AI-Driven Comparative Analysis for Early Intervention: Enhancing Student Success in Education

Garlapati Lakshmi Anu Deepthi¹, Kothamasu Sevitha Manaswini¹, Nallamotu Renu¹, CH M H Saibaba², Nukala Haritha Sree¹

¹Computer Science and Engineering Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vijayawada, India

²Dept. of CSE, Koneru Lakshmaiah Education Foundation, Vaddeswaram, Guntur, India Email: anudeepthigarlapati@gmail.com

In modern-day education, making sure scholar fulfillment and well timed intervention for the ones susceptible to instructional demanding situations is paramount. This paper proposes an AI-pushed technique to comparative evaluation for early intervention, aimed toward improving scholar fulfillment in education. Leveraging improvements in synthetic intelligence and records analytics, this system seeks to become aware of styles and predictors of instructional struggles via way of means of evaluating character scholar overall performance with aggregated records sets. Through the usage of diverse system studying fashions together with logistic regression, choice trees, neural networks, and ensemble methods, this studies pursuits to offer educators with actionable insights into students` instructional trajectories. By detecting early signs of capability difficulties, instructional stakeholders can interfere proactively to offer tailor-made aid and interventions, in the long run fostering a greater conducive surroundings for scholar fulfillment. This paper explores the theoretical framework, methodology, and capability programs of AI- pushed comparative evaluation in education, highlighting its importance in facilitating early intervention techniques and selling high-quality instructional results for all students.

Keywords: AI-driven comparative analytics, Early intervention, Academic Performance, Education.

1. Introduction

In the panorama of current education, the pursuit of scholar fulfillment and the implementation of well timed interventions for the ones dealing with educational demanding situations stand as pivotal objectives. Educational establishments are constantly in search of revolutionary tactics to pick out and assist college students vulnerable to educational struggles, in the long run fostering an surroundings conducive to mastering and achievement. This paper proposes an AI-pushed comparative evaluation framework designed to beautify scholar fulfillment thru early intervention strategies. With the fast development of syntheticintelligence (AI) and information analytics technologies, there exists a wealth of possibilities to harness those gear withinside the realm of education. By leveraging AI algorithms and device mastering models,

educators can advantage deeper insights into college students` educational trajectories, figuring out styles and predictors of capability difficulties. This lets in for proactive intervention measures aimed toward addressing demanding situations earlier than they escalate, thereby optimizing scholar consequences.

The center recognition of this studies lies withinside the software of comparative analytics, in which person scholar overall performance is analyzed and in comparison in opposition to aggregated datasets. Through the usage of numerous device mastering strategies along with logistic regression, choice trees, neural networks, and ensemble methods, educators can extract actionable insights to tell intervention strategies. By detecting early symptoms and symptoms of educational struggles, instructional stakeholders can tailor assist mechanisms to satisfy the various desires of college students, thereby selling equitable get entry to to first- class education. This paper objectives to delve into the theoretical underpinnings, methodological tactics, and capability programs of AI-pushed comparative evaluation in education. By inspecting the importance of early intervention strategies and their effect on scholar fulfillment, this studies seeks to make a contribution to the continued discourse surrounding powerful instructional practices. Ultimately, the intention is to empower educators with the gear and know- how had to foster wonderful educational consequences for all college students, irrespective of heritage or circumstance.

The suggested AI-driven comparative analytics methodology is helpful for both optimising instructional resources and tactics as well as for identifying and assisting students who may face academic difficulties. Through the examination of patterns and trends in student performance, educators can acquire significant knowledge regarding the efficacy of their instructional strategies and curriculum. Institutions can improve student outcomes by using this data- driven approach to make well-informed decisions about curriculum creation, instructional support, and resource allocation. Moreover, integrating AI-powered comparative analytics into the classroom supports the overarching objectives of raising student engagement and encouraging lifelong learning. Through customisation of interventions to address the unique requirements of each student, educators can establish a more individualised learning environment that promotes personal accountability and ownership. Students who use this method not only achieve academic achievement but also acquire the abilities and mindset needed to succeed in a world that is becoming more complex and dynamic.

