

The Power of Soft Skills: Boosting IT Professionals' Performance in Kerala

Syamlal V S¹, Dr. B Pohutezhini², Dr. Simi S V³

¹Research Scholar, Business Administration, Annamalai University, India

²Assistant Professor, Annamalai University, India

³Assistant Professor, IMK, University of Kerala, India

In today's fast-paced IT industry, technical expertise is no longer the only criterion for success. Soft skills have become increasingly important for IT professionals to excel in their roles and drive business growth. Kerala, known for its thriving IT sector, is no exception. This article explores the effectiveness of soft skills on IT professionals' performance in Kerala, highlighting the essential skills required and benefits of developing them. The IT industry in Kerala is thriving, with numerous companies and startups emerging. However, technical skills alone are not enough for IT professionals to succeed. Soft skills play a vital role in boosting their performance and career growth.

1. Introduction

In today's fast-paced IT industry, technical expertise is no longer the only criterion for success. Soft skills have become increasingly important for IT professionals to excel in their roles and drive business growth. Kerala, known for its thriving IT sector, is no exception. This article explores the effectiveness of soft skills on IT professionals' performance in Kerala, highlighting the essential skills required and benefits of developing them. The IT industry in Kerala is thriving, with numerous companies and startups emerging. However, technical skills alone are not enough for IT professionals to succeed. Soft skills play a vital role in boosting their performance and career growth.

Effective communication is a crucial soft skill for IT professionals. It enables them to articulate complex technical ideas, collaborate with team members, and interact with clients. IT professionals in Kerala who possess strong communication skills can deliver projects efficiently and build strong relationships with stakeholders. Teamwork and collaboration are essential soft skills in the IT industry. IT professionals in Kerala who can work harmoniously with cross-functional teams, share knowledge, and support colleagues achieve better outcomes and enhance organizational productivity.

Problem-solving and adaptability are critical soft skills for IT professionals. The ability to

analyze complex problems, think critically, and adapt to new technologies and trends enables IT professionals in Kerala to stay ahead in the industry. Time management and organization are vital soft skills for IT professionals. Prioritizing tasks, meeting deadlines, and maintaining a high level of productivity contribute significantly to their performance and career advancement.

Leadership and initiative are valuable soft skills for IT professionals. Taking charge, motivating team members, and driving projects forward demonstrate leadership potential and accelerate career growth. Emotional intelligence and empathy enable IT professionals to navigate conflicts, manage stress, and build strong relationships with colleagues and clients. IT professionals in Kerala who possess high emotional intelligence excel in team environments. Continuous learning and professional development are essential soft skills for IT professionals. Staying updated on industry trends, attending workshops, and pursuing certifications enhance their expertise and career prospects.

In Kerala's IT industry, companies like Infosys, UST Global, Cyber Park, Info Park and Techopark recognize the importance of soft skills. They invest in training programs that focus on developing soft skills, leading to improved employee performance and job satisfaction. By emphasizing soft skills development, IT professionals in Kerala can enhance their performance, career prospects, and overall well-being. Incorporating soft skills training into educational curricula and corporate culture will foster a more effective and successful IT workforce in Kerala because of certain Benefits such as it improved communication, Enhanced collaboration, Increased productivity, Better problem-solving, Stronger relationships, Leadership opportunities, Career advancement and finally it Improves job satisfaction. By focusing on soft skills development, IT professionals in Kerala can thrive in the industry and drive growth and innovation.

2. Review of literature

The term "soft skills" is widely used but often lacks a precise definition. While its origins can be traced back to military training documents from the 1970s (Conrad, 1999), there is ongoing debate about its exact meaning.

Various definitions have been proposed:

- **Interpersonal Skills:** Soft skills are often described as interpersonal, human, people, or behavioral skills that complement technical knowledge (Crosbie, 2005; Kantrowitz, 2005; Weber et al., 2011).
- **Personality Traits and Behaviors:** Soft skills encompass personality traits, attitudes, and behaviors (Moss and Tilly, 1996).
- **Socio-Emotional Skills:** These skills are essential for personal development, social interactions, and workplace success, including communication, teamwork, and adaptability (Kechagias, 2011).
- **Intrapersonal and Interpersonal Skills:** Soft skills include both self-management skills and interpersonal skills, such as communication and relationship-building (Laker and Powell, 2011; Howe, 2010).

Despite the lack of a universally agreed-upon definition, it is clear that soft skills are crucial for success in both personal and professional life.

Soft skills are crucial for effective project management. Marando (2010) highlights interpersonal skills like leadership, communication, negotiation, expectation management, influence, problem-solving, and decision-making as essential. Similarly, Martino et al. (2011) emphasize entrepreneurial orientation, strategic influencing, communication, relationship building, adaptability, tolerance for uncertainty, and passion as crucial soft skills for innovation. Innovation leadership, a specific soft skill, involves encouraging, guiding, and fostering trust within teams. Workers possessing innovation leadership skills can influence and direct innovative activities in their workplace (Carmeli et al., 2010). Unlike technical skills, soft skills are intangible and often involve interpersonal interactions. They are not directly tied to specific tools or templates but are essential for effective collaboration, problem-solving, and decision-making.

