Nanotechnology Perceptions
ISSN 1660-6795
www.nano-ntp.com

Examining Key Determinants of Success of Social Enterprises in Emerging Economy

Collins Kwaning Owusu[1], Ernest Christian Winful[2], Ababio Kwaku Opoku[3], Emelia Ohene – Afriyie[4], Owusu Ackah[5]
[1,3]*Department of Liberal Studies, Accra Technical University*
[2]*Faculty of Business, Accra Technical University*
[4,5]*Department of Management and Public Administration, Accra Technical University*
[1] *cowusu@atu.edu.gh*[2] *ewinful@atu.edu.gh*[3]
opokuababio@gmail.com[4]*eoheneafriyie@atu.edu.gh*[5]*ackah_owusu@yahoo.com*

Abstract
Social enterprises play a critical role in addressing societal challenges by blending profit-making objectives with social and environmental impact, particularly in emerging economies where institutional voids and resource constraints are prevalent. This theoretical paper delves into the key determinants of their success, proposing a framework to inform both academic research and practical applications. Drawing on existing literature and theoretical perspectives, it posits that access to funding, strong ethical leadership, strategic partnerships, and responsiveness to market demand are pivotal in shaping the growth, scalability, and sustainability of social enterprises. These factors highlight the interplay between external environmental pressures, internal resource capabilities, and stakeholder dynamics, which collectively influence the long-term viability and impact of social enterprises. By addressing these determinants, the paper sheds light on how social enterprises navigate complex socio-economic landscapes to deliver meaningful change.
Keywords: Social Enterprises, Emerging Economies, Ethical Leadership, Access to Funding, Partnerships, Market Demand, Stakeholder Dynamics.

Introduction

Social enterprises, blending profit-making with social impact, have gained prominence as vehicles for sustainable development in emerging economies (Defourny & Nyssens, 2010). Unlike traditional businesses, social enterprises prioritize solving societal problems over maximizing profits, creating a dual-purpose model that addresses social, environmental, and economic sustainability challenges. However, operating within resource-constrained environments presents unique challenges that require a deeper understanding of the determinants of their success (British Council, 2015).

These enterprises are dedicated to addressing social and environmental needs, often complementing existing systems to improve service delivery. They play a critical role in reaching underserved and marginalized populations, transforming business landscapes by integrating responsible practices into their activities. Social entrepreneurs, as pivotal change agents, harness innovative approaches to bridge service gaps and address pressing community needs.

Despite their acknowledged importance, there is a dearth of literature exploring the critical factors that contribute to the success of social enterprises in emerging economies, such as Ghana. This paper addresses this gap by proposing a theoretical framework to understand the determinants of success for social enterprises. Drawing from existing literature and using qualitative insights, it formulates four key propositions: access to funding, ethical leadership, partnerships, and market engagement as crucial factors influencing success. These insights aim to guide future empirical research and practical applications in supporting social enterprises to thrive in resource-limited contexts.

Literature review

Social Entrepreneurship and Social Enterprise

Social entrepreneurship refers to innovative approaches to solving social and environmental problems while achieving financial sustainability (Zahra et al., 2009). In emerging economies, social entrepreneurship has gained traction as a means to address systemic issues, including poverty, unemployment, and inadequate access to essential services such as healthcare and education. These economies, characterized by institutional voids and resource constraints, provide a unique context for social enterprises to thrive (Mair & Marti, 2006).

In Africa, the proliferation of social entrepreneurship is attributed to the increasing need for inclusive growth and sustainable development. Entrepreneurs in this region often focus on areas neglected by traditional market actors and government interventions, such as affordable clean energy, rural healthcare delivery, and skill development for youth and women (Rivera-Santos et al., 2015). However, operating in these environments poses unique challenges, including inadequate infrastructure, limited access to capital, and regulatory hurdles (Kistruck et al., 2011).

Despite these challenges, Africa's emerging economies present significant opportunities for social enterprises. The continent's growing youth population, increasing mobile phone penetration, and expanding middle class offer a foundation for innovative, scalable solutions to societal challenges (George et al., 2016).

Characteristics and Roles of Social Enterprises

Social enterprises, as hybrid organizations, operate at the intersection of business and philanthropy. They aim to generate financial returns while creating measurable social and environmental impacts (Battilana & Lee, 2014). Unlike traditional for-profit businesses that prioritize profit maximization or nonprofit organizations that rely heavily on grants and donations, social enterprises adopt innovative business models to balance financial sustainability with their mission-driven objectives (Smith et al., 2013). This dual mission allows them to tackle complex societal challenges in innovative ways, leveraging market mechanisms for positive social change.

In emerging economies, social enterprises play a transformative role in addressing systemic inequalities and fostering sustainable community development. These enterprises often emerge in response to critical gaps in service delivery in sectors such as education, healthcare, renewable energy, and water access (Seelos & Mair, 2005). For instance, many social enterprises in sub-Saharan Africa provide solar energy solutions to rural areas, addressing energy poverty while creating opportunities for local entrepreneurship (George et al., 2016).