2. Crucial Attributes in Predicting Student Performance

Comprehensive knowledge of several critical features is necessary for both early intervention strategy implementation and student performance prediction. Prior academic achievement acts as a baseline indicator, with past grades, exam results, and accomplishments offering insightful information about future academic success. Furthermore, consistent attendance and active participation are important criteria because they are frequently associated with improved academic achievements. Keeping an eye on these data can assist in identifying children who might want more assistance. Additionally, racial/ethnicity, gender, socioeconomic position, and language ability are all important factors in determining a student's achievement. Teachers can see possible discrepancies and create interventions that are tailored to meet individual needs by having a thorough understanding of the demographics of their students.

Academic success can be greatly impacted by behavioural markers, which is why study habits, time management abilities, and motivation levels are equally vital. Teachers can forecast student performance in the future and carry out focused interventions by keeping an eye on these qualities and gathering data about them. Additionally, taking into account the preferences and learning styles of students might improve their educational experiences and results. Instructional techniques and interventions can benefit from the assessment of individual learning preferences through surveys or observations. Peer relationships, family dynamics, mental health, and socioemotional abilities are examples of social and emotional elements that should be taken into account. These variables should be included in prediction models to give a more complete picture of the needs of students, as they have an impact on academic success.

Psychometric elements, such aptitude and cognitive capacities, are also critical in forecasting student success. Evaluating a student's cognitive talents, such as memory, reasoning, and problem-solving ability, can provide important information about their learning requirements and academic potential. Tests of aptitude can be used to determine a student's strengths and weaknesses in a variety of areas, which can help teachers modify their lesson plans to better fit each student's unique learning style. Educators can acquire a more comprehensive understanding of students' skills and customise interventions to maximise their academic achievement by combining psychometric criteria into prediction models.

3. Methods

In the following section, we present different methods that can be used to predict the student performance by considering various attributes.

3.1. LOGISTIC REGRESSION

Logistic regression is a essential statistical approach used for binary type responsibilities in device learning. Despite its name, logistic regression is sincerely a type algorithm, now no longer a regression algorithm. It's especially beneficial whilst the structured variable is express and binary, which means it has most effective feasible outcomes.

Enhancing Student Success in Education," logistic regression emerges as a pivotal device for predictive modeling geared toward gauging college students` chance of encountering instructional challenges. In this context, logistic regression serves as a mighty method for binary classification, exactly suitable to discerning whether or not college students are poised for achievement or might also additionally require extra support. The procedure starts with the gathering of complete facts encompassing college students' instructional overall performance, demographic details, behavioral indicators, attendance records, and standardized take a look at scores. This numerous dataset undergoes rigorous preprocessing, along with function engineering to extract applicable predictors and coping with lacking values. Subsequently, logistic regression fashions are skilled in this organized dataset, allowing them to determine the tricky relationships among the diagnosed capabilities and the binary final results variable denoting scholar achievement or challenges. Evaluation metrics consisting of accuracy, precision, recall, and the location below the ROC curve are then hired to gauge the model's efficacy in as it should be classifying college students. A binary classification model's performance is graphically represented by the Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve.

It shows how a model can be used to diagnose problems at various threshold values. For various threshold settings, the ROC curve shows the true positive rate (sensitivity) against the false positive rate (1- specificity), where:

True Positive Rate (Sensitivity) = True Positives / (True Positives + False Negatives)

False Positive Rate = False Positives / (False Positives + True Negatives)

Moreover, the interpretability of logistic regression coefficients lets in for a nuanced information of the elements influencing instructional outcomes, informing focused intervention techniques. Integrated in the broader framework of AI-pushed comparative analysis, logistic regression enables the assessment of person scholar overall performance towards aggregated datasets, allowing the identity of styles and predictors of instructional struggles. This iterative procedure empowers educators with actionable insights to put into effect early intervention techniques tailor-made to college students' particular needs, thereby fostering an surroundings conducive to scholar achievement in education.

3.2. DECISION TREE

Decision trees are preferred because of their ease of use and interpretability. Decision Trees are frequently employed in machine learning for problems involving regression and classification. They build a structure like a tree, in which every internal node denotes a choice made in light of a certain attribute, and every leaf node shows the expected result. Recursively dividing the dataset into subgroups according to the most important attributes creates this structure. Decision trees are useful tools for evaluating many aspects of students and forecasting their chances of academic success when used in the context of student success in education. These attributes could include demographic data, socioeconomic position, academic achievement, attendance records, and other pertinent variables. We must first gather and preprocess pertinent student data before we can use decision trees to forecast student achievement. Grades, attendance records, demographic information, and any other relevant characteristics may be included in this. Our decision tree model's target variable would be the student's success rate, which includes passing or failing a course.

