

Towards Zero Wastes: Role Of Sustainable And Degradable Polymers In Circular Economy

Subita Bhagat¹ and Pardeep Kumar²

¹ Assistant Professor, Department of Chemical engineering, Sant Longowal Institute of Engineering and Technology (SLIET), District Sangrur, Punjab, India- 148106. Email id: subita_bhagat@sliet.ac.in

² Manager, HPCL-Mittal Energy Limited, Bathinda, Punjab, India. Email id: Pardeep.kumar@hmel.in

The growing environmental concerns associated with traditional petroleum-based plastics have led to increased interest in sustainable and degradable polymers. These materials offer eco-friendly alternatives by reducing plastic waste accumulation and minimizing long-term environmental impact. This paper explores the development, properties, and applications of sustainable polymers derived from renewable resources, as well as synthetic degradable polymers designed for controlled breakdown. Key factors influencing their performance, such as biodegradability, mechanical properties, and end-of-life disposal are discussed. Advances in polymer chemistry, including biopolymer modifications and novel degradable copolymers, are also examined. The paper highlights recent innovations, challenges in large-scale production, and potential future directions for integrating sustainable polymers into mainstream industries. Ultimately, the transition to sustainable and degradable polymers is crucial for achieving a circular economy and mitigating plastic pollution.

Keywords: Biodegradable Polymers, Sustainable Materials, Renewable Resources, Environmental Degradation.

1. INTRODUCTION

The widespread use of conventional plastics has led to severe environmental challenges, including persistent plastic pollution, depletion of fossil resources, and greenhouse gas emissions. Traditional polymers primarily derived from petroleum-based sources, exhibit high durability and resistance to degradation, resulting in long-term accumulation in ecosystems. This growing crisis has driven significant research and innovation in the development of sustainable and degradable polymers as viable alternatives.

Sustainable polymers are derived from renewable resources such as plant-based feedstock's, algae, and microbial fermentation, offering reduced dependence on fossil fuels. Degradable polymers, on the other hand, are designed to break down through natural processes, including hydrolysis, enzymatic activity, and microbial decomposition, thereby minimizing their environmental footprint. These materials can be classified into biodegradable, compostable, and photodegradable categories, depending on their degradation mechanisms and conditions.

Advancements in polymer chemistry, material science, and processing technologies have enabled the production of Bioplastics and synthetic degradable polymers with improved mechanical properties, thermal stability, and controlled degradation rates. However, challenges remain in terms of cost, large-scale manufacturing, and ensuring proper end-of-life disposal.

This paper explores the latest developments in sustainable and degradable polymers, their fundamental properties, degradation mechanisms, and applications across various industries, including packaging, biomedical, and agriculture. By addressing both opportunities and limitations, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing transition toward environmentally friendly materials and a circular economy.

2. LITERATURE REVIEW

The development of sustainable and degradable polymers has gained significant attention in recent years due to the increasing concerns over plastic pollution and environmental sustainability. Researchers have explored various approaches to designing polymers that maintain desirable properties while ensuring degradability at the end of their life cycle. This section reviews key findings in the field covering biodegradable polymers, bio-based polymers, synthetic degradable polymers, degradation mechanisms, and industrial applications.

2.1 Biodegradable Polymers

Biodegradable polymers are materials that can be broken down by microorganisms into natural byproducts such as water, carbon dioxide, and biomass. According to Song, J. H et al. (2009), the degradation of these polymers depends on environmental factors, microbial activity, and polymer composition. Common biodegradable polymers include poly lactic acid (PLA), poly hydroxyl alkanoates (PHA), and poly capro lactone (PCL). PLA, derived from cornstarch or sugarcane, is widely used in packaging and biomedical applications, while PHAs are produced by bacteria and exhibit tunable properties suitable for various industrial applications (Jamshidian, M. et al., 2010).

2.2. Bio-Based Polymers

Bio-based polymers are derived from renewable resources such as starch, cellulose, lignin, and proteins. Studies by (Babu, R. P. et al. 2013) highlight the potential of cellulose-based materials in developing high-performance biodegradable films. Starch-based polymers, (as discussed by Álvarez-Chávez, C. R. et al. 2012), have shown promise in packaging due to their biodegradability, though their mechanical properties require enhancement through blending with other polymers or plasticizers.

