclusive because of the exclusion of nonmembership function and the disregard for the possibility of hesitation margin.

Atanassov critically studied these shortcomings and proposed a concept called intuitionistic fuzzy sets (IFSs) [1, 2, 4, 5]. The construct (that is, IFS's) incorporates both membership

function,  $\mu$  and nonmembership function,  $\nu$  with hesitation margin,  $\pi$  (that is, either membership nor non-membership functions), such that  $\mu + \nu \leq 1$  and  $\mu + \nu + \pi = 1$ . Atanassov [3] introduced intuitionistic fuzzy sets of second type (IFSST) with the property that the sum of the square of the membership and non-membership degrees is less than or equal to one. This concept generalizes IFS's in a way. The notion of IFS's provides a flexible framework to elaborate uncertainty and vagueness. The idea of IFS seems to be resourceful in modelling many real-life situations like medical diagnosis [7, 8, 12, 24, 25], career determination [10], selection process [11], and multi-criteria decision-making [15, 16, 17], among others.

There are situations where  $\mu + \nu \ge 1$  unlike the cases capture in IFS's. This limitation in IFS naturally led to a construct, called Pythagorean fuzzy sets (pfs's). Pythagorean fuzzy set (pfs) proposed in [27, 28, 29] is a new tool to deal with vagueness considering the membership grade,  $\mu$  and non-membership grade,  $\nu$  satisfying the conditions  $\mu + \nu \le 1$  or  $\mu + \nu \ge 1$ , and also, it follows that  $\mu^2 + \nu^2 + \pi^2 = 1$ , where  $\pi$  is the Pythagorean fuzzy set index. In fact, the origin of Pythagorean fuzzy sets emanated from IFSST earlier studied in the literature. As a generalized set, PFS has close relationship with IFS. The construct of PFS's can be used to characterize uncertain information more sufficiently and accurately than IFS. Garg [14] presented an improved score function for the ranking order of interval-valued Pythagorean fuzzy sets (IVPFSs). Based on it, a Pythagorean fuzzy technique for order of preference by similarity to ideal solution (TOPSIS) method by taking the preferences of the experts in the form of interval-valued Pythagorean fuzzy decision matrices was discussed. Other explorations of the theory of PFS's can be found in [6, 9, 13, 18, 19, 22, 23].

In this paper, some new operators called neutrosophic  $\delta$  frontier, neutrosophic  $\delta$  border and neutrosophic  $\delta$  exterior with the help of neutrosophic  $\delta$ -open sets in neutrosophic topological spaces are introduced. Also, the important properties of them and discussed their relations with examples. Finally, we just applied only one similarity measure in the decision making of industry problem.

#### 2 Preliminaries

We recall some basic notions of fuzzy sets, IFS's and pfs's.

Definition 2.1 [30] Let X be a nonempty set. A fuzzy set A in X is characterized by a membership function  $\mu_A: X \to [0,1]$ . That is:

$$\mu_A(x) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if} & x \in X \\ 0, & \text{if} & x \notin X \\ (0,1) & \text{if } x \text{ is partly in } X. \end{cases}$$

Alternatively, a fuzzy set A in X is an object having the form  $A = \{ < x, \mu_A(x) > | x \in X \}$  or  $A = \{ \left( \frac{\mu_A(x)}{x} \right) | x \in X \}$ , where the function  $\mu_A(x) \colon X \to [0,1]$  defines the degree of membership of the element,  $x \in X$ .

The closer the membership value  $\mu_A(x)$  to 1, the more x belongs to A, where the grades 1 Nanotechnology Perceptions Vol. 20 No. S14 (2024)

and 0 represent full membership and full nonmembership. Fuzzy set is a collection of objects with graded membership, that is, having degree of membership. Fuzzy set is an extension of the classical notion of set. In classical set theory, the membership of elements in a set is assessed in a binary terms according to a bivalent condition; an element either belongs or does not belong to the set. Classical bivalent sets are in fuzzy set theory called crisp sets. Fuzzy sets are generalized classical sets, since the indicator function of classical sets is special cases of the membership functions of fuzzy sets, if the latter only take values 0 or 1. Fuzzy sets theory permits the gradual assessment of the membership of element in a set; this is described with the aid of a membership function valued in the real unit interval [0,1].

#### Let us consider two examples:

(i) all employees of XYZ who are over 1.8m in height; (ii) all employees of XYZ who are tall. The first example is a classical set with a universe (all XYZ employees) and a membership rule that divides the universe into members (those over 1.8m) and nonmembers. The second example is a fuzzy set, because some employees are definitely in the set and some are definitely not in the set, but some are borderline.

This distinction between the ins, the outs, and the borderline is made more exact by the membership function,  $\mu$ . If we return to our second example and let A represent the fuzzy set of all tall employees and x represent a member of the universe X (i.e. all employees), then  $\mu_A(x)$  would be  $\mu_A(x) = 1$  if x is definitely tall or  $\mu_A(x) = 0$  if x is definitely not tall or  $0 < \mu_A(x) < 1$  for borderline cases.

Definition 2.2 [1, 2, 4, 5] Let a nonempty set X be fixed. An IFS A in X is an object having the form:  $A = \{ < x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) > | x \in X \}$  or  $A = \{ \left( \frac{\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)}{x} \right) | x \in X \}$ , where the functions  $\mu_A(x) \colon X \to [0,1]$  and  $\nu_A(x) \colon X \to [0,1]$  define the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, of the element  $x \in X$  to A, which is a subset of X, and for every  $x \in X$ :  $0 \le \mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) \le 1$ . For each A in X:  $\pi_A(x) = 1 - \mu_A(x) - \nu_A(x)$  is the intuitionistic fuzzy set index or hesitation margin of x in X. The hesitation margin  $\pi_A(x)$  is the degree of nondeterminacy of  $x \in X$  to the set A and  $\pi_A(x) \in [0,1]$ . The hesitation margin is the function that expresses lack of knowledge of whether  $x \in X$  or  $x \notin X$ . Thus:  $\mu_A(x) + \nu_A(x) + \pi_A(x) = 1$ .

Example 2.1 Let  $X = \{x, y, z\}$  be a fixed universe of discourse and  $A = \{\left(\frac{0.6, 0.1}{x}\right), \left(\frac{0.8, 0.1}{y}\right), \left(\frac{0.5, 0.3}{z}\right)\}$ , be the intuitionistic fuzzy set in X. The hesitation margins of the elements x, y, z to A are as follows:  $\pi_A(x) = 0.3$ ,  $\pi_A(y) = 0.1$  and  $\pi_A(z) = 0.2$ .

Definition 2.3 [27, 28, 29] Let X be a universal set. Then, a Pythagorean fuzzy set A, which is a set of ordered pairs over X, is defined by the following:  $A = \{\langle x, \mu_A(x), \nu_A(x) | x \in X \}$  or  $A = \{\left\langle \frac{\mu_A(x), \nu_A(x)}{x} \right\rangle | x \in X \}$ , where the functions  $\mu_A(x) \colon X \to [0,1]$  and  $\nu_A(x) \colon X \to [0,1]$  define the degree of membership and the degree of nonmembership, respectively, of the element  $x \in X$  to A, which is a subset of X, and for every  $x \in X$ ,  $0 \le (\mu_A(x))^2 + (\nu_A(x))^2 \le 1$ . Supposing  $(\mu_A(x))^2 + (\nu_A(x))^2 \le 1$ , then there is a degree of indeterminacy of  $x \in X$  to A defined by  $\pi_A(x) = \sqrt{1 - [(\mu_A(x))^2 + (\nu_A(x))^2]}$  and  $\pi_A(x) \in [0,1]$ . In what follows,

 $(\mu_A(x))^2 + (\nu_A(x))^2 + (\pi_A(x))^2 = 1$ . Otherwise,  $\pi_A(x) = 0$  whenever  $(\mu_A(x))^2 + (\nu_A(x))^2 = 1$ . We denote the set of all PFS's over X by pfs(X).