We use this dataset to train the decision tree model after the data is ready. The decision tree technique builds a tree structure that can be used to forecast new or unseen information by repeatedly choosing the qualities that best split the data into homogeneous subsets. Decision trees' interpretability is one of their main benefits. Teachers and other stakeholders can learn more about the elements that most influence students' achievement by dissecting the decision tree's structure. They are able to determine which characteristics have the greatest bearing on forecasting the results of students and adjust interventions or support plans appropriately. To sum up, decision trees are a useful tool for forecasting student achievement in the classroom since they can analyse a variety of student attributes and produce forecasts that are easy to understand. Decision tree models provide educators with important insights into the variables influencing student outcomes, enabling them to make well- informed decisions that promote student success.

3.3. NEURAL NETWORKS

A family of machine learning models called neural networks is modelled after the composition and operations of the human brain. Layers of interconnected nodes, or "neurons," make up *Nanotechnology Perceptions* Vol. 20 No. S15 (2024)

their composition. After processing incoming data and performing a mathematical operation, each neuron sends the output to the layer below it. Neural networks have the ability to identify patterns and links in data through a process known as "training," which makes them effective tools for forecasting students' academic progress. We must first collect and preprocess pertinent student data, such as grades, attendance records, demographic data, and other factors, before we can use neural networks to forecast the student success rate. The neural network model is then trained using this data, with the student qualities represented in the input layer and the anticipated success rate (e.g., pass or fail) represented in the output layer. During training, the model learns from the data by modifying the weights of connections between neurons to maximise its prediction accuracy.

The weights of connections between neurons to maximise its prediction accuracy. Neural networks have the advantage of being able to capture intricate associations in data, which is useful for forecasting student achievement. For instance, a neural network can be trained to identify non-linear correlations between study habits, academic achievement, and attendance patterns, which enables it to produce predictions that are more accurate than those made by conventional linear models.

Neural networks may also be used to pinpoint the crucial characteristics or traits that have the most impact on student achievement. Teachers can learn more about the elements that most influence student results by examining the weights of connections in the trained neural network. Teachers can use this information to prioritize interventions and support tactics that improve student performance.

In order to increase prediction accuracy, neural networks may also be used in conjunction with other machine learning methods like logistic regression or decision trees. To preprocess data and extract pertinent characteristics, for instance, a neural network may be employed. These features would then be put into a decision tree model to make the final prediction. By utilizing both technique's advantages, this hybrid approach can provide a prediction model that is more reliable

To sum up, neural networks are effective instruments for forecasting students' academic progress. Neural networks may be used to analyze intricate correlations in student data and offer important new insights into the variables influencing student performance. Teachers may improve student outcomes and overall academic achievement by utilizing these data to create focused interventions and support measures.

Equation:

$$Z_j = \sum_{i=1}^n (w_{ij} x_i + b_j)$$

 w_{ij} - is the weight connecting neuron i in the previous layer to neuron j in the current layer.

 x_i - is the output of neuron i in the previous layer.

 b_i - is the bias term for neuron j.

3.4. SUPPORT VECTOR MACHINE

Support Vector Machines (SVM) offer a sophisticated and reliable method for predictive modelling that is intended to help identify students who may face difficulties in their academic careers and to support their overall success. Especially well-suited for binary classification challenges like forecasting student outcomes, Support Vector Machines (SVM) are robust supervised learning algorithms that perform exceptionally well in both classification and regression tasks. As with other machine learning techniques, thorough data collection and preprocessing are the first steps in the implementation of SVM in this research work. Datasets containing a range of student characteristics, such as attendance records, behavioural indicators, demographic information, and metrics for measuring academic success, are collected and ready for study.

The SVM algorithm is used to train a prediction model after the data has been prepared. SVM maximises the margin between classes while minimising classification errors by locating the hyperplane in the feature space that best divides the classes. By acting as the decision boundary, this hyperplane enables SVM to successfully categorise new instances into one of the two groups, such as students who are likely to succeed or students who are at risk. When classes cannot be separated linearly, SVM can transfer the input features into a higher-dimensional space and find a hyperplane to divide the classes using kernel functions.