2.3. Synthetic Degradable Polymers

In addition to natural biopolymers, researchers have developed synthetic degradable polymers with controlled degradation properties. For instance, (Krishnan, S. et al. 2019) demonstrated that incorporating additives or copolymerization techniques can enhance the degradation rate

of poly butylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT), a commonly used compostable polymer. Recent studies on polyethylene glycol (PEG)-based materials have also explored their use in biomedical applications due to their water-soluble and degradable nature (Chiellini, E. et al., 2003).

2.4. Degradation Mechanisms

The degradation of polymers occurs through various mechanisms, including hydrolysis, enzymatic degradation, photo degradation, and microbial activity. According to (Bandopadhyay et al. 2021), hydrolysis plays a key role in the breakdown of ester-based polymers like PLA and PCL. Enzymatic degradation, particularly in natural polymers such as PHA, is facilitated by microbial enzymes that break down polymer chains into smaller molecules (Kale, G. et al., 2007). Photo degradation, triggered by UV radiation, has been explored as a strategy to enhance the breakdown of plastics in outdoor applications (Reddy et al., 2013).

2.5. Industrial Applications and Challenges

Sustainable and degradable polymers have found applications in diverse industries, including packaging, agriculture, medicine, and textiles. PLA and starch-based plastics are increasingly replacing traditional plastic packaging (Mohanty, A. K et al. 2018), while biodegradable mulch films are gaining popularity in agriculture to reduce plastic waste (Karan, H. et al., 2019). In the medical field, biodegradable polymers like PCL and PLA are extensively used for sutures, drug delivery systems, and tissue engineering (Hottle, T. et al., 2017).

Despite these advancements, several challenges remain, including high production costs, performance limitations, and difficulties in waste management. Studies suggest that improving polymer properties through nano composites, copolymerization, and hybrid materials could address these limitations (Bordes, P. et al., 2009).

3. MECHANICAL PROPERTIES & TECHNIQUES

The performance of sustainable and degradable polymers depends on their mechanical properties, which influence their suitability for various applications such as packaging, biomedical devices, and structural components. These properties include tensile strength, elongation at break, Young's modulus, impact resistance, and thermal stability. To optimize these characteristics, researchers employ various processing techniques and modification strategies to enhance polymer strength, flexibility, and degradation control.

3.1 Mechanical Properties of Sustainable and Degradable Polymers

3.1.1 Tensile Strength and Elongation at Break

Tensile strength measures a material's ability to withstand pulling forces before breaking. Biodegradable polymers like PLA have high tensile strength (~50–70 MPa) but exhibit brittleness, limiting their use in flexible applications. In contrast, poly butylene succinate (PBS) and poly hydroxyl alkanoates (PHA) have better elongation properties, making them more ductile and impact-resistant.

3.1.2 Young's Modulus and Flexibility

Young's modulus determines polymer stiffness. PLA, for instance, has a high modulus (~3.5 GPa), making it rigid, whereas polycaprolactone (PCL) and polybutylene adipate terephthalate (PBAT) offer lower modulus values (~0.1–0.4 GPa), providing better flexibility. Blending PLA with PBAT or PHA can enhance its flexibility while maintaining strength.

3.1.3 Impact Resistance and Toughness

Most degradable polymers struggle with impact resistance. PBAT, PCL, and starch-based polymers exhibit better toughness compared to PLA. Research suggests that nano composites, plasticizers, and copolymerization can improve impact properties. For instance, adding graphene oxide or cellulose nano fibers to PLA enhances its toughness without compromising biodegradability.

3.1.4 Thermal Stability and Processability

Thermal degradation is a crucial factor in polymer selection. PLA and PHA degrade above 200–250°C, while starch-based polymers and gelatin-based bio plastics degrade at lower temperatures (~100–150°C). Processing techniques such as extrusion, injection molding, and electro spinning need to consider these thermal properties to prevent premature degradation.

3.2 Techniques to Enhance Mechanical Properties

3.2.1 Polymer Blending

Blending polymers improves mechanical performance by combining the strengths of different materials. Examples include:

- PLA + PBAT → Improves flexibility and toughness for packaging.
- PLA + PHA → Enhances ductility while maintaining biodegradability.
- Starch + PCL → Provides a balance between stiffness and degradability.

3.2.2 Nanocomposites and Fillers

The incorporation of **nanoparticles and bio-fillers** enhances mechanical strength, barrier properties, and thermal resistance. Common fillers include:

- Cellulose nanocrystals (CNCs) → Improve strength and biodegradability.
- Graphene oxide (GO) → Increases toughness and electrical conductivity.
- Silica nanoparticles → Enhance rigidity and impact resistance.