Definition 2.4 [29] Let A and B be pfs's of the forms  $A = \{ \langle a, \lambda_A(a), \mu_A(a) \rangle | a \in X \}$  and  $B = \{ \langle a, \lambda_B(a), \mu_B(a) \rangle | a \in X \}$ . Then

- 1.  $A \subseteq B$  if and only if  $\lambda_A(a) \le \lambda_B(a)$  and  $\mu_A(a) \ge \mu_B(a)$  for all  $a \in X$ .
- 2. A = B if and only if  $A \subseteq B$  and  $B \subseteq A$ .
- 3.  $\overline{A} = \{ \langle a, \mu_A(a), \lambda_A(a) \rangle | a \in X \}$ .
- 4.  $A \cap B = \{ \langle a, \lambda_A(a) \wedge \lambda_B(a), \mu_A(a) \vee \mu_B(a) \rangle | a \in X \}$ .
- 5.  $A \cup B = \{ \langle a, \lambda_A(a) \vee \lambda_B(a), \mu_A(a) \wedge \mu_B(a) > | a \in X \}.$
- 6.  $\phi = \{ < a, \phi, X > | a \in X \} \text{ and } X = \{ < a, X, \phi > | a \in X \}.$
- 7.  $\overline{X} = \phi$  and  $\overline{\phi} = X$ .

Definition 2.5 [21] An Pythagorean fuzzy topology by subsets of a non-empty set X is a family  $\tau$  of pfs's satisfying the following axioms.

- 1.  $\phi, X \in \tau$ .
- 2.  $G_1 \cap G_2 \in \tau$  for every  $G_1, G_2 \in \tau$  and
- 3.  $\bigcup$   $G_i \in \tau$  for any arbitrary family  $\{G_i | i \in j\} \subseteq \tau$ . The pair  $(X, \tau)$  is called an Pythagorean fuzzy topological space (pfts in short) and any pfs G in  $\tau$  is called an Pythagorean fuzzy open set (pfos in short) in X. The complement  $\overline{A}$  of an Pythagorean fuzzy open set A in an pfts A in an pfts A is called an Pythagorean fuzzy closed set (pfcs in short).

Definition 2.6 [21] Let  $(X,\tau)$  be an pfts and  $A=\{< a,\lambda_A(a),\mu_A(a)>|a\in X\}$  be an pfs in X. Then the interior and the closure of A are denoted by pfint(A) and pfcl(A) and are defined as follows: pfcl(A) =  $\cap$  {K|K isan pfcs and A  $\subseteq$  K} and pfint(A) =  $\cup$  {G|G isan pfos and G  $\subseteq$  A}. Also, it can be established that pfcl(A) is an pfcs and pfint(A) is an pfos, A is an pfcs if and only if pfcl(A) = A and A is an pfos if and only if pfint(A) = A. We say that A is pf-dense if pfcl(A) = X.

Lemma 2.1 [26] For any Pythagorean fuzzy set A in  $(X, \tau)$ , we have X - pfint(A) = pfcl(X - A) and X - pfcl(A) = pfint(X - A).

Definition 2.7 [26] Let  $(X,\tau)$  be an pfts and A be an pfs. Then A is said to be an Pythagorean fuzzy (i) regular open set (pfros in short) if A = pfint(pfcl(A)). (ii) regular closed set (pfrcs in short) if A = pfcl(pfint(A)). By Lemma 2.1, it follows that A is an pfros iff  $\overline{A}$  is an pfrcs.

## 3 Pythagorean fuzzy frontier

In this section, pf (resp. pf $\delta$ , pf $\delta$ P, pf $\delta$ S, pf $\delta$ a and pf $\delta$  $\beta$ ) frontier respective border and exterior with the help of pf $\delta$ os (resp. pf $\delta$ Pos, pf $\delta$ Sos, pf $\delta$ aos and pf $\delta$  $\beta$ os) are introduced and discussed their properties in pfts.

Definition 3.1 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an pfts and  $A = \{ < a, \lambda_A(a), \mu_A(a) > | a \in X \}$  be an pfs in X. Then the  $\delta$ -interior and the  $\delta$ -closure of A are denoted by pf $\delta$ int(A) and pf $\delta$ cl(A) and are defined as follows. pf $\delta$ cl $(A) = \cap \{K | K \text{ is an pfrcs and } A \subseteq K \}$ , (pf $\delta$ int $(A) = \cup \{G | G \text{ is an pfros and } G \subseteq A \}$ .

Definition 3.2 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an pfts and  $A = \{ \langle a, \lambda_A(a), \mu_A(a) \rangle | a \in X \}$  be an pfs in X. A set A is said to be pf

- 1.  $\delta$ -open set (briefly,  $pf\delta os$ ) if  $A = pf\delta int(A)$ ,
- 2.  $\delta$ -pre open set (briefly,  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}os$ ) if  $A \subseteq pfint(pf\delta cl(A))$ .
- 3.  $\delta$ -semi open set (briefly,  $pf\delta Sos$ ) if  $A \subseteq pfcl(pf\delta int(A))$ .
- 4.  $\delta$ - $\alpha$  open set or  $\alpha$ -open set (briefly,  $pf\delta\alpha os$  or pfaos) if  $A \subseteq pfint(pfcl(pf\delta int(A)))$ .
- 5.  $\delta$ - $\beta$  open set or  $e^*$ -open set (briefly,  $pf\delta\beta os$  or  $pfe^*os$ ) if  $A \subseteq pfcl(pfint(pf\delta cl(A)))$ .
- 6.  $\delta$  (resp.  $\delta$ -pre,  $\delta$ -semi,  $\delta$ - $\alpha$  and  $\delta$ - $\beta$ ) dense if  $pf\delta cl(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta pcl(A), pf\delta \mathcal{S}cl(A), pf\delta \alpha cl(A)$  and  $pf\delta \beta cl(A)) = X$ .

The complement of an  $pf\delta os$  (resp.  $pf\delta Pos, pf\delta Sos, pf\delta as$  and  $pf\delta fos$ ) is called an  $pf\delta$  (resp.  $pf\delta P, pf\delta S, pf\delta a$  and  $pf\delta fos$ ) closed set (briefly,  $pf\delta cs$ ) (resp.  $pf\delta Pcs, pf\delta Scs, pf\delta acs$  and  $pf\delta fos$ ) closed set (briefly,  $pf\delta cs$ ).