The distinction between hard and soft skills can be somewhat blurred. While hard skills are often associated with cognitive abilities, influenced by IQ (Rainsbury et al., 2002), some skills like problem-solving, decision-making, and conceptual thinking can be classified as both hard and soft skills. Poisson-de Haro and Turgut (2010) categorize conceptual thinking as a hard skill, while others, like Spencer and Spencer (1993), consider it a soft skill. Similarly, quick study skills, mentioned by Martino et al. (2011), are often classified as soft skills. Therefore, it is evident that the boundaries between hard and soft skills are not always rigid, and certain skills may be categorized differently depending on the context and specific definition.

Polanyi (1966) categorized knowledge into two types: hard skills and soft skills. Soft skills, often considered tacit knowledge, are deeply rooted in individual experiences, values, and emotions (Boske & Osanloo, 2015; Kawamura, 2016; Hartley, 2018). They are difficult to articulate and codify, often requiring personal interaction and knowledge sharing (Deranek, McLeod & Schmidt, 2017; Wang & Liu, 2019; Asher & Popper, 2019; Lee, 2019). Numerous studies have explored the nature of soft skills. Chen et al. (2018), Holford (2018), Khoshorour & Gilaninia (2018), Zebal, Ferdous & Chambers (2019), Agyemang & Boateng (2019), PerezFuillerat et al. (2018), Nonaka & Toyama (2015), Munoz et al. (2015), Stewart et al. (2017), Razmerita et al. (2016), Jaleel & Verghis (2015), Wang et al. (2016), Serna et al. (2017), Jou et al. (2016), Rothberg & Erickson (2017) have all contributed to our understanding of soft skills as personal knowledge gained through individual experiences. While soft skills may be challenging to articulate and formalize, they play a crucial role in knowledge creation and sharing. The SECI Model, proposed by Nonaka and Hirose (2018), highlights the importance of tacit knowledge, including soft skills, in the knowledge creation process. By fostering social interaction and knowledge sharing, organizations can leverage the power of soft skills to drive innovation and organizational success.

Today's business environment is increasingly complex, uncertain, and competitive. As a result, organizations recognize human resources as a critical asset for their success. Employers often prioritize hiring, retaining, and promoting individuals who possess a blend of hard and soft skills. While technical skills are essential, soft skills, such as dependability, resourcefulness, effective communication, self-direction, a positive attitude, and a willingness to learn and grow, are equally important for career advancement (Wats, M., & Wats, R.K., 2009).

Employers recognize that technical skills alone are insufficient to achieve organizational goals. Soft skills are crucial for leadership, decision-making, and effective collaboration within teams. By cultivating a workforce with strong soft skills, organizations can enhance their overall performance and adaptability to change (Mitchell, G. W., Skinner, L. B., & White, B. J., 2010).

Soft skills, which encompass personality traits, qualities, and personal behaviors, are crucial for effective communication, problem-solving, self-motivation, decision-making, and time management (Gupta, Y., 2009).

A study by Hodges and Burchell (2003) revealed that employers prioritize soft skills, ranking them among the top ten most important skills. These skills include the ability and willingness to learn, teamwork, interpersonal communication, energy, passion, and problem-solving.

Similarly, a study in the United States identified soft skills as a major competency across various professions, including technical fields (Rubin, R.S., 2009).

Despite the increasing emphasis on soft skills by employers, many business students still undervalue their importance (Porter, 2007). This lack of appreciation often results in negative attitudes towards courses focused on soft skills development (Rynes et al., 2003). Consequently, a significant gap exists between the soft skills sought by employers and those possessed by business graduates. To address this issue, academic institutions must prioritize the development of soft skills in their curricula.

While employers increasingly prioritize soft skills, many business students underestimate their importance (Porter, 2007). This lack of appreciation often results in negative attitudes towards courses focused on soft skills development (Rynes et al., 2003). Consequently, a significant gap exists between the desired soft skills of employers and the actual skills possessed by business graduates. To address this issue, academic institutions must prioritize the development of soft skills in their curriculum. To enhance soft skills among students, various approaches can be adopted. Kumara and Sahasranam (2008) demonstrated the effectiveness of well-planned training programs in developing creativity and soft skills among engineering students. Additionally, real-world assignments can be used to improve communication skills, as suggested by Addams et al. (2010).