One of the defining characteristics of social enterprises in these contexts is their ability to promote equity and social inclusion. By targeting underserved and marginalized populations, they empower communities through the provision of affordable and accessible goods and services (Defourny & Nyssens, 2010). For example, initiatives aimed at improving education access for girls or vocational training for unemployed youth often adopt a social enterprise model to ensure long-term sustainability and impact (Rivera-Santos et al., 2015).

Additionally, social enterprises contribute significantly to job creation and capacity building. They employ innovative strategies to integrate marginalized groups, such as women and rural populations, into economic activities, fostering empowerment and social mobility (Dees, 1998). By investing in skills development and offering employment opportunities, social enterprises not only address immediate social challenges but also contribute to the broader goals of economic growth and social equity (Smith & Darko, 2014).

Furthermore, the role of social enterprises extends to fostering local ownership and self-reliance. Unlike traditional aid or charity models, social enterprises engage communities as active participants in designing and implementing solutions. This participatory approach ensures cultural relevance and enhances the sustainability of interventions (Cornforth, 2014). For instance, community-based health enterprises in countries like Ghana and Kenya have successfully reduced healthcare disparities by integrating local expertise with innovative delivery mechanisms (Mair & Marti, 2006).

By adopting inclusive and innovative approaches, social enterprises bridge gaps left by governments and traditional businesses, acting as vital intermediaries in creating socially equitable and economically sustainable systems. In doing so, they contribute to achieving the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those related to poverty alleviation, quality education, gender equality, and clean energy access.

Theoretical Background

The study integrates Institutional Theory, the Resource-Based View (RBV), and Stakeholder Theory to provide a comprehensive framework for examining the determinants of success for social enterprises in emerging economies. Institutional Theory highlights the influence of external environments, including norms, regulations, and cultural systems, on organizational behavior and decision-making (Scott, 2001). For social enterprises, the institutional context can present challenges such as weak regulatory frameworks and limited market access, as well as opportunities like supportive government policies and social acceptance. Institutional isomorphism, through coercive, mimetic, and normative pressures, shapes how social enterprises adapt to their environments to align with external expectations (DiMaggio & Powell, 1983).

The RBV complements this perspective by focusing on the internal resources and capabilities that enable organizations to achieve competitive advantage (Barney, 1991). Social enterprises in emerging economies often operate under resource constraints, making the acquisition and effective deployment of resources such as funding, skilled personnel, and innovative business models critical to their success (Hart, 1995). Unlike traditional firms, social enterprises balance financial and social objectives, necessitating unique organizational competencies to achieve sustainability. Their ability to leverage distinctive capabilities, such as leadership, innovation, and community engagement, allows them to address social challenges while maintaining operational viability (Lepak et al., 2007).

Stakeholder Theory underscores the importance of addressing the needs and expectations of diverse stakeholders to ensure organizational success. Social enterprises operate within complex stakeholder networks that include beneficiaries, employees, investors, governments, and communities (Freeman, 1984). Effective stakeholder management involves balancing competing interests and fostering collaborative partnerships, particularly in emerging economies where stakeholder interdependence is heightened (Donaldson & Preston, 1995). Collaborations with governments, NGOs, and private sector actors enhance scalability and impact, while active community involvement fosters trust and cultural relevance, which are essential for sustaining operations in resource-constrained environments (Austin & Seitanidi, 2012; Zahra et al., 2009).

By integrating these theoretical perspectives, the study provides a robust framework for understanding the success factors of social enterprises. Institutional Theory contextualizes the external pressures that shape strategies, RBV emphasizes the role of internal resources and capabilities, and Stakeholder Theory highlights the importance of relationships and stakeholder dynamics. This comprehensive approach is particularly relevant for social enterprises in emerging economies, where institutional voids, resource limitations, and complex stakeholder networks create unique challenges and opportunities.

Propositions

Access to Funding

Access to funding is often a primary constraint for social enterprises in emerging economies. Many rely on a mix of grants, donations, and revenue from goods and services to sustain operations (Battilana & Lee, 2014). However, limited access to capital markets, high borrowing costs, and underdeveloped financial ecosystems often impede their growth.

Research indicates that innovative financing mechanisms, such as impact investing and blended finance, have the potential to bridge this gap (Nicholls, 2010). Governments and private institutions also play a pivotal role in creating enabling environments for social enterprises to access funding.

Proposition 1: Access to funding is critical to the growth and success of social enterprises.

Ethical Leadership

Leadership plays a crucial role in shaping the trajectory of social enterprises. Ethical leaders, driven by a compelling mission, inspire trust among stakeholders and foster organizational resilience (Maak & Pless, 2006). Such leaders often embody the values and vision of the enterprise, ensuring alignment with social goals while navigating complex challenges.

Moreover, a clearly articulated mission enhances stakeholder engagement, particularly in communities where trust deficits are high (Cornforth, 2014). Ethical leadership, therefore, not only ensures internal cohesion but also strengthens external legitimacy and support.