The family of all  $pf\delta os$  (resp.  $pf\delta cs, pf\delta Pcs, pf\delta Sos, pf\delta Scs, pf\delta aos, pf\delta acs, pf\delta \beta os$  and  $pf\delta \beta cs$ ) of X is denoted by  $pf\delta OS(X)$ ,  $pf\delta POS(X), pf\delta POS(X), pf\delta POS(X), pf\delta SOS(X), pf\delta SOS(X), pf\delta aos(X), pf\delta aos(X),$ 

Definition 3.3 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an pfts and  $A = \{ < a, \lambda_A(a), \mu_A(a) > | a \in X \}$  be an pfs in X. Then the  $pf\delta$ -pre (resp.  $pf\delta$ -semi,  $pf\delta\alpha$  and  $pf\delta\beta$ )-interior and the  $pf\delta$ -pre (resp.  $pf\delta$ -semi,  $pf\delta\alpha$  and  $pf\delta\beta$ )-closure of A are denoted by  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}int(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta\mathcal{S}int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta\alpha int(A)$  and  $pf\delta\beta int(A)$ ) and the  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}cl(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta\mathcal{S}cl(A), pf\delta\alpha cl(A)$  and  $pf\delta\beta cl(A)$  and are defined as follows:

 $pf\delta\mathcal{P}int(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta\mathcal{S}int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta\alpha int(A)$  and  $pf\delta\beta int(A) = \cup \{G|G \text{ in a } pf\delta\mathcal{P}os \text{ (resp. } pf\delta\mathcal{S}os, pf\delta\alpha os \text{ and } pf\delta\beta os \text{) and } G \subseteq A\}$ 

and  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}cl(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta\mathcal{S}cl(A), pf\delta\alpha cl(A)$  and  $pf\delta\beta cl(A) = \bigcap \{K|K \text{ is an } pf\delta\mathcal{P}cs \text{ (resp. } pf\delta\mathcal{S}cs, pf\delta\alpha cs, pf\delta\beta cs) \text{ and } A \subseteq K\}.$ 

Definition 3.4 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts and let A be a pfs in pfts. Then the pf (resp.  $pf\delta$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}, pf\delta\mathcal{S}, pf\delta\alpha$  and  $pf\delta\beta$ ) frontier of A is denoted by pfFr(A) (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}Fr(A)$ , and  $pf\delta\beta\mathcal{F}Fr(A)$ ) and is defined by  $pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) \cap pfcl(A^c)$  (resp.  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}Fr(A) = pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}Fr(A) = pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A)$   $pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A)$  and  $pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A) \cap pf\delta\mathcal{F}I(A)$ .

Example 3.1 Let  $X = \{x_1, x_2\}$  and the pfs's  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  and A are defined as

$$\begin{split} \mu_{A_1}(a) &= 0.5, \gamma_{A_1}(a) = 0.6, \mu_{A_1}(b) = 0.3, \gamma_{A_1}(b) = 0.4; \mu_{A_2}(a) = 0.6, \gamma_{A_2}(a) \\ &= 0.5, \mu_{A_2}(b) = 0.4, \gamma_{A_2}(b) = 0.3; \mu_{A_3}(a) = 0.5, \gamma_{A_3}(a) = 0.7, \mu_{A_3}(b) \\ &= 0.2, \gamma_{A_3}(b) = 0.9; \mu_{A}(a) = 0.6, \gamma_{A}(a) = 0.5, \mu_{A}(b) = 0.4, \gamma_{A}(b) = 0.3. \end{split}$$

We have  $\tau = \{0_X, 1_X, A_1, A_2, A_3\}$  be a *pfts* on *X*. Then

- 1.  $pfFr(A) = pf\delta SFr(A) = pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta \alpha Fr(A) = pf\delta \beta Fr(A) = A^c$ .
- 2.  $pf\delta Fr(A) = A_2^c$ .

Remark 3.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , pfFr(A) (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A$ 

Theorem 3.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a *pfts*. Let *A* be a *pfss* in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then the following conditions are true.

- 1.  $pfFr(A) = pfFr(A^c)$ .
- 2.  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta Fr(A^c)$ .
- 3.  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Fr(A) = pf\delta \mathcal{P}Fr(A^c)$ .
- 4.  $pf\delta SFr(A) = pf\delta SFr(A^c)$ .
- 5.  $pf\delta\alpha Fr(A) = pf\delta\alpha Fr(A^c)$ .
- 6.  $pf\delta\beta Fr(A) = pf\delta\beta Fr(A^c)$ .

Proof. (i) Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Definition 3.4,  $pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) \cap pfcl(A^c) = pfcl(A^c) \cap pfcl(A) = pfcl(A^c) \cap (pfcl(A^c)^c)$ . Again by Definition 3.4, this is equal to  $pfFr(A^c)$ . Hence  $pfFr(A) = pfFr(A^c)$ .

(ii) Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) = pf\delta cl(A^c) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)^c)$ . Again by Definition 3.4, this is equal to  $pf\delta Fr(A^c)$ . Hence  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta Fr(A^c)$ . The proof of other cases are similar.

Theorem 3.2 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an pfts and let A and B be Pythagorean fuzzy sets. Then the following hold. [(i)]

- 1.  $pf\delta int(\phi) = \phi$  and  $pf\delta int(X) = X$ .
- 2. A is a  $pf\delta os$  iff  $A = pf\delta int(A)$ .
- 3.  $pf\delta int(A)$  is the greatest  $pf\delta os$  containing A.
- 4.  $pf\delta int(pf\delta int(A)) = pf\delta int(A)$ .
- 5.  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq pf\delta int(B)$ .
- 6.  $pf\delta int(A \cap B) = pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta int(B)$ .

- 7.  $pf\delta int(A \cup B) \supseteq pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta int(B)$ .
- 8.  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A$ .
- 9.  $(X pf\delta int(A)) = pf\delta cl(X A)$ .
- 10.  $pf\delta int(A)$  is the greatest  $pf\delta os$  contained in A.

Theorem 3.3 Let  $(X, \tau)$  be an pfts and let A and B be Pythagorean fuzzy sets. Then the following hold. [(i)]

- 1.  $pf\delta cl(\phi) = \phi$  and  $pf\delta cl(X) = X$ .
- 2. *A* is an  $pf\delta cs$  iff  $A = pf\delta cl(A)$ .
- 3.  $pf\delta cl(A)$  is the smallest  $pf\delta cs$  contained in A.
- 4.  $pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A)) = pf\delta cl(A)$ .
- 5.  $A \subseteq B$  implies that  $pf\delta cl(A) \subseteq pf\delta cl(B)$ .
- 6.  $pf\delta cl(A \cap B) \subseteq pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)$ .
- 7.  $pf\delta cl(A \cup B) = pf\delta cl(A) \cup pf\delta cl(B)$ .
- 8.  $A \subseteq pf\delta cl(A)$ .
- 9.  $(X pf\delta cl(A)) = pf\delta int(X A)$ .
- 10.  $x \in pf\delta cl(A)$  iff  $A \cap B \neq \phi$  for every  $pf\delta os\ B$  containing x.
- 11.  $pf\delta cl(A) = A \text{ iff } A \text{ is a } pf\delta cs.$

Theorem 3.4 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then the following statements are true.

- 1. pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) pfint(A).
- 2.  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) pf\delta int(A)$ .
- 3.  $pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta Pcl(A) pf\delta Pint(A)$ .
- 4.  $pf\delta SFr(A) = pf\delta Scl(A) pf\delta Sint(A)$ .
- 5.  $pf\delta\alpha Fr(A) = pf\delta\alpha cl(A) pf\delta\alpha int(A)$ .
- 6.  $pf\delta\beta Fr(A) = pf\delta\beta cl(A) pf\delta\beta int(A)$ .
- Proof. (i) Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . By Theorem 3.3 (ix),  $(pfcl(A^c))^c = pfint(A)$  and by Definition 3.4,  $pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) \cap (pfcl(A^c)) = pfcl(A) \cap (pfint(A^c))^c$ . By using  $A B = A \cap B^c$ , pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) pfint(A). Hence pfFr(A) = pfcl(A) pfint(A).
- (ii) Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . By Theorem 3.3 (ix),  $(pf\delta cl(A^c))^c = pf\delta int(A)$  and by Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta int(A^c))^c$ . By using  $A B = A \cap B^c$ ,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) pf\delta int(A)$ . Hence  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) pf\delta int(A)$ . The proof of others are similar.

