Nanotechnology Perceptions
ISSN 1660-6795
www.nano-ntp.com

Enhancing Learning through Digitalization and AI: A Study of CBSE and State Board Schools in Nagpur City

Purvi Makwana[1], Dr. Gayathri Band[2]

[1]Research Scholar, Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, purvimakwana11@gmail.com
[2]Shri Ramdeobaba College of Engineering and Management, Nagpur, bandgs@rknec.edu

Digitalization and Artificial Intelligence (AI) have emerged as transformative forces in the education sector, promising to revolutionize teaching and learning practices. This study investigates the impact of digitalization and AI on learning outcomes in CBSE and state board schools in Nagpur city. Through a mixed-methods approach involving surveys and interviews with educators, administrators, and students, this research explores how AI technologies and digital tools are integrated into curriculum delivery, assessment methods, and student engagement strategies. Key metrics such as academic performance, student satisfaction, and teacher effectiveness are analyzed to assess the effectiveness of AI-driven educational interventions. Additionally, the study examines the role of marketing strategies employed by schools to promote AI-enabled educational offerings and attract stakeholders. Findings from this study aim to provide insights into best practices for leveraging digitalization and AI in enhancing learning experiences and educational outcomes in Nagpur's diverse educational landscape.

Keywords: Educational technology, Learning outcomes, Curriculum integration, Student engagement, Teacher effectiveness.

1. Introduction

In recent years, the integration of digital technologies and artificial intelligence (AI) into educational practices has emerged as a pivotal trend reshaping the landscape of teaching and learning globally. This transformation is particularly pronounced in schools affiliated with the Central Board of Secondary Education (CBSE) and state boards, where educators and administrators are increasingly embracing technological innovations to enhance educational outcomes. Nagpur city, situated in the heart of Maharashtra, exemplifies this trend as its educational institutions strive to leverage digitalization and AI to meet the evolving needs of students in an increasingly digital world.

The advent of digital technologies, ranging from interactive smart boards to AI-powered adaptive learning platforms, offers unprecedented opportunities to personalize education,

improve instructional delivery, and foster student engagement. AI algorithms, capable of analyzing vast amounts of data, can provide insights into student learning patterns, thereby enabling educators to tailor teaching methods to individual needs. Moreover, digital tools facilitate collaborative learning environments and seamless access to educational resources, transcending geographical barriers and enhancing educational equity.

Amidst these advancements, the strategic deployment of AI and digital technologies in education necessitates a nuanced understanding of their impact on learning outcomes, teacher-student dynamics, and institutional practices. This study endeavors to explore these dimensions within the context of CBSE and state board schools in Nagpur city. By examining the adoption of digitalization and AI, alongside the accompanying challenges and opportunities, this research aims to contribute to the ongoing discourse on educational innovation and provide actionable insights for educational policymakers, administrators, and practitioners.

Through a comprehensive review of literature, empirical research, and stakeholder perspectives, this paper seeks to illuminate the transformative potential of digitalization and AI in enhancing learning experiences and preparing students for a future characterized by rapid technological advancement and digital fluency.

2. Literature review

Ubiquitous computing technologies are transforming educational environments by integrating virtual reality (VR), augmented reality (AR), and sensory technologies. This convergence facilitates the creation of virtual classrooms and laboratories, bridging physical and virtual spaces (Encalada & Sequera, 2017; Krumm, 2018).

Virtual classrooms employ VR to simulate complex teaching scenarios, making abstract concepts and natural phenomena visually accessible. This approach engages students' senses—visual, auditory, and kinesthetic—enhancing learning by providing immersive, contextual experiences that spark curiosity and improve educational outcomes. Hybrid models of virtual classrooms offer flexible attendance options, allowing students to participate in lectures either on-campus or remotely (Lakhal, Bateman, & Bédard, 2017).

Similarly, virtual simulation laboratories replicate real-world experiments through multimedia and VR technologies, offering 3D models and interactive environments. These virtual labs mitigate concerns about physical risks and equipment limitations, providing a platform for conducting experiments that yield results comparable to traditional methods (Wang et al., 2018; Xu et al., 2017). AI enhances personalized learning experiences by tailoring educational content to individual student needs, analyzing learning patterns, and providing real-time feedback based on big data and machine learning (Dishon, 2017; Ip et al., 2019).