3.2.3 Cross linking and Copolymerization

Chemical modifications through crosslinking or copolymerization strengthen polymer networks. Polyurethane-based biopolymers with cross linked structures exhibit enhanced elasticity and durability. Copolymerization of PLA with PEG or PBS improves flexibility without compromising degradability.

3.2.4 Plasticizers and Additives

To enhance flexibility, plasticizers like glycerol, citric acid, and polyethylene glycol (PEG) are incorporated into starch-based and PLA-based materials. However, maintaining eco-friendly, non-toxic plasticizers remain a challenge in industrial applications.

3.2.5 Mechanical Testing Techniques

To evaluate the mechanical properties of sustainable polymers, various testing methods are employed:

- **Tensile Testing (ASTM D638 / ISO 527)** → Measures tensile strength, modulus, and elongation at break.
- **Impact Resistance (Izod / Charpy test)** → Assesses toughness under sudden impact loads.
- **Thermal Analysis (TGA / DSC)** → determines thermal stability and degradation temperatures.
- **Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)** → Evaluates polymer stiffness under different temperatures and stress conditions.

4. METHODOLOGIES & PERFORMANCE ANALYSIS

The development and evaluation of sustainable and degradable polymers require a systematic approach, incorporating material synthesis, processing techniques, and performance characterization. This section outlines the methodologies used in polymer fabrication, degradation analysis, and performance testing, followed by a discussion of the key metrics used for evaluating polymer efficiency in various applications.

4.1 Methodologies for Polymer Synthesis & Processing

4.1.1 Polymer Synthesis

Sustainable and degradable polymers can be synthesized through:

- **Biosynthesis** → Microbial production of poly hydroxyl alkanoates (PHA) from renewable feed stocks.
- **Chemical Polymerization** → Ring-opening polymerization (ROP) of lactide monomers to produce polylactic acid (PLA).
- **Blending & Copolymerization** → Combining polymers (e.g., PLA with PBAT) to enhance mechanical and degradation properties.

4.1.2 Processing Techniques

After synthesis, polymers undergo processing to achieve desired shapes and properties:

- **Extrusion** → Used for film production (e.g., biodegradable packaging).
- **Injection Molding** → Applied in biomedical and structural components.
- **Electro spinning** → Produces nano fibers for biomedical applications.

These methods influence polymer structure, affecting degradation rates, strength, and flexibility.

4.2. Performance Analysis of Sustainable & Degradable Polymers

The performance of these polymers is evaluated based on mechanical, thermal, and degradation characteristics.

4.2.1 Mechanical Performance Testing

To ensure material durability, several standardized tests are conducted:

- **Tensile Strength (ASTM D638 / ISO 527)** → Determines load-bearing capacity.
- **Impact Resistance (Izod/Charpy Test)** → Measures toughness under sudden forces.
- **Flexural Strength (ASTM D790)** → Assesses bending performance in structural applications.

4.2.2 Thermal Stability Analysis

Thermal properties are crucial for processing and application:

- **Thermogravimetric Analysis (TGA)** → Evaluates weight loss with temperature to determine degradation onset.
- **Differential Scanning Calorimetry (DSC)** → Measures melting/crystallization temperatures to optimize processing conditions.
- **Dynamic Mechanical Analysis (DMA)** → Studies stiffness variations with temperature.

4.2.3 Degradation & Environmental Analysis

The **biodegradability and environmental impact** of sustainable polymers are examined through:

- **Biodegradation Testing (ASTM D5338 / ISO 14855)** → Simulates composting conditions to assess degradation rates.
- **Soil Burial & Marine Degradation Studies** → Observes polymer breakdown in real-world environments.
- **Enzymatic Degradation Assays** → Evaluates microbial or enzymatic action on polymer chains.

4.2.4 Barrier & Functional Properties

For packaging and biomedical uses, additional tests include:

- **Water Vapor Transmission Rate (WVTR)** → Assesses moisture barrier efficiency.
- **Oxygen Transmission Rate (OTR)** → Determines polymer permeability to gases.
- **Surface Wet ability (Contact Angle Analysis)** → Evaluates hydrophobic/hydrophilic properties affecting degradation and usability.