Several studies have highlighted the importance of integrating soft skills into the curriculum. McEnrue, Groves, and Shen (2009) and Howe (2010) emphasize the need to embed these skills in academic programs. Alshare, Lane, and Miller (2011) conducted a study to assess the perceptions of students and faculty regarding the adequacy of soft skills training in IT parks in the world. While students generally expressed satisfaction, faculty members identified areas for improvement.

While a significant body of research has examined the importance of soft skills from an employer's perspective, fewer studies have focused on the perceptions of IT professionals themselves. Moreover, most research has been conducted in North America and Europe, which may have different learning environments and work cultures compared to many Asian countries. Therefore, this study aims to investigate the perceptions of IT employees regarding the importance of soft skills in their employment.

3. Research methodology

Research methodology provides a systematic framework for conducting research. It ensures that the research process is logical and efficient, leading to valid and reliable findings. The quality and relevance of a study are directly influenced by the choice of research methodology.

Research Design.

This study employed a descriptive-analytical approach, utilizing both primary and secondary data sources.

Primary Data:

Collected directly from IT professionals in Kerala's major IT parks through structured interviews.

Secondary Data:

Gathered from libraries, research centers, government departments, voluntary organizations, and online sources. A comprehensive literature review was conducted using e-journals, textbooks, research reports, and other relevant publications.

Research Participants

The study focused on IT professionals working in major IT parks in Kerala. A sample size of 420 IT professionals was selected using simple random sampling.

Data Collection:

A well-structured interview schedule, developed based on previous studies and theoretical frameworks, was used to collect primary data.

Data Analysis

Data analysis was conducted using SPSS version 22.

Objectives:

1. To find out soft skills needed for the IT professionals Performance
2. The effect of soft skills on the performance of IT Professionals.

Testing of hypothesis (H1)

H01: The effect of the Soft skillson the performance of IT Professionals is not high.

Ha1: The effect of the Soft skillson the performance of IT Professionals is significantly not low.

4. Analysis and Interpretation of data

Analysis of the power soft skills on IT professionals' performance in Kerala

This part of the study is intended to present the perceptions of IT Professionals related to the IT Professionals in the State of Kerala for evaluating variables of effectiveness of skills. The

main variables related to the various skills on the organizational performance of IT Professionals are soft skills, hard skills, social skills and IT skills. These variables are assessed on the basis of the perception of IT Professionals through the use of questionnaires as well as interview schedule. .

Factors Influencing Soft skills

The first main variable considered for the analysis is 'Soft skills'. The sub-variables identified for the study are Time management, Decision making, Dependability, Problem solving, Listening, Critical thinking, Adaptability and Emotional intelligence. The Items of influence under each sub-variable have been Identified to provide more substance and meaning to the analysis which are given below.

Time management

Decision making

Dependability

Problem solving

Listening

Critical thinking

Adaptability

Emotional intelligence

The above main variable 'soft skills' is analyzed with the perceptions of the IT Professionals who are directly related to the issue. The Professionals are the stakeholders who have direct involvement in the organizational performance in IT Professionals due to the fact that they come in the picture only in the implementation or execution stage of different activities as well as Projects.

Assessment of the effect of soft skills on the IT professionals' Performance

There are eight sub-variables which constitute the soft skills. They are Time management, Decision making, Dependability, Problem solving, Listening, Critical thinking, Adaptability, Emotional intelligence. These sub-variables are analyzed using the tools of descriptive statistics and the result is given below.

Time Management

Time management is in important aspect in performance of professionals in Kerala. Planning to divide time, Priorities for specific activities, Improve work life balance, Reduce stress and risks, Capture bigger opportunities. The perceptions on these items gathered from the IT Professionals of IT Projects have been analyzed and the result is shown in table 4.14.

Table 1. Time Management

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Planning to divide time	147	35	167	39.7	36	8.6	26	6.2	44	10.5	420	100	3.83	1.253
2	Priorities for specific activities	150	35.7	169	40.2	39	9.3	31	7.4	31	7.4	420	100	3.91	1.175
3	Improve work life balance	153	36.4	143	34	61	14.5	29	6.9	34	8.2	420	100	3.84	1.185
4	Reduce stress and risks	133	31.7	153	36.4	58	13.8	43	10.2	33	7.9	420	100	3.73	1.236
5	Capture bigger opportunities	154	36.7	135	32.1	76	18.1	25	6.0	30	7.1	420	100	3.85	1.178
	Time Management													3.832	1.205

Source: Primary Data

The result reveals that all the five items mentioned above have got mean value in between 3.91 to Priorities for specific activities and 3.73 to reduce stress and risks. About 75 per cent of the respondent Users have rated the ‘Time Management’ favoured with score of strongly agree or agree. Again the overall mean score ratings of the sub-variables Time Management have been obtained with the mean score of 3.83 which is considered as above average level rating of the Time Management . Thus it can be come to a conclusion that the Time Management is rated by the IT Professionals at an above average level.