Adaptive learning technologies, a subset of AI, enable personalized teaching approaches akin to one-on-one tutoring, optimizing learning efficiency and engagement (Kakish & Pollacia, 2018). While the U.S. has pioneered adaptive education platforms like Knewton and Cognitive Tutor (Conklin, 2016; Elazhary & Khodeir, 2017), China's advancements, such as New Oriental and Yixue Education-Squirrel AI, focus on K12 and English tutoring, indicating a growing global interest in AI-driven educational innovations (Knox, 2020).

AI technology facilitates educational equity by addressing disparities caused by economic factors or geographical limitations. It enables the integration of the Internet and AI to deliver personalized remote teaching, bridging the gap between regions with limited educational resources and urban centers (Qiu, 2020; Karen Hao, 2019).

In remote areas lacking sufficient teachers, AI-powered online platforms enable a single teacher to conduct classes for students across multiple locations, providing access to high-quality instruction and fostering interactions with expert educators. This approach enhances resource sharing and ensures consistent access to educational opportunities regardless of geographical constraints. AI also automates homework grading and generates teaching data, relieving teachers from administrative burdens and allowing them to focus more on personalized instruction and skill development (Azad et al., 2020).

3. Objectives of the study

- To investigate how AI technology can mitigate educational inequities by providing personalized and remote learning opportunities.
- To explore the impact of integrating AI and the Internet on educational resource distribution across different geographical regions.
- To analyze the effectiveness of AI-enabled platforms in enhancing teaching quality and student engagement in remote areas.

4. Research Methodology

The research methodology employed in this study focuses on a mixed-method approach to comprehensively explore the impact of AI technology on promoting educational equity across different regions. Initially, quantitative methods will be utilized to collect and analyze data on the effectiveness of AI-enabled educational platforms in addressing disparities in access to quality education. Surveys and statistical analysis will be conducted to assess the perception of AI among educators and students, examining its role in enhancing teaching quality, automating tasks like homework correction, and improving learning outcomes.

Qualitative methods will complement this by capturing in-depth insights through interviews and focus groups with teachers, students, and educational administrators. These qualitative data will provide nuanced perspectives on the challenges and opportunities associated with AI adoption in remote and underserved areas. Through thematic analysis, patterns and themes emerging from qualitative data will be identified, offering a rich understanding of how AI technology influences educational practices and equity.

Additionally, a comparative analysis approach will be employed to contrast the effectiveness of AI-integrated educational strategies in different geographical contexts. This approach will involve case studies of educational institutions using AI in diverse settings, allowing for a deeper exploration of contextual factors influencing AI adoption and its impact on educational outcomes. Overall, the research methodology aims to provide a comprehensive evaluation of AI's role in promoting educational equity and improving access to quality education across

varied geographic and socio-economic landscapes.

5. Data analysis and discussion

Table - 1: Descriptive statistics

Area	Average	SD
CBSE	30.18	9.2
State Board	32.97	10.7

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics comparing the average scores and standard deviations (SD) of academic performance in CBSE and State Board schools in Nagpur City. On average, students from State Board schools (M = 32.97, SD = 10.7) outperformed those from CBSE schools (M = 30.18, SD = 9.2) in the measured area. The higher mean score in State Board schools suggests potentially better academic outcomes, although the wider spread indicated by the standard deviation implies greater variability in performance within this group. Conversely, CBSE schools show a slightly lower mean score with less variability, indicating a more consistent but marginally lower overall performance compared to State Board schools. These findings provide a preliminary insight into the academic landscape of the two educational systems in Nagpur City, setting the stage for further detailed analysis and interpretation in subsequent sections of the study.

Table - 2: Enhancing Learning through Digitalization and AI

Board	N	M	S.D.	r	t
CBSE	41	3.23	1.01	0.63	14.86
State Board	41	3.26	0.95		

Based on Table 2, which compares the mean (M), standard deviation (S.D.), correlation coefficient (r), and t-value between CBSE and State Board schools regarding the enhancement of learning through digitalization and AI in Nagpur City, several observations can be made.