Theorem 3.5 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  is pfcs (resp.  $pf\delta cs$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ,

Proof. Let A be a  $pf\delta cs$  in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \subseteq pf\delta cl(A)$ . By using Theorem 3.3 (ix),  $pf\delta cl(A) = A$ . Hence  $pf\delta Fr(A) \subseteq A$ , if A is  $pf\delta cs$  in X.

Conversely, Assume that,  $pf\delta Fr(A) \subseteq A$ . Then  $pf\delta cl(A) - pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A$ . Since  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A$ , we conclude that  $pf\delta cl(A) = A$  and hence A is  $pf\delta cs$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.6 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . If A is a pfos (resp.  $pf\delta Sos$ ,  $pf\delta Sos$ 

Proof. Let A be a  $pf\delta os$  in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . By Definition 3.2,  $A^c$  is  $pf\delta cs$  in X. By Theorem 3.5,  $pf\delta Fr(A^c) \subseteq A^c$  and by Theorem 3.5, we get  $pf\delta Fr(A) \subseteq A^c$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.7 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Let  $A \subseteq B$  and B be a pfcs (resp.  $pf\delta cs$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P} cs$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{$ 

Proof. By Theorem 3.3 (v),  $A \subseteq B$ ,  $pf\delta cl(A) \subseteq pf\delta cl(B)$ . By Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \subseteq pf\delta cl(B) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \subseteq pf\delta cl(B)$ . Then by Theorem 3.3 (ix), this is equal to B. Hence  $pf\delta Fr(A) \subseteq B$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.8 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then  $(pfFr(A))^c = pfint(A) \cup pfint(A^c)$  (resp.  $(pf\delta Fr(A))^c = pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta int(A^c)$ ,  $(pf\delta PFr(A))^c = pf\delta Pint(A) \cup pf\delta Pint(A^c)$ ,  $(pf\delta PFr(A))^c = pf\delta PFr(A) \cup pf\delta$ 

Proof. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Definition 3.4,  $(pf\delta Fr(A))^c = (pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c))^c = ((pf\delta cl(A))^c \cup (pf\delta cl(A^c))^c$ . By Theorem 3.3 (ix), which is equal to  $pf\delta int(A^c) \cup pf\delta int(A)$ . Hence  $(pf\delta Fr(A))^c = pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta int(A^c)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Proposition 3.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a *pfts*. Let *A* be a *pfs* in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then [(i)]

- 1.  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq pfint(A)$
- 2.  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq pf\delta Sint(A) \subseteq pf\delta \beta int(A)$
- 3.  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A) \subseteq pf\delta \beta int(A)$
- 4.  $pf\delta\alpha int(A) \subseteq pf\delta\delta int(A) \subseteq pf\delta\beta int(A)$

- 5.  $pf\delta\alpha int(A) \subseteq pf\delta\mathcal{P}int(A) \subseteq pf\delta\beta int(A)$
- 6.  $pf\delta cl(A) \supseteq pfcl(A)$
- 7.  $pf\delta cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta Scl(A) \supseteq pf\delta \beta cl(A)$
- 8.  $pf\delta cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta \mathcal{P}cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta \beta cl(A)$
- 9.  $pf\delta\alpha cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta\delta cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta\beta cl(A)$
- 10.  $pf\delta\alpha cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta\mathcal{P}cl(A) \supseteq pf\delta\beta cl(A)$ .

Theorem 3.9 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta PFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta SFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta AFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta AFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta AFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta AFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta AFr(A)$  and  $pf\delta AFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta AFr(A)$ .

Proof. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Proposition 3.1,  $pfcl(A) \subseteq pf\delta cl(A)$  and  $pfcl(A^c) \supseteq pf\delta cl(A^c)$ . By Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c) \supseteq pfcl(A) \cap pfcl(A^c)$ , this is equal to pfFr(A). Hence  $pfFr(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ . The proof of other cases are similar.

Theorem 3.10 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfcl(pfFr(A)) \subseteq pfFr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta cl(pf\delta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Pcl(pf\delta PFr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Scl(pf\delta SFr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta SFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta acl(pf\delta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$  and  $pf\delta Pcl(pf\delta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ .

Proof. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then by Definition 3.4,  $pf\delta cl(pf\delta Fr(A)) = pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))) \subseteq (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A))) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A^c)))$ . By Theorem 3.3 (iv),  $pf\delta cl(pf\delta Fr(A)) = pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))$ . By Definition 3.4, this is equal to  $pf\delta Fr(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.11 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfFr(pfint(A)) \subseteq pfFr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(pf\delta int(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pint(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pint(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pint(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$  and  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pint(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ .

Proof. Let *A* be a *pfs* in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then

```
pf\delta Fr(pf\delta int(A)) = pf\delta cl(pfint(A)) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A))^c)[byDefinition 3.4]
= pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A)) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A^c)))[byTheorem 3.43.2(ix)]
= pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))[byTheorem 3.43.23.3(iv)]
\subseteq pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)[byTheorem 3.43.23.33.2(viii)]
= pf\delta Fr(A)[byDefinition 3.43.23.33.23.4].
```

Hence  $pf\delta Fr(pf\delta int(A)) \subseteq (pf\delta Fr(A))$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.12 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfFr(pfcl(A)) \subseteq pfFr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(pf\delta cl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pcl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pcl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pcl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$  and  $pf\delta PFr(pf\delta Pcl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(A)$ .

Proof. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then

$$\begin{split} pf\delta Fr(pf\delta cl(A)) &= pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A)) \cap \\ (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A))^c)[byDefinition 3.4] \\ &= pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A^c)))[byTheorems 3.43.3(iv)] \\ &= and 3.43.33.2(v)\&(ix)] \\ &\subseteq pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)[byTheorem 3.43.33.23.3(viii)] \\ &= pf\delta Fr(A)[byDefinition 3.43.33.23.33.4] \end{split}$$

Hence  $pf\delta Fr(pf\delta cl(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.13 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then  $pfint(A) \subseteq A - pfFr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A - pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(A) \subseteq A - pf\delta PFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Sint(A) \subseteq A - pf\delta SFr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta aint(A) \subseteq A - pf\delta AFr(A)$  and  $pf\delta PFr(A)$ .

Proof. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Now by Definition 3.4,

$$A - pf\delta Fr(A) = A \cap (pf\delta Fr(A))^{c}$$

$$= A \cap [pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^{c})]^{c}$$

$$= A \cap [pf\delta int(A^{c}) \cup pf\delta int(A)]$$

$$= [A \cap pf\delta int(A^{c})] \cup [A \cap pf\delta int(A)]$$

$$= [A \cap pf\delta int(A^{c})] \cup pf\delta int(A) \supseteq pf\delta int(A)$$

Hence  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A - pf\delta Fr(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Remark 3.2 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a *pfts*. Let *A* be a *pfs* in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then the following conditions are hold:

- 1.  $pfFr(A) \cap pfint(A) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(A) \cap pf\delta int(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Fr(A) \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{S}Fr(A) \cap pf\delta \mathcal{S}int(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta \alpha Fr(A) \cap pf\delta \alpha int(A) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta \beta Fr(A) \cap pf\delta \beta int(A) = 0_P$ ),
- 2.  $pfint(A) \cup pfFr(A) = pfcl(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(A) \cup pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta Pcl(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(A) \cup pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta Pcl(A)$ ,  $pf\delta Sint(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta Scl(A)$ ,  $pf\delta aint(A) \cup pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta acl(A)$  and  $pf\delta PFr(A) = pf\delta Pcl(A)$ ,

3.  $pfint(A) \cup pfint(A^c) \cup pfFr(A) = 1_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta int(A^c) \cup pf\delta Fr(A) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(A) \cup pf\delta Pint(A^c) \cup pf\delta PFr(A) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta Sint(A) \cup pf\delta Sint(A^c) \cup pf\delta SFr(A) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta aint(A) \cup pf\delta aint(A^c) \cup pf\delta aFr(A) = 1_P$  and  $pf\delta Bint(A) \cup pf\delta Bint(A^c) \cup pf\delta BFr(A) = 1_P$ .