Decision making

Decision making are perceived mostly needed soft skill by the IT Professionals of major Projects. Decision making includes Making choices, Identifying a decision, Assessing alternatives, Thoughtful decision and Most satisfying alternatives choose among the alternatives . The perception of the IT Professionals of Kerala gathered from the sample respondents have been analyzed on the items mentioned above and their result is shown in table below.

Table 2. Decision making

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Making choices	145	35	139	32.6	71	17.4	24	5.5	39	9.5	420	100	3.90	2.664
2	Identifying a decision	144	34.7	137	32.1	70	16.9	35	8.5	34	7.6	420	100	3.79	1.203
3	Assessing alternatives	144	34.7	133	31.2	71	16.9	25	6	47	11.2	420	100	3.74	1.275

4	Thoughtful decision	143	33.6	134	31.7	72	17.1	26	6.2	48	11.4	420	100	3.72	1.278
5	Most satisfying alternatives choose among the alternatives	137	32.2	136	32.2	71	16.9	38	9	41	9.7	420	100	3.70	1.254
	Decision making													3.77	1.169

Source: Primary Data

About 65 per cent of the respondents have positively responded to all the items in the form of strong agreement or agreement. As far as the ratings obtained on the items ‘Candidate orientation program was conducted at the time of selection’ with a highest mean score of 3.90 whereas ‘Planning for orientation programme ’ with a mean value of 3.70 which are far above the threshold statistical mean of 3. The overall mean score rating for the sub-variable Decision making is 3.77. Thus it can be concluded that the perception of IT Professionals on Decision making in Professionals Performance of IT Projects are at an above average level.

Dependability

The third sub-variable put for analysis is the Dependability it includes Be Responsive, Be Organised,meet the deadline, Be accountable, Be consistant. The result shows in the table

Table 3: Dependability

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Be Responsive	116	28.0	135	32.2	115	27.8	33	7.3	21	4.7	420	100	3.15	.975
2	Be Organized	128	30.7	117	28.2	118	28.4	31	6.9	26	5.8	420	100	3.15	.946
3	Meet the deadline	97	23.8	174	40.9	97	23.8	39	8.7	13	2.9	420	100	3.13	.936
4	Be accountable	152	36.0	119	28.7	97	23.8	39	8.7	13	2.9	420	100	3.12	.936
5	Be consistant	124	29.8	148	35.1	96	23.6	39	8.7	13	2.9	420	100	3.12	.901
	Dependability													3.14	.9140

Source: Primary Data

Around 50 per cent of the respondent Users have agreed or strongly agreed in favour of the items as well as the mean score rating. As regards the mean score ratings the items ‘Be Responsive ’ and ‘Be Organised’ have got mean value of 3.15 each whereas the least score of 3.12 have been shared by the item ‘Give importance in staffing’ and ‘Be consistant’ . The overall mean score of the sub-variable Dependability is 3.14 which are above the threshold mean value of 3. It can be concluded that the perception of IT Professionals on Dependability related to IT Projects in Kerala is at a moderate level.

Problem Solving

The next sub-variable of Factors influencing recruitment and selection is Problem Solving in Professionals’ Performance of IT sector. Like the above sub-variable mentioned, five items

are identified for analysing the factor Transfer and Hard communications. Problem Solving are the integral part of an organisations. The items identified for gathering the perception of stakeholders in this respect . The result of the analysis is shown in table 4

Table 4. Problem solving

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Addressing one issue with Multiple interrelated obstacles	118	28.4	129	30.9	114	27.6	46	10.2	13	2.9	420	100	3.04	.895
2	Troublesome situation	125	30.0	129	30.9	102	24.9	48	10.7	16	3.6	420	100	3.12	.865
3	Sufficient resources	116	28.0	131	31.3	114	27.6	46	10.2	13	2.9	420	100	3.12	.866
4	Knowledge beyond general competence	124	29.8	131	31.3	109	26.4	43	9.6	13	2.9	420	100	3.06	.878
5	Identifying goals	118	28.4	131	31.3	108	26.2	43	9.6	20	4.4	420	100	3.14	1.005
	Problem solving													3.10	.8708

Source: Primary Data

The result reveals that about 60 per cent of the User stakeholders have agreed or strongly agreed positively with the statement related to the items. The highest mean score of 3.14 is assigned to the item ‘Identifying goals’ and the least mean score of 3.04 has been assigned to the item ‘Our selection process for a job is very extensive’ . The overall mean score obtained for the sub-variable Problem Solving is 3.10 which is just above the threshold mean value of 3. Thus it can be seen that the perception of IT Professionals of the sub-variable steps for Problem Solving on Government projects is at an average level.