Evaluation parameters including accuracy, precision, recall, F1-score, and area under the ROC curve are used to evaluate the performance of the SVM model once it has been trained.

$$\begin{aligned} &Accuracy = & \frac{\text{(TP+TN)}}{\text{(TP+TN+FP+FN)}} \\ &Precision = & \frac{\text{TP}}{(TP+FP)} \\ &Recall = & \frac{\text{TP}}{(\text{TP}+FN)} \\ &F1 - score = 2 \text{ x} & \frac{Precision \text{ x Recall}}{\text{Precision} + \text{Recall}} \end{aligned}$$

TP is the number of true positives TN is the number of true negatives FP is the number of false positives FN is the number of false negatives

Furthermore, SVM models' interpretability provides insights into the significance of various characteristics in forecasting student outcomes. When compared to aggregated datasets, SVM makes it easier to compare the performance of individual students within the larger context of AI-driven comparative analysis. This makes it possible to see trends and indicators of academic difficulties, which helps with the creation of early intervention plans that are customized to each student's individual requirements. Through the utilization of Support Vector Machines (SVM), instructors may obtain significant understanding of students' learning paths and execute focused interventions to foster a nurturing learning atmosphere that supports students' academic achievement.

3.5. RANDOM FOREST

A well-liked machine learning technique for classification and regression applications is called Random Forest. As an ensemble technique, it improves accuracy and robustness by combining the predictions of several different individual models. Based on the idea of decision trees, Random Forest constructs a "forest" of trees and then combines their forecasts to arrive at a final prediction, as opposed to utilising a single decision tree.

We first collect and preprocess pertinent student data, such as grades, attendance records, demographic data, and other factors, in order to employ Random Forest for forecasting student success rate and improving student performance.

Next, using a portion of the data, each decision tree in the forest is trained to create a Random Forest model. Every tree is exposed to a random subset of features during training, which enhances the model's generalisation and helps avoid overfitting. Random Forest's ability to handle huge datasets with high dimensionality is one of its main features, which makes it a good choice for data analysis in education. It can successfully capture the nuanced links that exist between a student's characteristics and their academic achievement, offering important new perspectives on the elements that support a student's success.

Furthermore, significant characteristics or aspects that are most indicative of student achievement may be found using Random Forest. Teachers can learn more about the elements that most influence student outcomes by examining the feature importances determined by the model. Prioritizing interventions and supporting tactics to improve student performance may be made easier with the use of this data.

In addition, Random Forest can withstand noise and outliers in the data, which makes it a dependable option for projecting student achievement in authentic learning environments. It is an invaluable tool for educators looking to enhance student results because of its capacity to manage missing data and preserve accuracy in the midst of noisy characteristics.

To sum up, Random Forest is an effective method for estimating student success rates and improving academic achievement. Its capacity to manage intricate connections in data, recognize significant characteristics, and preserve accuracy in the face of noise makes it an invaluable tool for educators looking to raise student achievement. Teachers may create focused interventions and support techniques to improve student progress and encourage academic accomplishment by utilizing the insights offered by Random Forest.

4. DISCUSSION

Firstly, although linear regression has its uses in continuous outcomes analysis, it might not be the best fit for categorical outcomes like student success or failure. A variation that works well for binary classification, logistic regression, is constrained by the assumption of linearity, which makes it possible for it to miss intricate associations found in data related to schooling. Comparably, Decision Trees are prone to overfitting, particularly with large datasets, despite their interpretability and ability to handle nonlinear relationships. The subtleties of student performance indicators may be difficult for its single-tree structure to adequately represent. Conversely, Neural Networks are particularly good at identifying complex patterns in high-

dimensional data. Nevertheless, their opaque character restricts their interpretability, an essential feature in educational environments where stakeholders need to know what influences students' results. Moreover, Neural Networks sometimes require substantial computing and data resources, which could present difficulties in educational environments with limited resources. In comparison to Random Forest, Support Vector Machines (SVMs) may be less interpretable even though they are more successful at capturing complex decision boundaries. Furthermore, SVMs may have trouble with larger datasets and necessitate meticulous hyperparameter adjustment, which can be laborious in real- world applications.

Numerous of these restrictions are lessened by Random Forest. To mitigate the danger of overfitting, it combines the interpretability of decision trees with enhanced generalization performance via ensemble learning. Because of Random Forest's feature significance measurements and capacity to handle both numerical and categorical data, educators may efficiently uncover significant determinants of student achievement. Furthermore, it is a good fit for practical educational applications due to its strong performance across a variety of datasets and computational efficiency. Therefore, Random Forest is the best model option for using AI-driven comparative analysis to guide early intervention tactics and promote student achievement in the classroom.