For CBSE schools, the average score (M = 3.23) indicates a positive perception of the impact of digitalization and AI on learning, supported by a moderately high standard deviation (S.D. = 1.01), suggesting variability in opinions among respondents. The correlation coefficient (r = 0.63) indicates a moderate positive relationship between digitalization/AI and perceived learning enhancement, which is statistically significant ($t = 14.86$, $p < 0.001$), highlighting strong confidence in the relationship observed.

Conversely, in State Board schools, the mean score (M = 3.26) is slightly higher, with a lower standard deviation (S.D. = 0.95), implying a more consistent perception of the impact of digital tools on learning. While the correlation coefficient and t-value are not provided, further analysis could explore whether these differences are statistically significant and explore any nuanced differences in perception between the two educational systems. This analysis suggests that both CBSE and State Board schools in Nagpur City perceive digitalization and AI positively for enhancing learning, albeit with potential differences in the consistency and strength of this perception.

6. Conclusion

In conclusion, this study has explored the impact of digitalization and artificial intelligence (AI) on learning outcomes in CBSE and State Board schools within Nagpur City. The findings reveal a generally positive perception among educators and stakeholders regarding the role of digital tools in enhancing educational practices. Both CBSE and State Board schools acknowledge the potential of AI technologies to personalize learning experiences, improve teaching effectiveness, and facilitate access to educational resources. The variability in perceptions and practices observed between the two educational systems underscores the need for tailored approaches to integrate digital tools effectively into curriculum delivery. Moving forward, fostering professional development for educators and ensuring equitable access to technology will be crucial in harnessing the full potential of digitalization and AI to enrich educational experiences and outcomes for all students. Further research could delve deeper into specific pedagogical strategies and long-term impacts of digital transformation in education.

References

1. Afra, S., & Alhajj, R. (2020). Early warning system: From face recognition by surveillance cameras to social media analysis to detecting suspicious people. Physica A: Statistical Mechanics and its Applications.
2. Belpaeme, T., Kennedy, J., Ramachandran, A., Scassellati, B., & Tanaka, F. (2018). Social robots for education: A review. Science Robotics.
3. Bergamin, P., & Hirt, F. S. (2018). Who's in Charge?—Dealing with the Self-regulation Dilemma in Digital Learning Environments. Knowledge Management in Digital Change (pp. 227–245). Springer.
4. Bin, Y., & Mandal, D. (2019). English teaching practice based on artificial intelligence technology. Journal of Intelligent and Fuzzy Systems.
5. Bingham, A. J., Pane, J. F., Steiner, E. D., & Hamilton, L. S. (2018). Ahead of the Curve: Implementation Challenges in Personalized Learning School Models. Educational Policy.
6. Bodó, B., Helberger, N., Irion, K., Borgesius Zuiderveen, F. J., Moller, J., van der Velde, B., Bol, N., et al. (2017). Tackling the Algorithmic Control Crisis – the Technical, Legal, and Ethical Challenges of Research into Algorithmic Agents. Yale Journal of Law & Technology, 19, 133.
7. Borowiec, S. (2016). AlphaGo seals 4-1 victory over Go grandmaster Lee Sedol Technology. The Guardian.
8. Casanova, A. (2018). BYJU's: how a learning app is promoting deep conceptual understanding that is improving educational outcomes in India.
9. Catlin, D., & Blamires, M. (2019). Designing Robots for Special Needs Education. Technology, Knowledge and Learning.
10. Chang, J., & Lu, X. (2019). The Study on Students' Participation in Personalized Learning Under the Background of Artificial Intelligence. 2019 10th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME) (pp. 555–558). IEEE.
11. Chassignol, M., Khoroshavin, A., Klimova, A., & Bilyatdinova, A. (2018). Artificial Intelligence trends in education: A narrative overview. Procedia Computer Science.
12. Chen, J., Fife, J. H., Bejar, I. I., & Rupp, A. A. (2016). Building e-rater ® Scoring Models Using Machine Learning Methods . ETS Research Report Series.
13. Chen, L., Zechner, K., Yoon, S. Y., Evanini, K., Wang, X., Loukina, A., Tao, J., et al. (2018).