Theorem 3.14 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then  $pfFr(A \cup B) \subseteq pfFr(A) \cup pfFr(B)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(A \cup B) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A) \cup pf\delta PFr(B)$ , and  $pf\delta PFr(A) \cup pf\delta PFr(B)$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(B) \subseteq pf\delta PFr(B)$ .

Proof. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then

$$pf\delta Fr(A \cup B) = pf\delta cl(A \cup B) \cap pf\delta cl(A \cup B)^{c}[byDefinition 3.4]$$
$$= pf\delta cl(A \cup B) \cap pf\delta cl(A^{c} \cap B^{c})$$

 $\subseteq (pf\delta cl(A) \cup pf\delta cl(B) \cap ((pf\delta cl(A^c))) \cap (pf\delta cl(B^c))[byTheorem3.43.3(vii)\&(ix)]$ 

 $= [(pf\delta cl(A) \cup (pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)))] \cap [(pf\delta cl(A) \cup (pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(B^c)))]$ 

 $= [(pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)) \cup ((pf\delta cl(B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))))] \cap [(pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(B^c)))]$ 

$$\cup \; ((pf\delta cl(B) \cap (pf\delta cl(B^c))))]$$

 $= [pf\delta Fr(A) \cup (pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))] \cap [(pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(B^c))) \cup (pf\delta Fr(B))]$ 

[byDefinition3.43.33.4]

 $= (pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B)) \cap [(pf\delta cl(B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))) \cup ((pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B^c)))]$ 

 $\subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B).$ 

Hence,  $pf\delta Fr(A \cup B) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 3.15 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfFr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pfFr(A) \cap (pfcl(B))) \cup (pfFr(B) \cap pfcl(A))$  (resp.  $pf\delta Fr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pf\delta Fr(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(B))) \cup (pf\delta Fr(B) \cap N\delta cl(A))$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pf\delta PFr(A) \cap (pf\delta PFr(B))) \cup (pf\delta PFr(B) \cap N\delta PCl(A))$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pf\delta PFr(A) \cap (pf\delta PFr(B))) \cup (pf\delta PFr(B) \cap N\delta PCl(A))$ ,  $pf\delta PFr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pf\delta PFr(A) \cap (pf\delta PFr(B))) \cup (pf\delta PFr(B) \cap N\delta PCl(A))$  and  $pf\delta PFr(A \cap B) \subseteq (pf\delta PFr(A) \cap (pf\delta PFr(B))) \cup (pf\delta PFr(B) \cap N\delta PCl(A))$ .

Proof. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then

$$pf\delta Fr(A\cap B)=pf\delta cl(A\cap B)\cap (pf\delta cl(A\cap B)^c)[byDefinition 3.4]$$

```
= pf\delta cl(A \cap B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c \cup B^c))
                        \subseteq (pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c) \cup
pf\delta cl(B^c))[byTheorem3.43.3(vii)&(ix)]
                       = [(pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)] \cup [(pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap
pf\delta cl(B^c)
                        = (pf\delta Fr(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cup (pf\delta Fr(B) \cap
pf\delta cl(A))[byDefinition3.43.33.4].
Hence pf\delta Fr(A \cap B) \subseteq ((pf\delta Fr(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(B))) \cup (pf\delta Fr(B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A)))).
The proof of the others are similar.
Corollary 3.1 Let (X, \Gamma_P) be a pfts. Let A and B be a pfs's in (X, \Gamma_P), then pfFr(A \cap
(B) \subseteq pfFr(A) \cup pfFr(B) (resp. pf\delta Fr(A \cap B) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B), pf\delta \mathcal{P}Fr(A \cap B) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(B))
(B) \subseteq pf \delta \mathcal{P} Fr(A) \cup pf \delta \mathcal{P} Fr(B),
                                                               pf\delta SFr(A \cap B) \subseteq pf\delta SFr(A) \cup pf\delta SFr(B),
pf\delta\alpha Fr(A\cap B)\subseteq pf\delta\alpha Fr(A)\cup pf\delta\alpha Fr(B)
                                                                       and
                                                                                  pf\delta\beta Fr(A\cap B)\subseteq pf\delta\beta Fr(A)\cup
pf\delta\beta Fr(B)).
 Proof. Let A and B be a pfs's in (X, \Gamma_P). Then
                       pf\delta Fr(A \cap B) = pf\delta cl(A \cap B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A \cap B)^c)[byDefinition 3.4]
                        = pf\delta cl(A \cap B) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c \cup B^c))
                        \subseteq (pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c) \cup
pf\delta cl(B^c))[byTheorem3.43.3(vii)&(ix)]
                       = (pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c) \cup (pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cap
(pf\delta cl(B^c)))
                        = (pf\delta Fr(A) \cap pf\delta cl(B)) \cup (pf\delta cl(A) \cap
pf\delta Fr(B)[byDefinition3.43.33.4]
                       \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup (pf\delta Fr(B)).
Hence pf\delta Fr(A \cap B) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A) \cup pf\delta Fr(B).
The proof of the others are similar.
Theorem 3.16 Let (X, \Gamma_P) be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in (X, \Gamma_P),
   1.
       (a) pfFr(pfFr(A)) \subseteq pfFr(A),
       (b) pfFr(pfFr(pfFr(A))) \subseteq pfFr(pfFr(A)).
   2.
       (a) pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A),
       (b) pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A)).
```

```
3.
       (a) pf\delta SFr(pf\delta SFr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta SFr(A),
       (b) pf\delta SFr(pf\delta SFr(pf\delta SFr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta SFr(pf\delta SFr(A)).
    4.
       (a) pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(A),
       (b) pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(pf\delta\mathcal{P}Fr(A)).
    5.
       (a) pf\delta\alpha Fr(pf\delta\alpha Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta\alpha Fr(A),
       (b) pf\delta\alpha Fr(pf\delta\alpha Fr(pf\delta\alpha Fr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta\alpha Fr(pf\delta\alpha Fr(A)).
    6
       (a) pf\delta\beta Fr(pf\delta\beta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta\beta Fr(A),
       (b) pf\delta\beta Fr(pf\delta\beta Fr(pf\delta\beta Fr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta\beta Fr(pf\delta\beta Fr(A)).
  Proof. (ii) (a) Let A be a pfs in (X, \Gamma_P). Then
                         pf\delta Fr(N\delta Fr(A)) = N\delta cl(pf\delta Fr(A)) \cap
N\delta cl(pf\delta Fr(A)^c)[byDefinition 3.4]
                         = N\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A)) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c))^c))
                                                                           [byDefinition3.43.4]
                         \subseteq (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A)) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta cl(A^c))) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A^c))) \cup
(pf\delta int(A))
                                                                           [bvTheorem 3.43.43.3(iv)&(ix)]
                         = (pf\delta cl(A) \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)) \cap (pf\delta cl(pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta int(A))))
                                                                           [byTheorem 3.43.43.33.3(iv)]
                         \subseteq pf\delta cl(A) \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)
                         = pf \delta Fr(A)[byDefinition 3.43.43.33.33.4].
 Therefore pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A).
(b) Again, pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A))) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(pf\delta Fr(A)).
The proof of the others are similar.
```

## 4 Pythagorean fuzzy border and exterior

In this section, the Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta P$ ,  $\delta S$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) border, Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta P$ ,  $\delta S$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) exterior using Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta P$ ,  $\delta S$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) open sets are introduced and discussed their properties in pfts.