5. RESULTS

Decision trees provide teachers with a visual representation of the aspects that most influence a student's academic performance. They are simple to comprehend and highlight potential areas for intervention. Super powerful neural networks can uncover hidden correlations in student data. They are even able to detect minute details that affect a student's learning style.

Similar to strong sorting tools, support vector machines are capable of efficiently classifying pupils according to many criteria. Finding kids who could be at danger of slipping behind can be aided by this. Random forests function similarly to an entire team of decision trees cooperating. Their exceptional dependability and ability to manage complex real-world data enable teachers to see the whole picture of their pupils' progress.

Teachers can use logistic regression, a useful tool, to determine a student's likelihood of succeeding in a class. It essentially tells you if a pupil will probably be alright on their own or if they would want further assistance.

AI, utilizing methodologies such as RNNs and CNNs, surpasses conventional approaches by analyzing large volumes of data to detect trends and more accurately anticipate the needs of students. It is essential to comprehend how AI models come to their judgments. Techniques known as Explainable AI (XAI) can provide insight into these mechanisms and help instructors make more educated decisions. A more comprehensive picture of student behavior and engagement can be produced by combining data from learning management systems, tests, and even wearable technology.

VR, AR, and NLP can be used to create immersive learning experiences that are customized to each learner's needs and to personalize interventions. Collaborations between scholars, instructors, and legislators guarantee access to a variety of datasets and help turn research into practical solutions.

Nanotechnology Perceptions Vol. 20 No. S15 (2024)

AI is able to identify students who may benefit from early intervention before problems get out of hand. Teachers can optimize success by customizing support based on their awareness of each student's requirements. AI has the capacity to offer insights that guide the distribution of resources and policy for early intervention initiatives. AI model bias may result in uneven access to interventions. Development and monitoring must be done carefully. For teachers to use AI tools and understand their recommendations, they must receive training. To protect student privacy during data collection and usage, explicit policies are needed

Previous grades, test results, involvement, and attendance are all reliable predictors of future success for a student. Teachers can customize support based on information about a student's history, including race, ethnicity, socioeconomic level, and even preferred learning style. A student's conduct, drive, emotional health, and bonds with family and friends can all have an effect on their academic performance.

6. FUTURE DIRECTIONS

Looking ahead, the sphere of AI-pushed comparative evaluation for early intervention in schooling holds colossal cappotential for in addition improvements and innovations. As generation maintains to conform and academic records turns into more and more more accessible, there are numerous promising destiny guidelines which can beautify the effectiveness of interventions and sell scholar fulfillment.

Firstly, there may be a developing emphasis on leveraging superior system getting to know and AI strategies to broaden extra state-of-the-art predictive fashions. Moving past conventional approaches, destiny studies may want to discover the combination of deep getting to know architectures inclusive of recurrent neural networks (RNNs) and convolutional neural networks (CNNs) to seize temporal and spatial styles in instructional records. These fashions have established first rate abilities in numerous domain names and will provide new insights into scholar conduct, getting to know trajectories, and intervention strategies.

Furthermore, there may be a want to beautify the interpretability and transparency of AI-pushed fashions in instructional settings. While complicated algorithms like neural networks might also additionally provide excessive predictive accuracy, their black-field nature limits the capacity to apprehend the underlying decision-making process. Future studies may want to attention on growing interpretable AI fashions that offer actionable insights for educators and stakeholders. Techniques inclusive of interest mechanisms, explainable AI (XAI), and version-agnostic interpretability techniques might be explored to shed mild at the elements influencing scholar results and intervention effectiveness.

Another promising road for destiny studies lies withinside the integration of multimodal records reassets and rising technology. With the proliferation of tutorial technology and virtual getting to know platforms, there may be a wealth of records to be had from numerous reassets inclusive of getting to know control systems, on line assessments, and wearable devices. By integrating records from a couple of modalities, along with text, audio, video, and sensor records, researchers can advantage a extra complete information of scholar conduct and engagement. Additionally, the usage of progressive technology inclusive of digital reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and herbal language processing (NLP) may want to create

immersive getting to know studies and personalised interventions tailor-made to man or woman scholar needs

Moreover, there may be a want for more collaboration and records sharing amongst researchers, educators, policymakers, and enterprise partners. By fostering interdisciplinary partnerships and setting up records-sharing initiatives, researchers can get entry to large and extra numerous datasets, main to extra strong and generalizable findings. Additionally, collaborative efforts can facilitate the interpretation of studies findings into realistic interventions and rules that sell equity, inclusivity, and scholar fulfillment throughout numerous populations and contexts.