4.2.5 Comparative Performance Analysis

A comparative analysis of sustainable polymers against conventional plastics highlights their strengths and limitations:

Property	PLA	PHA	PBAT	PET (Conventional)
Tensile Strength (MPa)	50-70	20-40	10-30	50-80

Elongation at Break (%)	4-10	300-600	200-700	100-300
Degradation Time (Months - Years)	6-24	2-12	6-18	100+
Processing Temperature (°C)	150-200	160-180	180-200	230-270

This comparison illustrates the trade-offs between strength, flexibility, and degradability, guiding material selection for different applications.

5. LIMITATIONS & DRAWBACKS

While sustainable and degradable polymers offer promising solutions to plastic pollution, they still face several limitations and challenges that hinder widespread adoption. These issues include mechanical performance constraints, high production costs, processing difficulties, degradation inconsistencies, and environmental trade-offs. Addressing these drawbacks is essential for improving the feasibility and scalability of these materials.

5.1 Mechanical & Functional Limitations

5.1.1 Lower Mechanical Strength & Durability

- Many biodegradable polymers, such as PLA and PHA, exhibit brittleness and lower tensile strength compared to conventional plastics like PET and PE.
- PBAT and PCL, while flexible, have lower strength and wear resistance, making them unsuitable for load-bearing applications.
- Enhancing mechanical properties often requires blending, copolymerization, or reinforcement with fillers, which can increase costs and affect biodegradability.

5.1.2 Poor Barrier Properties

- Biodegradable polymers generally have higher permeability to gases and moisture, limiting their use in food packaging and protective coatings.
- Starch-based polymers absorb water, leading to reduced mechanical integrity in humid environments.

5.2 High Production Costs & Economic Challenges

5.2.1 Expensive Raw Materials & Processing

- The production of bio-based polymers relies on renewable feedstocks (e.g. corn, sugarcane, algae), which can be costly and compete with food supplies.
- Fermentation-based polymers (e.g., PHA) require specialized microbial strains, increasing energy consumption and production costs.
- Large-scale manufacturing is still underdeveloped, making biodegradable polymers more expensive than traditional plastics.

5.2.2 Limited Industrial Infrastructure

- Conventional plastic production is optimized for mass production, whereas sustainable polymers require new processing technologies that are not yet widely available.
- Biodegradable plastics often require specialized composting facilities, which are not universally available, leading to mismanagement of waste in general recycling streams.

5.3 Inconsistent & Uncontrolled Degradation

5.3.1 Dependency on Environmental Conditions

- Many biodegradable polymers only degrade under specific conditions (e.g., industrial composting at 50–60°C with high humidity and microbial activity).
- In landfills or marine environments, degradation can be slow or incomplete, leading to micro plastic pollution.

5.3.2 Lack of Standardized End-of-Life Management

- Compostable plastics require dedicated waste streams, but improper disposal leads to contamination in recycling systems.
- Mislabeling or lack of awareness results in biodegradable plastics being mixed with non-degradable plastics, complicating waste management.

5.4 Environmental & Sustainability Concerns

5.4.1 Land & Resource Competition

- Bio-based polymers require agricultural land, water, and fertilizers, potentially contributing to deforestation and biodiversity loss.
- The use of genetically modified crops for polymer feed stocks raises ethical and ecological concerns.

5.4.2 Energy Consumption & Carbon Footprint

- Although sustainable polymers reduce fossil fuel dependency, their manufacturing processes still consume significant energy.
- Some biodegradable plastics emit methane (a potent greenhouse gas) during anaerobic degradation, contributing to climate change.

CONCLUSION

Sustainable and degradable polymers represent a promising solution to the global plastic waste crisis by offering biodegradability, reduced fossil fuel dependence, and eco-friendly alternatives to conventional plastics. Over the past decades, significant progress has been made in polymer synthesis, mechanical enhancement techniques, and degradation control to improve their industrial applicability. However, several challenges remain, including mechanical limitations, high production costs, inconsistent degradation, and infrastructure gaps in waste management.

To fully realize the potential of these materials, future research should focus on:

- Enhancing mechanical properties through nano composites, copolymerization, and reinforcements while maintaining biodegradability.
- Reducing production costs by scaling up bio-based polymer manufacturing and optimizing feedstock utilization.
- Developing standardized waste management systems that support composting, recycling, and circular economy strategies.
- Ensuring real-world biodegradability by designing polymers that degrade efficiently in various environments without generating micro plastics.