Listening

Listening is very necessary in recruitment and selection procedure. It is the most important method for materialising the demand of the organisation to materialise. The Listening is defined for the purpose of data gathering in the five items. They are We place a lot of importance on Follow directions, Prevent misunderstanding, Ability and accurately, Greater productivity with fewer mistakes, Customer satisfaction. The result of the analysis is shown in the table below

Table 5 Listening

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Follow directions	137	32.7	135	32.2	90	22.2	38	8.4	20	4.4	420	100	3.97	1.079

2	Prevent misunderstanding	132	31.6	131	31.3	98	24.0	41	9.1	18	4.0	420	100	4.00	1.095
3	Ability and accurately	142	33.8	127	30.4	93	22.9	40	8.9	18	4.0	420	100	4.03	1.024
4	Greater productivity with fewer mistakes,	156	36.9	133	31.8	71	18.0	38	8.4	22	4.9	420	100	3.89	1.006
5	Customer satisfaction	108	26.2	177	41.6	86	21.3	38	8.4	11	2.4	420	100	3.74	.926
	Listening													3.93	.9663

Source: Primary Data

The result reveals that about 65 per cent of the respondent Users of Government Projects have strongly agreed or agreed positively on sharing their perception related to all items of the sub-variable ‘Ability and accurately . Again the result of the descriptive statistical analysis shows that the highest mean score of 4.03 has been assigned to the ‘Ability and accurately’ and the least mean score of 3.74 has been obtained by the item ‘ Customer satisfaction. The overall mean score for the sub-variable is 3.93 which is far above the statistical mean of 3. It is seen that the perception of IT Professionals on the Listening on Professionals’ Performance of IT Projects for getting it initiated is at an above average level.

Critical thinking

Policy and reservation are very much important is recruitment and selection process. The sub variables are Generally, we spend a great deal of money on selecting people for a job, Emotional intelligence , Logical connection with ideas , Innovative ideas and Discerning truth from fiction . The information collected from the respondent IT Professionals in respect of the sub-variable ‘Critical thinking ’ have been analysed and the result is shown in the table below

Table 6: Critical thinking

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Analyse the facts	103	24.5	302	71.9	4	1.0	7	1.7	4	1.0	420	100	4.17	.615
2	Emotional intelligence	99	23.6	292	69.5	12	2.9	11	2.6	6	1.4	420	100	4.11	.697
3	Logical connection with ideas	197	46.9	198	47.1	4	1.0	12	2.9	9	2.1	420	100	4.34	.820
4	Innovative ideas	279	66.4	95	22.6	15	3.6	16	3.8	15	3.6	420	100	4.45	.989
5	Discerning truth from fiction	194	46.2	193	46.0	17	4.0	8	1.9	8	1.9	420	100	4.33	.803
	Critical thinking													4.28	0.7848

Source: Primary Data

The result of the analysis shows that about 90 per cent of the respondent IT Professionals have
Nanotechnology Perceptions Vol. 20 No. 7 (2024)

rated the sub-variable ‘Critical thinking ’ with positive perception of strongly agree or agree. The result of mean score analysis exhibits that the item ‘Innovative ideas’ has got the highest mean value of 4.45 and the least mean score obtained by the item ‘Emotional intelligence’ with mean value of 4.11. The overall mean score obtained by the sub-variable ‘Critical thinking’ is 4.28 which is considered as a high level of ratings given by the Official respondents. Hence it can be said that as per the ratings of IT Professionals a high level of policies are provided through organizational performance through IT projects.

Adaptability

The data collected from the sample group of IT Professionals on the sub-variable ‘Adaptability ’ has been analysed. The major sub variables are, Interacts with others in work place ,Adjust to changing circumstances, Collaboration & Growth mind set, Responsive Negotiation, Self development .The result is presented in the below table.

Table 7.Adaptability

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Interacts with others in work place	156	37.1	181	43.1	67	16.0	10	2.4	6	1.4	420	100	4.13	.861
2	Adjust to changing circumstances	102	24.3	303	72.1	4	1.0	6	1.4	5	1.2	420	100	4.12	.781
3	Collaboration & Growth mind set	101	24.0	303	72.1	3	.7	7	1.7	6	1.4	420	100	4.17	.624
4	Responsive Negotiation	202	48.1	203	48.3	6	1.4	5	1.2	4	1.0	420	100	4.16	.647
5	Self development	283	67.4	87	20.7	20	4.8	14	3.3	16	3.8	420	100	4.41	.677
	Adaptability													4.20	0.718

Source: Primary Data

It is seen that about 90 per cent of the respondent IT Professionals have positively responded to all the items in the form of strong agreement or agreement. As far as the ratings obtained on the item ‘Self development’ with a highest mean score of 4.41 whereas ‘Adjust to changing circumstances’ with a mean value of 4.12. The overall mean score rating for the sub-variable adaptability is 4.20 which are considered as a high level of ratings given by the Official respondents. Thus it can be concluded that the perception of IT Professionals on adaptability of Professionals’ Performance of IT Sector are at a high level.