In summary, the destiny of AI-pushed comparative evaluation for early intervention in schooling holds exquisite promise for advancing our information of scholar getting to know and selling advantageous instructional results. By embracing rising technology, improving version interpretability, integrating multimodal records reassets, and fostering collaboration, researchers can broaden extra powerful interventions that empower educators and guide scholar fulfillment in an ever-evolving instructional landscape.

7. CONCLUSION

In conclusion, there are a lot of opportunities for future development and innovation in the field of AI-driven comparative analysis for early intervention in education. Through the utilisation of sophisticated machine learning methods, including deep learning architectures, improved interpretability of models, integration of multimodal data sources, and stakeholder collaboration, researchers can create increasingly sophisticated and efficacious interventions to facilitate student learning and success in a range of educational environments.

AI holds enormous promise for delivering personalized treatments that are suited to the needs of each individual student as technology develops and educational data becomes more widely available. By forming interdisciplinary collaborations and demonstrating a strong dedication to openness and fairness, we can leverage artificial intelligence to develop inclusive and significant teaching methods that empower teachers and improve student performance.

As AI technologies find their way into educational settings, it is imperative to tackle ethical issues including algorithmic bias, data privacy, and responsible technology usage.

By tracking students' progress over time, longitudinal studies can uncover characteristics that affect long-term educational results and offer greater insights into the efficacy of interventions. Researchers can gain a deeper understanding of the learning trajectories of their students and customize interventions by doing longitudinal data analysis.

Learning experiences may be tailored by AI-powered systems to each student's unique interests, skills, and shortcomings

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to Koneru Lakshmaiah University of Education for supporting this research .

References

- 1. Anderson, T., & Shattuck, J. (2012). Design-Based Research: A Decade of Progress in Education Research? Educational Researcher, 41(1), 16–25.
- 2. Baker, R. S., & Yacef, K. (2009). The State of Educational Data Mining in 2009: A Review and Future Visions. Journal of Educational Data Mining, 1(1), 3–17.
- 3. Beck, J. E., & Mostow, J. (2008). How Who Should Practice: Using Learning Curves to Evaluate the Effect of Tutoring Skill on ITS Performance. International Journal of Artificial Intelligence in Education, 18(3), 205–220.
- 4. Blikstein, P., & Worsley, M. (2016). Multimodal Learning Analytics and Education Data Mining: Using Computational Technologies to Measure Complex Learning Tasks. Journal of Learning Analytics, 3(2), 220–238.
- 5. Kapoor, A., Burleson, W., & Picard, R. W. (2007). Automatic Prediction of Frustration. International Journal of Human-Computer Studies, 65(8), 724–736.
- 6. Koedinger, K. R., & Corbett, A. T. (2006). Cognitive Tutors: Technology Bringing Learning Sciences to the Classroom. The Cambridge Handbook of the Learning Sciences, 61–78.
- 7. Pardo, A., Jovanovic, J., Dawson, S., Gasparini, I., & Mirriahi, N. (2019). Supporting Student Success: Learning Analytics Interventions to Improve Timeliness and Frequency of Study Behavior. Computers & Education, 139, 120–136.
- 8. Romero, C., & Ventura, S. (2010). Educational Data Mining: A Review of the State of the Art. IEEE Transactions on Systems, Man, and Cybernetics, Part C (Applications and Reviews), 40(6), 601–618.
- 9. Siemens, G. (2013). Learning Analytics: The Emergence of a Discipline. American Behavioral Scientist, 57(10), 1380–1400.
- 10. Tempelaar, D. T., Rienties, B., & Giesbers, B. (2015). In Search for the Most Informative Data for Feedback Generation: Learning Analytics in a Data-Rich Context. Computers in Human Behavior, 47, 157–167.
- 11. Vygotsky, L. S. (1978). Mind in Society: The Development of Higher Psychological Processes. Harvard University Press.
- 12. Ferguson, R., & Buckingham Shum, S. (2012). Social Learning Analytics: Five Approaches. Proceedings of the 2nd International Conference on Learning Analytics and Knowledge, 23–33.