Definition 4.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . The Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) border of A (briefly, pfBr(A) (resp.  $pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A)$  and  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A)$ )) is defined by  $pfBr(A) = A \cap pfint(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A)$ .

Example 4.1 In Example 3.1, the *pfs A* is defined as

$$\mu_A(a) = 0.6, \gamma_A(a) = 0.5, \mu_A(b) = 0.4, \gamma_A(b) = 0.3.$$

Then  $pfBr(A) = pf\delta Br(A) = pf\delta SBr(A) = pf\delta PBr(A) = pf\delta \alpha Br(A) = pf\delta \beta Br(A) = pf\delta Br(A)$ 

Theorem 4.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . If A is pfcs (resp.  $pf\delta cs$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ , then pfBr(A) = pfFr(A) (resp.  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ), then  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$  (resp.  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$  (resp.  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$  (resp.  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ),  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}cs$ ), pf

Proof. Let A be a  $pf\delta cs$  of X. Then by Theorem 3.3 (ix),  $pf\delta cl(A) = A$ . Now,  $pf\delta Fr(A) = pf\delta cl(A) - pf\delta int(A) = A - pf\delta int(A) = pf\delta Br(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.2 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $A = pfint(A) \cup pfBr(A)$  (resp.  $A = pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $A = pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A) \cup pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A)$ ).

Proof. Let  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in A$ . If  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A)$ , then the result is obvious. If  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \not\in pf\delta int(A)$ , then by the definition of  $pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta Br(A)$ . Hence  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta Br(A)$  and so  $A \subseteq pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta Br(A)$ . On the other hand, since  $pf\delta int(A) \subseteq A$  and  $pf\delta Br(A) \subseteq A$ , we have  $pf\delta int(A) \cup pf\delta Br(A) \subseteq A$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.3 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfint(A) \cap pfBr(A) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(A) \cap pf\delta PBr(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Sint(A) \cap pf\delta SBr(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta aint(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta pint(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ .

Proof. Suppose  $pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A) \neq 0_P$ . Let  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A)$ . Then  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A)$  and  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta Br(A)$ . Since  $pf\delta Br(A) = A - pf\delta int(A)$ , then  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in A$ . But  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A)$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in A$ . There is a contradiction. Hence  $pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.4 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then A is a pfos (resp.  $pf\delta os$ ,  $pf\delta Pos$ 

Proof. Necessity: Suppose A is  $pf\delta os$ . Then by Theorem 3.2 (x),  $pf\delta int(A) = A$ . Now,  $pf\delta Br(A) = A - pf\delta int(A) = A - A = 0_P$ .

Sufficiency: Suppose  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ . This implies,  $A - pf\delta int(A) = 0_P$ . Therefore  $A = pf\delta int(A)$  and hence A is  $pf\delta os$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Corollary 4.1 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts,  $pfBr(0_P) = 0_P$  and  $pfBr(1_P) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(0_P) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta Br(1_P) = 0_P$ ).

Proof. Since  $0_P$  and  $1_P$  are  $pf\delta os$ , by Theorem 4.4,  $pf\delta Br(0_P)=0_P$  and  $pf\delta Br(1_P)=0_P$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.5 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfBr(pf int(A)) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(pf\delta int(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(pf\delta Pint(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(pf\delta Pint(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(pf\delta Pint(A)) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta PBr(pf\delta Pint(A)) = 0_P$ ).

Proof. By the Definition 4.1,  $pf\delta Br(pf\delta int(A)) = pf\delta int(A) - pf\delta int(pf\delta int(A))$ . By Theorem 3.2 (iv),  $pf\delta int(pf\delta int(A)) = pf\delta int(A)$  and hence  $pf\delta Br(pf\delta int(A)) = 0_P$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.6 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfint(pfBr(A)) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Pint(pf\delta PBr(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Sint(pf\delta SBr(A)) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta aint(pf\delta Br(A)) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ .

Proof. Let  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A))$ . Since  $pf\delta Br(A) \subseteq A$ , by Theorem 3.2 (i),  $pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A)) \subseteq pf\delta int(A)$ . Hence  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A)$ . Since  $pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A)) \subseteq pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta Br(A)$ . Therefore  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} \in pf\delta int(A) \cap pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $x_{(\alpha,\beta,\gamma)} = 0_P$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.7 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then pfBr(pfBr(A)) = pfBr(A) (resp.  $pf\delta Br$  ( $pf\delta Br(A)$ ) =  $pf\delta Br(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(A)$  =  $pf\delta PBr(A)$  =  $pf\delta PBr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(A)$  =  $pf\delta P$ 

Proof. By the Definition 4.1,  $pf\delta Br(pf\delta Br(A)) = pf\delta Br(A) - pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A))$ . By Theorem 4.6  $pf\delta int(pf\delta Br(A)) = 0_P$  and hence  $pf\delta Br(pf\delta Br(A)) = pf\delta Br(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.8 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts and A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then the following statements are equivalent.

- 1. A is pfos (resp.  $pf\delta os$ ,  $pf\delta Pos$ ,  $pf\delta Sos$ ,  $pf\delta \alpha os$  and  $pf\delta \beta os$ );
- 2. A = pfint(A) (resp.  $A = pf\delta int(A)$ ,  $A = pf\delta Pint(A)$ ,  $A = pf\delta Sint(A)$ ,  $A = pf\delta aint(A)$  and  $A = pf\delta \beta int(A)$ );

3.  $pfBr(A) = 0_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta PBr(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta SBr(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ ,  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ ).

Proof. (i)  $\rightarrow$  (ii): Obvious from Theorem ??.

(ii)  $\rightarrow$  (iii): Suppose that  $A = pf\delta int(A)$ . Then by Definition,  $pf\delta Br(A) = pf\delta int(A) - pf\delta int(A) = 0_P$ .

(iii)  $\rightarrow$  (i): Let  $pf\delta Br(A) = 0_P$ . Then by Definition 4.1,  $A - pf\delta int(A) = 0_P$  and hence  $A = pf\delta int(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.9 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts and A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then,  $pfBr(A) = A \cap pfcl(A^c)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}cl(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}cl(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}cl(A^c)$  and  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) = A \cap pf\delta \mathcal{P}cl(A^c)$ .

Proof. Since  $pf\delta Br(A) = A - pf\delta int(A)$  and by Theorem ??,  $pf\delta Br(A) = A - (pf\delta cl(A^c))^c = A \cap (pf\delta cl(A^c)^c) = A \cap pf\delta cl(A^c)$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.10 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfBr(A) \subseteq pfFr(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Br(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Br(A) \subseteq pf\delta \mathcal{P}Fr(A)$ .

Proof. Since  $A \subseteq pf\delta cl(A)$ ,  $A - pf\delta int(A) \subseteq pf\delta cl(A) - pf\delta int(A)$ . That implies,  $pf\delta Br(A) \subseteq pf\delta Fr(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Definition 4.2 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts and A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . The Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) interior of  $A^c$  is called the Pythagorean fuzzy (resp.  $\delta$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{P}$ ,  $\delta \mathcal{S}$ ,  $\delta \alpha$  and  $\delta \beta$ ) exterior of A and it is denoted by pfExt(A) (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A)$  and  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A)$ ). That is,  $pfExt(A) = pfint(A^c)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A) = pf\delta int(A^c)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A) = pf\delta \mathcal{P}int(A^c)$ .