Emotional intelligence

Emotional intelligenceis very necessary in recruitment and selection procedure. It is the most important method for materialising the demand of the organisation to materialise. The Emotional intelligence is defined for the purpose of data gathering in the five items. They are We place a lot of importance on Ability to understand, Relieve stress, Overcome challenge, Manage own emotions, Self awareness and self regulation. The result of the analysis is shown in the below table

Table 8. Emotional intelligence

Sl. No	Items	Strongly Agree		Agree		Neutral		Disagree		Strongly Dis Agree		Total		Mean	SD
		N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%		
1	Ability to understand	137	32.7	135	32.2	90	22.2	38	8.4	20	4.4	420	100	3.97	1.079
2	Relieve stress	132	31.6	131	31.3	98	24.0	41	9.1	18	4.0	420	100	4.00	1.095
3	Overcome challenges	142	33.8	127	30.4	93	22.9	40	8.9	18	4.0	420	100	4.03	1.024
4	Manage own emotions	156	36.9	133	31.8	71	18.0	38	8.4	22	4.9	420	100	3.89	1.006
5	Self awareness and self regulation	108	26.2	177	41.6	86	21.3	38	8.4	11	2.4	420	100	3.74	.926
	Emotional intelligence													3.74	.9663

Source: Primary Data

The result reveals that about 65 per cent of the respondent Users of Government Projects have strongly agreed or agreed positively on sharing their perception related to all items of the sub-variable ‘Ability and accurately . Again the result of the descriptive statistical analysis shows that the highest mean score of 4.03 has been assigned to the ‘Ability and accurately’ and the least mean score of 3.74 has been obtained by the item ‘Customer satisfaction. The overall mean score for the sub-variable is 3.93 which is far above the statistical mean of 3. It is seen that the perception of IT Professionals on the Emotional intelligence on Professionals’ Performance of IT Projects for getting it initiated is at an above average level.

It is necessary to examine the consolidated score of the perception of the IT Professionals on the main variable ‘soft skills on Professionals’ Performance of Employees in IT Sector. The result of the analysis is depicted in table below.

Table 9: Mean Score Analysis of soft skills on Professionals’ Performance of Employees in IT Sector

Sl.No.	Sub-variable	Mean Score	SD
1	Time management	3.83	.9250
2	Decision making	3.77	1.169
3	Dependability	3.14	.9140
4	Problem solving	3.10	.8708
5	Listening	3.93	.9663
6	Critical thinking	4.28	.7848
7	Adaptability	4.20	.7180
8	Emotional intelligence	3.74	.9071
	Soft skills	3.75	.9068

Source: Primary Data

The consolidated result of the sub-variables relating to the main variable Factors Influencing soft skills on Professionals’ Performance of IT Projects is reiterated in table 4.21. The table shows that the sub-variable ‘ Critical thinking ’ has got the highest mean score with 4.28

whereas the sub-variable Problem Solving with the least mean score of 3.10. The sub-variable Preference for Right person and Decision making are rated with 3.83 and 3.77 respectively while the sub-variable Dependability has got the mean score at just an average level of 3.14. The overall mean score for the main variable soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Projects is 3.75 which is fairly above the threshold mean value of 3. Thus it can be seen that the soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Projects are relevant and at an above average level.

Table 10: One Sample t test for analysing the effect of soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Projects in Kerala

Variable	Mean Value	SD	t Value	P Value
soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Professionals	3.75	0.9068	17.28	0.000*

*Significant at 1% level

Table 4.20 shows the One Sample t test for analysing the effect of soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Professionals. It is seen from the table that the mean value obtained is 3.75 which is above the threshold mean value of 3 which states that effect of soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT Projects is at an average level. Since the P value is less than 0.01, the null hypothesis is rejected at 1 per cent level of significance. Hence the Null Hypothesis H₀ 1 that "The effect of the Soft skills on the organizational performance of IT Projects is not high" stands rejected. Therefore it can be concluded that the effect of soft skills on Professionals' Performance of IT projects are relevant and at a moderate level.

5. Conclusion:

Soft skills are essential for IT professionals to excel in Kerala's thriving IT industry. By developing and enhancing soft skills, IT professionals can improve communication, collaboration, and productivity, ultimately driving business growth. As the IT industry continues to evolve, the importance of soft skills will only continue to grow. The major benefits includes enhanced collaboration and teamwork, Improved communication with clients and stakeholders, Increased productivity and efficiency, Better conflict resolution and customer service and Leadership development and career advancement. And the study recommends Enhanced collaboration and teamwork, Improved communication with clients and stakeholders, Increased productivity and efficiency, Better conflict resolution and customer service and Leadership development and career advancement.

References

1. Acemoglu, D. (1997). Training and innovation in an imperfect labour market. *The Review of Economic Studies*, 64(3), 445–464.
2. Azim, S., Gale, A., Lawlor-Wright, T., Kirkham, R., Khan, A., & Alam, M. (2010). The importance of soft skills in complex projects. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, 3(3), 387–401.