Proposition 2: Strong ethical leadership and a compelling mission increase the likelihood of success.

Partnership and Collaboration

In emerging economies, partnerships enable social enterprises to leverage resources, share expertise, and access new markets. Collaborations with governments, non-governmental organizations (NGOs), and private sector actors often amplify their impact and scalability (Austin & Seitanidi, 2012).

These partnerships are particularly critical in resource-constrained settings, where shared infrastructure and knowledge can offset operational challenges. For instance, public-private partnerships have been instrumental in scaling healthcare and education-focused social enterprises in sub-Saharan Africa (Brinkerhoff, 2002).

Proposition 3: Partnerships and collaboration are essential for scalability and sustainability.

Market Demand and Community Engagement

A strong alignment with market demand ensures the financial viability of social enterprises. Products or services that address pressing community needs tend to attract greater uptake and support. Community engagement, meanwhile, fosters co-creation and ensures that interventions are culturally relevant and impactful (Zahra et al., 2009).

Social enterprises that actively involve community members in decision-making processes often build stronger local support systems. This engagement enhances the sustainability of interventions, as communities take ownership of solutions tailored to their unique contexts.

Proposition 4: Market demand and community engagement contribute to long-term impact.

Conclusion

The success of social enterprises in emerging economies depends on a confluence of factors, including access to funding, ethical leadership, partnerships, and community engagement. These determinants highlight the multifaceted nature of social entrepreneurship and the need for context-specific strategies to address systemic challenges.

Future research should empirically test these propositions to develop actionable insights for policymakers, practitioners, and funders. By fostering environments conducive to social enterprise growth, emerging economies can accelerate progress toward sustainable development goals.

Reference

Austin, J. E., &Seitanidi, M. M. (2012). Collaborative value creation: A review of partnering between nonprofits and businesses. Nonprofit and Voluntary Sector Quarterly, 41(5), 726–758.

Barney, J. (1991). Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage. Journal of Management, 17(1), 99–120.

Battilana, J., & Lee, M. (2014). Advancing research on hybrid organizing – Insights from the study of social enterprises. Academy of Management Annals, 8(1), 397–441.

British Council. (2015). Social Enterprise in a Global Context: The Role of Higher Education Institutions. Retrieved from [insert URL or details if applicable].

Cornforth, C. (2014). Understanding and combating mission drift in social enterprises. Social Enterprise Journal, 10(1), 3–20.

Dees, J. G. (1998). The meaning of "social entrepreneurship." Kauffman Center for Entrepreneurial Leadership.

Defourny, J., & Nyssens, M. (2010). Conceptions of social enterprise and social entrepreneurship in Europe and the United States: Convergences and divergences. Journal of Social Entrepreneurship, 1(1), 32–53.

Defourny, J., & Nyssens, M. (2010). Conceptions of social enterprise and social entrepreneurship in Europe and the United States: Convergences and divergences. Journal of Social Entrepreneurship, 1(1), 32–53.

DiMaggio, P. J., & Powell, W. W. (1983). The iron cage revisited: Institutional isomorphism and collective rationality in organizational fields. American Sociological Review, 48(2), 147–160.

Donaldson, T., & Preston, L. E. (1995). The stakeholder theory of the corporation: Concepts, evidence, and implications. Academy of Management Review, 20(1), 65–91.

Freeman, R. E. (1984). Strategic management: A stakeholder approach. Boston: Pitman.

George, G., McGahan, A. M., & Prabhu, J. (2016). Innovation for inclusive growth: Towards a theoretical framework and a research agenda. Journal of Management Studies, 53(6), 963–991.

Hart, S. L. (1995). A natural-resource-based view of the firm. Academy of Management Review, 20(4), 986–1014.

Lepak, D. P., Smith, K. G., & Taylor, M. S. (2007). Value creation and value capture: A multilevel perspective. Academy of Management Review, 32(1), 180–194.

Mair, J., & Marti, I. (2006). Social entrepreneurship research: A source of explanation, prediction, and delight. Journal of World Business, 41(1), 36–44.

Reference

Rivera-Santos, M., Holt, D., Littlewood, D., & Kolk, A. (2015). Social entrepreneurship in sub-Saharan Africa. Academy of Management Perspectives, 29(1), 72–91.
Scott, W. R. (2001). Institutions and organizations. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage.
Seelos, C., & Mair, J. (2005). Social entrepreneurship: Creating new business models to serve the poor. Business Horizons, 48(3), 241–246.
Smith, W. K., Gonin, M., &Besharov, M. L. (2013). Managing social-business tensions: A review and research agenda for social enterprise. Business Ethics Quarterly, 23(3), 407–442.
Smith, W., & Darko, E. (2014). Social enterprise: Constraints and opportunities – evidence from Vietnam and Kenya. Overseas Development Institute.
Zahra, S. A., Gedajlovic, E., Neubaum, D. O., & Shulman, J. M. (2009). A typology of social entrepreneurs: Motives, search processes, and ethical challenges. Journal of Business Venturing, 24(5), 519–532.