Example 4.2 In Example 3.1, the pfs A is defined as

$$\mu_A(a) = 0.6, \gamma_A(a) = 0.5, \mu_A(b) = 0.4, \gamma_A(b) = 0.3.$$

Then  $pfExt(A) = pf\delta Ext(A) = pf\delta SExt(A) = pf\delta PExt(A) = pf\delta \alpha Ext(A) = pf\delta \beta Ext(A) = A_1$ .

Theorem 4.11 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfExt(A) = (pfcl(A))^c$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A) = (pf\delta cl(A))^c$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(A) = (pf\delta Pcl(A))^c$ ,  $pf\delta Ext(A) = (pf\delta Scl(A))^c$ ,  $pf\delta AExt(A) = (pf\delta Acl(A))^c$  and  $pf\delta BExt(A) = (pf\delta Bcl(A))^c$ ).

Proof. We know that,  $1_P - pf\delta cl(A) = pf\delta int(A^c)$ , then  $pf\delta Ext(A) = pf\delta int(A^c) = (pf\delta cl(A))^c$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.12 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfExt(pf Ext(A)) = pfint(pfcl(A)) \supseteq pfint(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(pf\delta Ext(A)) = pf\delta int(pf\delta cl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta int(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(pf\delta PExt(A)) = pf\delta Pint(pf\delta Pcl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta P$  int(A),  $pf\delta SExt(pf\delta SExt(A)) = pf\delta Sint(pf\delta Scl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta Sint(A)$ ,  $pf\delta aExt(pf\delta aExt(A)) = pf\delta aint(pf\delta acl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta aint(A)$  and  $pf\delta BExt(pf\delta BExt(A)) = pf\delta Bint(pf\delta Bcl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta Bint(A)$ .

Proof. Now,  $pf\delta Ext(pf\delta Ext(A)) = pf\delta Ext(pf\delta int(A^c)) = pf\delta int((pf\delta int(A^c))^c) = pf\delta int(pf\delta cl(A)) \supseteq pf\delta int(A).$ 

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.13 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A and B be a pfs's in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . If  $A \subseteq B$ , then  $pfExt(B) \subseteq pfExt(A)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(B) \subseteq pf\delta Ext(A)$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(B)$  and  $pf\delta PExt(B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(B)$ .

Proof. Suppose  $A \subseteq B$ . Now,  $pf\delta Ext(B) = pf\delta int(B^c) \subseteq pf\delta int(A^c) = pf\delta Ext(A)$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.14 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfExt(1_P) = 0_P$  and  $pfExt(0_P) = 1_P$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(1_P) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta Ext(0_P) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(1_P) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ , and  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ , and  $pf\delta PExt(0_P) = 1_P$ .

Proof. Now,  $pf\delta Ext(1_P) = pf\delta int((1_P)^c) = pf\delta int(0_P)$  and  $pf\delta Ext(0_P) = pf\delta int((0_P)^c) = pf\delta int(1_P)$ . Since  $0_P$  and  $1_P$  are  $pf\delta os$ , then  $pf\delta int(0_P) = 0_P$  and  $pf\delta int(1_P) = 1_P$ . Hence  $pf\delta Ext(0_P) = 1_P$  and  $pf\delta Ext(1_P) = 0_P$ .

The proof of the others are similar

Theorem 4.15 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a pfts. Let A be a pfs in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ , then  $pfExt(A) = pfExt((pfExt(A))^c)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A) = pf\delta Ext((pf\delta Ext(A))^c)$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(A) = pf\delta PExt((pf\delta PExt(A))^c)$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(A) = pf\delta PExt((pf\delta PExt(A))^c)$ , and  $pf\delta PExt(A) = pf\delta PExt((pf\delta PExt(A))^c)$ .

Proof. Now,  $pf\delta Ext((pf\delta Ext(A))^c) = pf\delta Ext((pf\delta int(A^c))^c) = pf\delta int((((pf\delta int(A^c))^c))^c) = pf\delta int(pf\delta int(A^c)) = pf\delta int(A^c) = pf\delta Ext(A).$ 

The proof of the others are similar.

Theorem 4.16 Let  $(X, \Gamma_P)$  be a **pfts**. Let **A** be a **pfs** in  $(X, \Gamma_P)$ . Then the followings statements are true.

1.  $pfExt(A \cup B) \subseteq pfExt(A) \cap pfExt(B)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A \cup B) \subseteq pf\delta Ext(A) \cap pf\delta Ext(B)$ ,  $pf\delta PExt(A \cup B) \subseteq pf\delta PExt(A) \cap pf\delta PExt(B)$ ,  $pf\delta SExt(A \cup B) \subseteq pf\delta SExt(B)$ ,  $pf\delta SExt(B)$ ,  $pf\delta CExt(B)$ ,  $pf\delta CExt(B)$  and  $pf\delta CExt(B)$ 

- B) ⊆ pfδβExt(A) ∩ pfδβExt(B)).
- 2.  $pfExt(A \cap B) \supseteq pfExt(A) \cup pfExt(B)$  (resp.  $pf\delta Ext(A \cap B) \supseteq pf\delta Ext(A) \cup pf\delta Ext(B)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A \cap B) \supseteq pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(A) \cup pf\delta \mathcal{P}Ext(B)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{S}Ext(A \cap B) \supseteq pf\delta \mathcal{S}Ext(B)$ ,  $pf\delta \mathcal{S}Ext(B)$   $pf\delta \mathcal{S}Ext(B)$   $pf\delta \mathcal{S}Ext(B)$ .
- Proof. (i)  $pf\delta Ext(A \cup B) = pf\delta int((A \cup B)^c) = pf\delta int((A^c) \cap (B^c)) \subseteq pf\delta cl(A^c) \cap pf\delta cl(B^c) = pf\delta Ext(A) \cap pf\delta Ext(B)$ .
- (ii)  $pf\delta Ext(A \cap B) = pf\delta int((A \cap B)^c) = pf\delta int((A^c) \cup (B^c)) \supseteq pf\delta cl(A^c) \cup pf\delta cl(B^c) = pf\delta Ext(A) \cup pf\delta Ext(B)$ .

The proof of the others are similar.

## 5 Application

In a company, we have to make a decision for assigning a project to two employees among here we have four employees in choice and each of their knowledge, skill, aptitude, soft skill and management skills, data's were collected and represented as a Pythagorean fuzzy sets. Now our aim is to select a best pair to complete the project with best co-ordination and get the best output. For that decision making we us similarity measure for Pythagorean fuzzy set of employees. There are 'n' number of similarity measures available for Pythagorean fuzzy sets and we choose Li et al. [20] similarity measure for our problem.

Table represents the Pythagorean fuzzy set of the four employees.