The transition to sustainable and degradable polymers requires collaborative efforts from researchers, industries, policymakers, and consumers to establish a balance between performance, cost-effectiveness, and environmental impact. By addressing current limitations and adopting innovative solutions, these materials can play a crucial role in reducing plastic pollution and promoting a more sustainable future.

REFERENCES

- [1]. Corrado, I., Righetti, M. C., Nanni, F., & Puglia, D. (2021). The role of nanofillers in enhancing mechanical and thermal properties of biodegradable polymer nanocomposites. *Polymers*, 13(18), 3077.
- [2]. Chamas, A., Moon, H., Zheng, J., Qiu, Y., Tabassum, T., & Jang, J. H. (2020). Degradation rates of plastics in the environment. *ACS Sustainable Chemistry & Engineering*, 8(9), 3494-3511.
- [3]. Karan, H., Funk, C., Grabert, M., Oey, M., & Hankamer, B. (2019). Green bioplastics as part of a circular bioeconomy. *Trends in Plant Science*, 24(3), 237-249.
- [4]. Krishnan, S., Pandey, R., Nayak, S. K., & Mohanty, S. (2019). Biodegradable polymeric materials for packaging applications—A review. *Journal of Materials Science*, 54(4), 12114-12141.
- [5]. Mohanty, A. K., Vivekanandhan, S., Pin, J. M., & Misra, M. (2018). Composites from renewable and sustainable resources: Challenges and innovations. *Science*, 362(6414), 536-542.
- [6]. Geyer, R., Jambeck, J. R., & Law, K. L. (2017). Production, use, and fate of all plastics ever made. *Science Advances*, 3(7), e1700782.
- [7]. Hottle, T. A., Bilec, M. M., & Landis, A. E. (2017). Biopolymer production and end-of-life comparisons using life cycle assessment. *Resources, Conservation and Recycling*, 122, 295-306.
- [8]. Karamanlioglu, M., Preziosi, R., & Robson, G. D. (2017). Abiotic and biotic environmental degradation of polymeric materials: A review. *Polymer Degradation and Stability*, 137, 283-297.
- [9]. Babu, R. P., O'Connor, K., & Seeram, R. (2013). Current progress on bio-based polymers and their future trends. *Progress in Biomaterials*, 2(8), 1-16.
- [10]. Reddy, M. M., Vivekanandhan, S., Misra, M., & Mohanty, A. K. (2013). Biodegradable plastics: Past, present, and future. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 38(10-11), 1653-1689.
- [11]. Álvarez-Chávez, C. R., Edwards, S., Moure-Eraso, R., & Geiser, K. (2012). Sustainability of bio-based plastics: General comparative analysis and recommendations for improvement. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 23(1), 47-56.
- [12]. Chen, G. Q., & Patel, M. K. (2012). Plastics derived from biological sources: Present and future. *Chemical Reviews*, 112(4), 2082-2099.

- [13]. Jamshidian, M., Tehrany, E. A., Imran, M., Jacquot, M., & Desobry, S. (2010). Poly-lactic acid: Production, applications, nanocomposites, and release studies. *Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety*, 9(5), 552-571.
- [14]. Bordes, P., Pollet, E., & Avérous, L. (2009). Nano-biocomposites: Biodegradable polyester/nanoclay systems. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 34(2), 125-155.
- [15]. Song, J. H., Murphy, R. J., Narayan, R., & Davies, G. B. (2009). Biodegradable and compostable alternatives to conventional plastics. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526), 2127-2139.
- [16]. Thompson, R. C., Moore, C. J., vom Saal, F. S., & Swan, S. H. (2009). Plastics, the environment, and human health: Current consensus and future trends. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*, 364(1526), 2153-2166.
- [17]. Avérous, L. (2008). Polylactic acid: Synthesis, properties, and applications. *Monomers, Polymers and Composites from Renewable Resources*, 433-450.
- [18]. Kale, G., Auras, R., & Singh, S. P. (2007). Degradation of commercial biodegradable packages under real composting and ambient exposure conditions. *Journal of Polymer and the Environment*, 15(3), 189-200.
- [19]. Chiellini, E., Corti, A., D'Antone, S., & Solaro, R. (2003). Biodegradation of poly (vinyl alcohol) based materials. *Progress in Polymer Science*, 28(6), 963-1014.
- [20]. Müller, R. J., Kleeberg, I., & Deckwer, W. D. (2001). Biodegradation of polyesters containing aromatic constituents. *Journal of Biotechnology*, 86(2), 87-95.