3. Ballot, G., & Taymaz, E. (1997). The dynamics of firms in a micro-to-macro model: the role of training, learning and innovation. *Journal of evolutionary economics*, 7(4), 435–457.
4. Blundell, R., Dearden, L., Meghir, C., & Sianesi, B. (1999). Human capital investment: the returns from education and training to the individual, the firm and the economy. *Fiscal Studies*, 20(1), 1–23.
5. Boone, H. N., & Boone, D. A. (20Howe, S. (2010)). Analysing Likert data. *Journal of extension*, 50(2), 1–5.
6. Carmeli, A., Gelbard, R., & Gefen, D. (2010). The importance of innovation leadership in cultivating strategic fit and enhancing firm performance. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 21(3), 339–349.
7. Consoli, D., & Elche, D. (2010). Variety in the knowledge base of Knowledge Intensive Business Services. *Research Policy*, 39(10), 1303–1310.
8. Consoli, D., & Elche, D. (2013). The evolving knowledge base of professional service sectors. *Journal of Evolutionary Economics*, 23(2), 477–501.
9. Goldsmith, R. E., & Foxall, G. R. (2003). The measurement of innovativeness. In L. V. Shavinina (Ed.) *The International Handbook on Innovation* Amsterdam: Elsevier. (pp. 321–330).
10. Ishak, N. A. (2005). Promoting employees' innovativeness and organisational citizenship behaviour through superior-subordinate relationship in the workplace. *Research and Practice in Human Resource Management*, 13(2), 16–30.
11. Kantrowitz, T. (2005). Development and construct validation of a measure of soft skills performance. PhD Dissertation, Georgia Institute of Technology
12. Kechagias, K. (2011). Teaching and assessing soft skills. Thessaloniki (Neapolis): 1st Second Chance School of Thessaloniki, as part of the Measuring and Assessing Soft Skills (MASS) Project.
13. Kemenkop&UKM, Kementerian Koperasi dan Usaha Kecil dan Menengah (2013). <http://www.depkop.go.id/berita-informasi/data-informasi/data-umkm>
14. Laker, D. R., & Powell, J. L. (2011). The differences between hard and soft skills and their relative impact on training transfer. *Human Resource Development Quarterly*, 22(1), 111–Howe, S. (2010)
15. Laplagne, P., & Bensted, L. (1999). The role of training and innovation in workplace performance. Productivity Commission Working Paper No. 1609. Available at SSRN: <https://ssrn.com/abstract=324760>.
16. Luthans, F., Avolio, B. J., Avey, J. B., & Norman, S. M. (2007). Positive Positive psychological capital: measurment and Relationship with Performance and Satisfaction. *Personnel Psychology*, 60, 541–572.
17. Marando, A. (20Howe, S. (2010)). Balancing Project Management Hard Skills and Soft Skills. Rabb School of Continuing Studies: Division of Graduate Professional Studies, Brandeis University.
18. Martino, G., & Bartolone, J. (2011). Soft Skills for Open Innovation Success. In Paul Sloane (Ed), *A guide to open innovation and crowd sourcing*. Advice from leading expert United Kingdom. Kogan (pp. 98–105)..
19. Midgley, D. F., & Dowling, G. R. (1978). Innovativeness: the concept and its measurement. *Journal of consumer research*, 4(4), 229–242.
20. Mirabile, R. J. (1997). Everything you wanted to know about competency modeling. *Training & Development*, 51(8), 73–78.
21. Mohnen, P., & Hall, B. (2013). Innovation and productivity: an update. *Eurasian Business Review*, 3(1), 47–65.
22. Morgeson, F. P., Delaney-Klinger, K., & Hemingway, M. A. (2005). The importance of job autonomy, cognitive ability, and job-related skill for predicting role breadth and job performance. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 90(2), 399.