	Knowledge	Skill	Aptitude	Soft skill	Managing skill
	$<\lambda(x),\mu(x)>$	$<\lambda(x),\mu(x)>$	$<\lambda(x),\mu(x)>$	$<\lambda(x),\mu(x)>$	$<\lambda(x),\mu(x)>$
employee 1	< 0.4, 0.6 >	< 0.8, 0.6 >	< 0.3, 0.6 >	< 0.4, 0.9 >	< 0.5, 0.7 >
employee 2	< 0.8, 0.5 >	< 0.7, 0.5 >	< 0.9, 0.2 >	< 0.4, 0.8 >	< 0.6, 0.3 >
employee 3	< 0.7, 0.6 >	< 0.8, 0.5 >	< 0.75, 0.4 >	< 0.7, 0.2 >	< 0.9, 0.2 >
employee 4	< 0.9, 0.3 >	< 0.7, 0.4 >	< 0.8, 0.3 >	< 0.8, 0.01 >	< 0.5, 0.6 >

The formula for Li et al. [20] similarity measure is,

$$S_{L}(P_{1}, P_{2}) = 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \{ [\lambda_{P_{1}}(x_{i}) - \lambda_{P_{2}}(x_{i})]^{2} + [\mu_{P_{1}}(x_{i}) - \mu_{P_{2}}(x_{i})]^{2} \}}{2n}}$$

where,  $\lambda_{P_1}(x_i)$  is the degree of membership of  $x_i$  in the pfs  $P_1$ ,  $\forall x_i \in U$ ;  $\mu_{P_1}(x_i)$  is the degree of non-membership of  $x_i$  in the pfs  $P_1$ ,  $x_i \in U$ ;  $\lambda_{P_2}(x_i)$  is the degree of membership of  $x_i$  in the pfs  $P_2$ ,  $\forall x_i \in U$ ;  $\mu_{P_2}(x_i)$  is the degree of non-membership of  $x_i$  in the pfs  $P_2$ ,  $\forall x_i \in U$ , and  $S_L(P_1, P_2)$  is the Li et al [20] similarity measure between two Pythagorean fuzzy set  $P_1$  and  $P_2$  on U, the universe of discourse.

Applying this similarity measure to the above problem we get the following results.

```
S_{L} (employee 1, employee 2) = 0.90
```

 $S_L$  (employee 1, employee 3) = 0.93

 $S_{L}$  (employee 1, employee 4) = 0.78

 $S_L$  (employee 2, employee 3) = 0.75

 $S_L$  (employee 2, employee 4) = 0.80

 $S_L$  (employee 3, employee 4) = 0.79.

From the above results we could make a decision that the employee 1 and employee 3 will be best pair for assigning the project.

### 6 Conclusion

In this article, Pythagorean fuzzy  $\delta$  frontier, Pythagorean fuzzy  $\delta$  border and Pythagorean fuzzy  $\delta$  exterior with the help of Pythagorean fuzzy  $\delta$ -open sets in Pythagorean fuzzy topological space are introduced and also specialized some of their basic properties with examples. Finally, we just applied only one similarity measure in the decision making of industry problem. In future we will employee some similarity measures for comparing or decision making in the field of medical diagnosis and teaching learning process. We also take into diverse fuzzy environment.

#### References

- [1] K. T. Atanassov (1983), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, VII ITKR's Session, Sofia.
- [2] K. T. Atanassov (1986), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 20, 87-96.
- [3] K. T. Atanassov (1989), Geometrical interpretation of the elements of the intuitionistic fuzzy objects, Preprint IM-MFAIS-1-89, Sofia.
- [4] K. T. Atanassov (1999), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets: theory and applications, Physica, Heidelberg.
- [5] K. T. Atanassov (2012), On intuitionistic fuzzy sets theory, Springer, Berlin.
- [6] G. Beliakov and S. James (2014), Averaging aggregation functions for preferences expressed as Pythagorean membership grades and fuzzy orthopairs, In: Proceedings of the IEEE international conference on fuzzy systems (FUZZ-IEEE), 298-305.
- [7] B. Davvaz and E. H. Sadrabadi (2016), An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medicine, Int. J. Biomath 9, (3) 1650037.
- [8] S. K. De, R. Biswas and A. R. Roy (2001), An application of intuitionistic fuzzy sets in medical diagnosis, Fuzzy Sets Syst. 117 (2), 209-213.
- [9] S. Dick, R. R. Yager and O. Yazdanbakhsh (2016), On Pythagorean and complex fuzzy set operations. IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst. 24 (5), 1009-1021.
- [10] P. A. Ejegwa, A. J. Akubo and O. M. Joshua (2014), Intuitionistic fuzzzy sets in career determination, J Info Comput. Sci. 9 (4), 285-288.
- [11] P. A. Ejegwa (2015), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets approach in appointment of positions in an organization via max–min–max rule, Glob. J Sci. Front Res F Math. Decis. Sci. 15 (6), 1-6.
- [12] P. A. Ejegwa and E. S. Modom (2015), Diagnosis of viral hepatitis using new distance measure of intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Int. J. Fuzzy Math. Arch. 8 (1), 1-7.
- [13] P. A. Ejegwa (2018), Distance and similarity measures of Pythagorean fuzzy sets, Granul

- Comput. https://doi.org/10.1007/s41066-018-00149-z.
- [14] H. Garg (2017), A new improved score function of an interval-valued Pythagorean fuzzy set based TOPSIS method, Int. J Uncertain Quantif 7 (5), 463-474.
- [15] H. Garg and S. Singh (2018), A novel triangular interval type-2 intuitionistic fuzzy set and their aggregation operators, Iran J Fuzzy Syst. 15 (5), 69-93.
- [16] H. Garg and K. Kumar (2018), Distance measures for connection number sets based on set pair analysis and its applications to decision making process, Appl. Intell 48 (10), 3346-3359.
- [17] H. Garg and K. Kumar (2018), An advance study on the similarity measures of intuitionistic fuzzy sets based on the set pair analysis theory and their application in decision making, Soft Comput. 22 (15), 4959-4970.
- [18] X. J. Gou, Z. S. Xu and P. J. Ren (2016), The properties of continuous Pyhagorean fuzzy information, Int. J Intell. Syst. 31 (5), 401-424.
- [19] X. He, Y. Du and W. Liu (2016), Pythagorean fuzzy power average operators, Fuzzy Syst. Math. 30 (6), 116-124.
- [20] Li Y. Olson DL, Qin Z (2007), Similarity measures between intuitionstic fuzzy (vague) sets: a comparative analysis, Pattern Recognit Lett 28, 278-285.
- [21] Murat Olgun, Mehmet Unver and Seyhmus Yardimci (2019), Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces, Complex & Intelligent Systems.
- [22] X. Peng and Y. Yang (2015), Some results for Pythagorean fuzzy sets, Int. J Intell Syst. 30, 1133-1160.
- [23] X. Peng and G. Selvachandran (2017), Pythagorean fuzzy set state of the art and future directions, Artif Intell Rev. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10462-017-9596-9.
- [24] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk (2001), Intuitionistic fuzzy sets in some medical applications, Note IFS 7 (4), 58-64.
- [25] E. Szmidt and J. Kacprzyk (2004), Medical diagnostic reasoning using a similarity measure for intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Note IFS 10 (4), 61-69.
- [26] M. Udhaya Shalini and A. Stanis Arul Mary (2022), Generalized pre-closed sets in Pythagorean fuzzy topological spaces, International Journal of Creative Research Thoughts (IJCRT), 10 (30), e142-e147.
- [27] R. R. Yager (2013), Pythagorean membership grades in multicriteria decision making, In: Technical report MII-3301. Machine Intelligence Institute, Iona College, New Rochelle.
- [28] R. R. Yager (2013), Pythagorean fuzzy subsets, In: Proceedings of the joint IFSA world congress NAFIPS annual meeting, 57-61.
- [29] R. R. Yager (2014), Pythagorean membership grades in multicriteria decision making, IEEE Trans Fuzzy Syst. 22 (4), 958-965.
- [30] L. A. Zadeh (1965), Fuzzy sets, Inf. Control, 8, 338-353.