Automated Scoring of Nonnative Speech Using the SpeechRaterSM v. 5.0 Engine. ETS Research Report Series.
14. Cheong, K. H., & Koh, J. M. (2018). Integrated virtual laboratory in engineering mathematics education: Fourier theory. IEEE Access.
15. Chevalier, M., Riedo, F., & Mondada, F. (2016). Pedagogical Uses of Thymio II: How Do Teachers Perceive Educational Robots in Formal Education? IEEE Robotics and Automation Magazine.
16. Chiba, Y., Nose, T., Kase, T., Yamanaka, M., & Ito, A. (2019). An Analysis of the Effect of Emotional Speech Synthesis on Non-Task-Oriented Dialogue System.
17. Colchester, K., Hagras, H., Alghazzawi, D., & Aldabbagh, G. (2017). A Survey of Artificial Intelligence Techniques Employed for Adaptive Educational Systems within E-Learning Platforms. Journal of Artificial Intelligence and Soft Computing Research, 7(1), 47–64. De Gruyter Open Ltd.
18. Conklin, T. A. (2016). Knewton (An adaptive learning platform available at https://www.knewton.com/). Academy of Management Learning & Education, 15(3), 635–639.
19. CUI, L., & LI, J. (2019). Study on Data Fields Grading Category Labeling for ERP Practical Skills Intelligent Assessment System. DEStech Transactions on Computer Science and Engineering.
20. Cui, W., Xue, Z., & Thai, K. P. (2019). Performance Comparison of an AI-Based Adaptive Learning System in China. Proceedings 2018 Chinese Automation Congress, CAC 2018.
21. Delić, V., Perić, Z., Sečujski, M., Jakovljević, N., Nikolić, J., Mišković, D., Simić, N., et al. (2019). Speech Technology Progress Based on New Machine Learning Paradigm. Computational Intelligence and Neuroscience, 2019, 1–19.
22. Dishon, G. (2017). New data, old tensions: Big data, personalized learning, and the challenges of progressive education. Theory and Research in Education.
23. Dong, Z., Zhang, Y., Yip, C., Swift, S., & Beswick, K. (2020). Smart campus: definition, framework, technologies, and services. IET Smart Cities, 2(1), 43–54.
24. Du, S., Meng, F., & Gao, B. (2016). Research on the Application System of Smart Campus in the Context of Smart City. 2016 8th International Conference on Information Technology in Medicine and Education (ITME) (pp. 714– 718). IEEE.
25. Dyrberg, N. R., Treusch, A. H., & Wiegand, C. (2017). Virtual laboratories in science education: students' motivation and experiences in two tertiary biology courses. Journal of Biological Education.
26. Eguchi, A., & Okada, H. (2018). Learning with social robots-The World Robot Summit's approach. ISEC 2018 - Proceedings of the 8th IEEE Integrated STEM Education Conference.
27. Elazhary, H., & Khodeir, N. (2017). A cognitive tutor of arabic word root extraction using artificial word generation, scaffolding and self- explanation. International Journal of Emerging Technologies in Learning.
28. Encalada, W. L., & Castillo Sequera, J. L. (2017). Model to implement virtual computing labs via cloud computing services. Symmetry.
29. Fang, Y., Ren, Z., Hu, X., & Graesser, A. C. (2019). A meta-analysis of the effectiveness of ALEKS on learning. Educational Psychology, 39(10), 1278–1292.
30. Fu, Y. (2020). Research on the Development Trend of Online Education Industry Considering the Influence of Big Data and Artificial Intelligence. Advances in Intelligent Systems and Computing (Vol. 928, pp. 852–859). Springer Verlag.
31. Ge, X. L., Yin, Y. W., & Feng, S. (2018). Application Research of Computer Artificial Intelligence in College Student Sports Autonomous Learning. Educational Sciences: Theory & Practice, 18(5), 2143–2154.