23. Moss, P., & Tilly, C. (1996). "Soft" skills and race: an investigation of black men's employment problems. *Work and Occupations*, 23(3), 252–276.
24. Motowildo, S. J., Borman, W. C., & Schmit, M. J. (1997). A theory of individual differences in task and contextual performance. *Human performance*, 10(2), 71–83.
25. Narver, J. C., Slater, S. F., & MacLachlan, D. L. (2004). Responsive and Proactive Market Orientation and New-Product Success. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*, 21, 334–347.
26. Page, C., Wilson, M., & Kolb, D. (1993). *Managerial competencies and New Zealand managers: On the inside, looking in*. Auckland: University of Auckland.
27. Parzefall, M. R., Seeck, H., & Leppänen, A. (2008). Employee innovativeness in organizations: a review of the antecedents. *Finnish Journal of Business Economics*, 2(08), 165–182.
28. Peterson, R. A. (1994). A meta-analysis of Cronbach's coefficient alpha. *Journal of consumer research*, 21(2), 381–391.
29. Poisson-de Haro, S., & Turgut, G. (20Howe, S. (2010)). Expanded strategy simulations: developing better managers. *Journal of Management Development*, 31(3), 209–220.
30. Rainsbury, E., Hodges, D. L., Burchell, N., & Lay, M. C. (2002). Ranking workplace competencies: Student and graduate perceptions.
31. Rogers, E. M. (2002). Diffusion of preventive innovations. *Addictive Behaviors*, 27(6), 989–993.
32. Roy, I., Cantner, U., & Gerstlberger, W. (2013) Works Councils, Training Activities and Innovation: A Study of German Firms. 35th DRUID Celebration Conference 2013, Barcelona, Spain, June 17–19.
33. Russell, C. J., & Bobko, P. (1992). Moderated regression analysis and Likert scales: too coarse for comfort. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 77(3), 336.
34. Scott, R., & Vincent-Lancrin, D. (2014). The human factor in innovation. In S. Dutta, B. Lanvin, & S. Wunsch-Vincent (Eds). *The Global Innovation Index 2014*. Cornell University, INSEAD, WIPO Fontainebleau, Ithaca.
35. Spencer, L. M., & Spencer, S. M. (1993). *Competency at work*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
36. Stoof, A., Martens, R. L., van Merriënboer, J. J., & Bastiaens, T. J. (2002). The boundary approach of competence: a constructivist aid for understanding and using the concept of competence. *Human Resource Development Review*, 1(3), 345–365.
37. Tether, B., Mina, A., Consoli, D., & Gagliardi, D. (2005). A literature review on skills and innovation: how does successful innovation impact on the demand for skills and how do skills drive innovation?. United Kingdom: Department of Trade and Industry.
38. Upadhyay, Y., & Baber, R. (2013). Market orientation and organizational performance in tourism and travel industry. *Journal of Business and Management*, 10(2), 17–27.
39. Van Oort, G. F., Oud, J. H., & Raspe, O. (2009). The urban knowledge economy and employment growth: a spatial structural equation modeling approach. *The Annals of Regional Science*, 43(4), 859–877.
40. Weber, M. R., Crawford, A., Rivera, D., Jr., & Finley, D. A. (2011). Using Delphi panels to assess soft skill competencies in entry level managers. *Journal of Tourism Insights*, 1(1), 98–106.
41. Zhang, A. (20Howe, S. (2010)). Peer assessment of soft skills and hard skills. *Journal of Information Technology Education: Research*, 11(1), 155–168.
42. Wats, M., & Wats, R.K. (2009). Developing soft skills in students. *The International Journal of Learning*, 15(12), 1-10.
43. Mitchell, G. W., Skinner, L. B., & White, B. J. (2010). Essential soft skills for success in the twentyfirst century workforce as perceived by business educators. *Delta Pi Epsilon Journal*, 52(1), 43-53.
44. Gupta, Y. (2009). Building a better business student. *BizEd*, 9(6), 62-63.

45. Hodges, D., & Burchell, N. (2003). Business graduate competencies: Employers' views on importance and performance. *Asia-Pacific Journal of Cooperative Education*, 4(2), 16-22.
46. Rubin, R.S. (2009). How relevant is the MBA? Assessing the alignment of required curricula and required managerial competencies. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 8(2), 208–224.
47. Porter, J. (2007). B-schools soft on 'soft skills'. *Bollomberg BusinessWeek Online*, http://www.businessweek.com/bschools/content/aug2007/bs2007_082_280172.htm (Access date: December 19, 2011)
48. Rynes, S. L., Trank, C. Q., Lawson, A. M., & Ilies, R. (2003). Behavioural coursework in business education: Growing evidence of a legitimacy crisis. *Academy of Management Learning & Education*, 2, 269–283.
49. Kumara, S.A.V., & Sahasranam, C. (2008). An empirical study on students' soft skills inventory test: Reliability and non-parametric analysis. *The Icfai University Journal of Soft Skills*, 2(3), 35-45.
50. Addams, A.L., Woodbury, D., Allred, T., & Addams, J. (2010). Developing student communication skills while assisting nonprofit organizations. *Business Communication Quarterly*, 73(3), 282-290.
51. Alshare, K.A, Lane, P.L., & Miller, D. (2011). Business communication skills in Information Systems (IS) curricula: Perspectives of IS educators and students. *Journal of Education for Business*, 86: 186–194.
52. McEnrue, M. P., Groves, K. S., & Shen, W. (2009). Emotional intelligence development: Leveraging individual characteristic. *The Journal of Management Development*, 28(2), 150.
53. Howe, S. (2010). Can EQ be learned? *Fleet Maintenance*. Fort Atkinson, 14(10), 36.